

R. 2836

RT-IMECC  
IM/4102

**SOME APPLICATIONS OF THE GENERALIZED  
KHINTCHINE'S INEQUALITY**

*Mário C. Matos*

Setembro

RP 32/92

**Relatório de Pesquisa**

**Instituto de Matemática  
Estatística e Ciência da Computação**



**UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE CAMPINAS  
Campinas - São Paulo - Brasil**

R.P.  
IM/32/92

**ABSTRACT** – The generalized Khintchine's inequality is applied to the theory of homogeneous polynomials between Banach spaces in order to prove some non-trivial inclusions (e.g.: the Banach space of the  $n$ -homogeneous polynomials of  $r$ -dominated type from a Banach space into a Hilbert spaces is continuously included in the Banach space of the absolutely 2-summing polynomials for each  $r \in (0, +\infty)$ ; the Banach spaces of the 2-homogeneous complex polynomials of  $r$ -dominated type on Banach spaces are isomorphic for  $r \in [2, +\infty)$ .)

IMECC – UNICAMP  
Universidade Estadual de Campinas  
CP 6065  
13081 Campinas SP  
Brasil

O conteúdo do presente Relatório de Pesquisa é de única responsabilidade do autor.

Setembro – 1992

# SOME APPLICATIONS OF THE GENERALIZED KHINTCHINE'S INEQUALITY

*Mário C. Matos*

**ABSTRACT** – The generalized Khintchine's inequality is applied to the theory of homogeneous polynomials between Banach spaces in order to prove some non-trivial inclusions (e.g.: the Banach space of the  $n$ -homogeneous polynomials of  $r$ -dominated type from a Banach space into a Hilbert spaces is continuously included in the Banach space of the absolutely 2-summing polynomials for each  $r \in (0, +\infty)$ ; the Banach spaces of the 2-homogeneous complex polynomials of  $r$ -dominated type on Banach spaces are isomorphic for  $r \in [2, +\infty)$ .)

The generalized Rademacher functions, introduced by Aron and Globevnik in [1] were used in several applications by Aron, Lacruz, Ryan and Tonge in [2]. In this same article the authors mention that standard type Khintchine inequalities can be obtained with the generalized Rademacher functions replacing the Rademacher functions and making an adaptation of the usual proof the classical inequality. However they do not make any applications of it. In this paper we apply this inequality in order to obtain results of the theory of polynomial mappings between Banach spaces.

The generalized Rademacher functions are described in the following way. For a fixed natural number  $n \geq 2$  we take the  $n$ -th roots of unity  $1 = \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  considered in the order of their increasing arguments. The closed interval  $[0, 1]$  is divided in  $n$  intervals of equal length  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  described in the order they appear from the left to the right side of the original interval. We consider  $s_1$  from  $[0, 1]$  into  $\mathcal{C}$  given by  $s_1(t) = \alpha_j$  if  $t$  is in the interior of the interval  $I_j, j = 1, \dots, n$  and  $s_1(t) = 1$  if  $t$  is one of the endpoints of the subintervals  $I_1, \dots, I_n$ . If  $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 1$  and we suppose that  $s_1, \dots, s_k$  are defined, we construct  $s_k$  in the following way: each interval  $I$  used in the definition of  $s_k$  is divided in  $n$  intervals  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  of equal length written in the order they appear on  $I$  from the left to the right side of it. Then we consider  $s_{k+1}$  equal to  $\alpha_j$  on the interior of  $I_j$  and equal to 1 at the endpoints of  $I_j, j = 1, \dots, n$ .

The following lemma appears in [2].

**1. LEMMA** - If  $(s_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  are the generalized Rademacher functions associated to  $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$ , then

- (1)  $|s_k(t)| = 1$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ .
- (2)  $\int_0^1 s_{j_1}(t) \dots s_{j_n}(t) dt = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j_1 = \dots = j_n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- (3) If  $j_1 < \dots < j_k$  are natural numbers and  $\sigma_j(t)$  equal either to  $s_j(t)$  or  $\overline{s_j(t)}$ , then

$$\int_0^1 \sigma_{j_1}(t)^{m_1} \dots \sigma_{j_k}(t)^{m_k} dt = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m_j \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This result plays an important role in the proof of the

**2. GENERALIZED KHINTCHINE INEQUALITIES** - If  $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$  is fixed and  $(s_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  are the generalized Rademacher functions associated to  $n$ , for every  $p \in (0, +\infty)$  there are  $\alpha(n, p) > 0$  and  $\beta(n, p) > 0$  such that for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a_j \in \mathbb{C}, j = 1, \dots, m$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(n, p) \left[ \sum_{j=1}^m |a_j|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq \left[ \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{j=1}^m a_j s_j(t) \right|^p dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \\ &\leq \beta(n, p) \left[ \sum_{j=1}^m |a_j|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

In order to apply this result to the theory of polynomial mappings between Banach spaces we fix notations and recall some concepts.

$\wp^n(E; F)$  denotes the Banach space of all continuous  $n$ -homogeneous polynomials from the complex Banach space  $E$  into the complex Banach space  $F$  under the norm

$$\|P\| = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|P(x)\| \quad (\forall P \in \wp^n(E; F)).$$

If  $p \in (0, \infty)$  we denote by  $\ell_p^w(E)$  the set of all sequences  $(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$  of elements of  $E$  such that

$$\|(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_{w,p} = \sup_{\varphi \in B_{E'}} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\varphi(x_j)|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty.$$



Here  $B_{E'}$  is the closed unit ball of  $E'$  centered at the origin. If  $r \in (0, +\infty)$  we consider  $\ell_r(F)$  as the set of all sequences  $(y_j)_{j=1}^\infty$  of elements of  $F$  such that

$$\|(y_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_r = \left[ \sum_{j=1}^\infty \|y_j\|^r \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} < +\infty.$$

If  $P \in \varphi^{(n)}(E; F)$ ,  $s, r \in (0, +\infty)$  and  $ns \geq r$ ,  $P$  is said to be *absolutely  $(s; r)$ -summing* if  $(P(x_j))_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_s(F)$  for each  $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_r^w(E)$ . It can be proved that  $P$  is absolutely  $(s; r)$ -summing if and only if there is  $C \geq 0$  such that for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_j \in E$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$

$$\|(P(x_j))_{j=1}^m\|_s \leq C \left[ \|(x_j)_{j=1}^m\|_{w,r} \right]^n \quad (*)$$

We denote by

$$\|P\|_{as,(s;r)} = \inf_{(*)} C = \min_{(*)} C$$

and by  $\varphi_{as}^{(s;r)}(E; F)$  the vector space all absolutely  $(s; r)$ -summing polynomials from  $E$  into  $F$ . This space is complete  $s$ -normed by  $\| \cdot \|_{as,(s;r)}$  if  $s \in [0, 1]$  and a Banach space under  $\| \cdot \|_{as,(s;r)}$  for  $s \geq 1$ . See [3] for the linear case and [4] for  $n \geq 2$ .

If  $ns = r$  it can be proved that  $P \in \varphi^{(n)}(E; F)$  is absolutely  $(s; r)$ -summing if and only if there are  $D \geq 0$  and a regular probability measure  $\mu$  on the Borel subsets of  $B_{E'}$  (with the weak star topology) (we denote this:  $\mu \in W(B_{E'})$ ) such that

$$\|P(x)\| \leq D \left[ \int_{B_{E'}} |\varphi(x)|^r d\mu(\varphi) \right]^{\frac{n}{r}} \quad (**)$$

for every  $x \in E$ . In this case

$$\|P\|_{as,(s;r)} = \min_{(**)} D = \inf_{(**)} D$$

is denoted by  $\|P\|_{d,r}$ . This motivates the use of the name *r-dominated* for these polynomials. We denote by  $\varphi_d^r(E; F)$  the vector space of all  $r$ -dominated polynomials from  $E$  into  $F$ .

**3. THEOREM** - If  $F$  is a Hilbert space and  $r \in (0, +\infty)$ , then  $\varphi_d^r(E; F) \subset \varphi_{as}^{(2;2)}(E; F) \stackrel{\text{not}}{=} \varphi_{as}^2(E; F)$  and

$$\|P\|_{as,2} \stackrel{\text{not}}{=} \|P\|_{as,(2,2)} \leq (\beta(2n; r))^n \|P\|_{d,r}.$$

**PROOF** - Since for  $0 < r_1 \leq r_2 < +\infty$  we have  $\wp_d^{r_1}(^n E; F) \subset \wp_d^{r_2}(^n E; F)$  and  $\|P\|_{d,r_2} \leq \|P\|_{d,r_1}$  for each  $P$   $r_1$ -dominated, we can suppose  $r \geq 2n$  without loss of generality.

We consider  $(s_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ , the generalized Rademacher functions associated to  $2n$ . If  $P \in \wp_d^r(^n E; F)$  we take  $\mu \in W(B_{E'})$  corresponding to  $\|P\|_{d,r}$  by  $(**)$  and consider the continuous symmetric  $n$ -linear mapping  $T$  from  $E^n$  into  $F$  such that  $P(x) = T(x, \dots, x) \stackrel{\text{not}}{=} T x^n$  for each  $x \in E$ . Thus for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_j \in E, j = 1, \dots, m$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^m \|P(x_j)\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^m (T x_j^n / T x_j^n) \\
& \stackrel{\text{Lemma 1}}{\leq} \sum_{\substack{j_k=1 \\ k=1, \dots, n}}^m \sum_{i_k=1}^m (T(x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_n}) / T(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n})). \\
& \quad \cdot \int_0^1 s_{j_1}(t) \dots s_{j_n}(t) \overline{s_{i_1}(t)} \dots \overline{s_{i_n}(t)} dt \\
& = \int_0^1 (T(\sum_{j=1}^m s_j(t) x_j)^n / T(\sum_{j=1}^m s_j(t) x_j)^n) dt \\
& = \int_0^1 \|P(\sum_{j=1}^m s_j(t) x_j)\|^2 dt \\
& \leq [\|P\|_{d,r}]^2 \int_0^1 \left[ \int_{B_{E'}} \left| \sum_{j=1}^m s_j(t) \varphi(x_j) \right|^r d\mu(\varphi) \right]^{\frac{2n}{r}} dt \\
& \leq [\|P\|_{d,r}]^2 \left[ \int_0^1 \int_{B_{E'}} \left| \sum_{j=1}^m s_j(t) \varphi(x_j) \right|^r d\mu(\varphi) dt \right]^{\frac{2n}{r}} \\
& \leq [\|P\|_{d,r}]^2 \left[ \int_{B_{E'}} (\beta(2n, r))^r (\|\varphi(x_j)\|_{j=1}^m)_2^r d\mu(\varphi) \right]^{\frac{2n}{r}} \\
& \leq [\|P\|_{d,r}]^2 \left[ \beta(2n, r) \right]^{2n} \left( \|(x_j)_{j=1}^m\|_{w,2} \right)^{2n}. \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

**4. THEOREM** - If  $p \in [2, +\infty)$  and  $r \in (0, +\infty)$ , then  $\wp_d^r(^n E; L_p([a, b])) \subset \wp_{as}^{(p;2)}(^n E; L_p([a, b]))$  and

$$\|P\|_{as,(p;2)} \leq (\beta(2n; r))^n \|P\|_{d,r}$$

for each  $P$   $r$ -dominated.

**PROOF** - As we have done in the proof of 3 we can take  $r \geq pn$  without loss generality. We consider the generalized Rademacher functions  $(s_j)_{j=1}^\infty$  associated to  $2n$  and, for  $P \in \wp_d^r(nE; L_p([a, b]))$  we take  $\mu \in W(B_{E'})$  corresponding to  $\|P\|_{d,r}$  by (\*\*). If  $T$  is the continuous symmetric  $n$ -linear mapping from  $E^n$  into  $L_p([a, b])$  such that  $P(x) = Tx^n$  for each  $x \in E$ , we can write for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_j \in E$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^m \|P(x_j)\|^p &= \int_a^b \sum_{j=1}^m |P(x_j)(\theta)|^p d\theta \\
&\leq \int_a^b \left[ \sum_{j=1}^m |P(x_j)(\theta)|^2 \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} d\theta \\
&\stackrel{\text{Lemma 1}}{\leq} \left[ \sum_{\substack{j_k=1 \\ k=1, \dots, m}}^m \sum_{i_k=1}^m T(x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_n})(\theta) \overline{T(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n})(\theta)} \right. \\
&\quad \cdot \left. \int_0^1 s_{j_1}(t) \dots s_{j_n}(t) \overline{s_{i_1}(t)} \dots \overline{s_{i_n}(t)} dt \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} d\theta \\
&= \int_a^b \left[ \int_0^1 |P(\sum_{j=1}^m s_j(t)x_j)(\theta)|^2 dt \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} d\theta \\
&\leq \int_a^b \int_0^1 \left( |P(\sum_{j=1}^m s_j(t)x_j)(\theta)|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} d\theta \\
&= \int_0^1 \|P(\sum_{j=1}^m s_j(t)x_j)\|^p dt \\
&\leq (\|P\|_{d,r})^p \int_0^1 \left[ \int_{B_{E'}} \left| \sum_{j=1}^m s_j(t)\varphi(x_j) \right|^r d\mu(\varphi) \right]^{\frac{pn}{r}} dt \\
&\leq (\|P\|_{d,r})^p \left[ \int_0^1 \int_{B_{E'}} \left| \sum_{j=1}^m s_j(t)\varphi(x_j) \right|^r d\mu(\varphi) dt \right]^{\frac{pn}{r}} \\
&\leq (\|P\|_{d,r})^p \left[ \int_{B_{E'}} (\beta(2n, r))^r (\|(\varphi(x_j))_{j=1}^m\|_2)^r d\mu(\varphi) \right]^{\frac{pn}{r}} \\
&\leq (\|P\|_{d,r})^p (\beta(2n, r))^{pn} (\|(x_j)_{j=1}^m\|_{w,2})^{pn}. \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

**5. THEOREM** - If  $n \geq 2$ ,  $r \in (0, +\infty)$ , then  $\wp_d^r(nE; \mathcal{C}) \subset \wp_{as}^{(1;2)}(nE; \mathcal{C})$  and

$$\|P\|_{as,(1;2)} \leq (\beta(n; r))^n \|P\|_{d,r}$$

for every  $P$   $r$ -dominated.

**PROOF** - Without loss of generality we may suppose  $r \geq n$ . For  $P \in \wp_d^r({}^n E; \mathcal{C})$  we consider  $\mu \in W(B_{E'})$  cooresponding to  $\|P\|_{d,r}$  by  $(**)$  and the continuous symmetric  $n$ -linear mapping  $T$  from  $E^n$  into  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $Tx^n = P(x)$  for every  $x \in E$ . For  $m \in \mathbb{N}, x_j \in E, j = 1, \dots, m$ , a convenient choice of  $\lambda_j \in \mathcal{C}, |\lambda_j| = 1, j = 1, \dots, m$ , and  $(s_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  associated  $n$ , we write:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^m |P(x_j)| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^m P(\lambda_j x_j) \right| \\
&= \left| \int_0^1 \sum_{\substack{j_k=1 \\ k=1, \dots, n}}^m \lambda_{j_1} \dots \lambda_{j_n} T(x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_n}) s_{j_1}(t), \dots, s_{j_n}(t) dt \right| \\
&= \left| \int_0^1 P\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j s_j(t) x_j\right) dt \right| \\
&\leq \|P\|_{d,r} \int_0^1 \left[ \int_{B_{E'}} \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j s_j(t) \varphi(x_j) \right|^r d\mu(\varphi) \right]^{\frac{n}{r}} dt \\
&\leq \|P\|_{d,r} \left[ \int_0^1 \int_{B_{E'}} \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j s_j(t) \varphi(x_j) \right|^r d\mu(\varphi) dt \right]^{\frac{n}{r}} \\
&\leq \|P\|_{d,r} \left[ \int_{B_{E'}} (\beta(n, r))^r (\|(\lambda_j \varphi(x_j))_{j=1}^m\|_2)^r d\mu(\varphi) \right]^{\frac{n}{r}} \\
&\leq \|P\|_{d,r} (\beta(n, r))^n (\|(x_j)_{j=1}^m\|_{w,2})^n. \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

**6. COROLLARY** - If  $r \in [2, +\infty)$ , then  $\wp_d^r({}^2 E; \mathcal{C}) = \wp_d^2({}^2 E; \mathcal{C})$  and

$$\|P\|_{d,2} \leq \|P\|_{d,r} \leq (\beta(n, r))^2 \|P\|_{d,2}$$

for every  $P$  2-dominated from  $E$  into  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Since  $\wp_{as}^{(1;2)}({}^2 E; \mathcal{C}) = \wp_d^2({}^2 E; \mathcal{C})$ ,  $\wp_d^r({}^2 E; \mathcal{C})$  increases with  $r$  and Theorem 5 is true, we have this corollary all right.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Aron and J. Globevnik-Analytic functions on  $c_0$ . Revista Matemática (Madrid) 2(1989), 27-34



- [2] R. Aron, M. Lacruz, R. Ryan, A. Tonge - The generalized Rademacher Functions-Preprint
- [3] A. Pietsch-Operator Ideals - North Holland Mathematical Library (1980) - Amsterdam.
- [4] M. C. Matos - Absolutely Summing Holomorphic Mappings-Preprint.

## RELATÓRIOS DE PESQUISA — 1992

- 01/92 Uniform Approximation the: Non-locally Convex Case — *João B. Prolla.*
- 02/92 Compactificação de  $L^r_{\omega\omega}(Q)$  com  $\tau$  Finito — *A. M. Sette and J. C. Cifuentes.*
- 03/92 Um Modelo para Aquisição da Especificação — *Cecilia Inés Sosa Arias and Ariadne Carvalho.*
- 04/92 Convergence Estimates for the Wavelet Galerkin Method — *Sônia M. Gomes and Elsa Cortina.*
- 05/92 Optimal Chemotherapy: A Case Study with Drug Resistance, Saturation Effect and Toxicity — *M. I. S. Costa, J. L. Boldrini and R. C. Bassanezi.*
- 06/92 On the Paper “Cauchy Completeness of Elementary Logic” of D. Mundici and A. M. Sette — *J. C. Cifuentes.*
- 07/92 What is the EM Algorithm for Maximum Likelihood Estimation in PET and How to Accelerate it — *Alvaro R. De Pierro.*
- 08/92 Bifurcation from infinity and multiple solutions for an elliptic system — *Raffaele Chiappinelli and Djairo G. de Figueiredo.*
- 09/92 Approximation Processes for Vector-Valued Continuous Functions — *João B. Prolla.*
- 10/92 Aplicação do Método de Fraissé à Compactificação de Lógicas com Quantificadores Co-filtro — *A. M. Sette and J. C. Cifuentes.*
- 11/92 Absolutely Summing Holomorphic Mappings — *Mário C. Matos.*
- 12/92 The Feynman-Dyson Proof of Maxwell Equations and Magnetic Monopoles — *Adolfo A. Jr. and Waldyr A. R. Jr.*
- 13/92 A Generalized Dirac’s Quantization Condition for Phenomenological Non-abelian Magnetic Monopoles — *Adolfo M. Jr. and Waldyr A. R. Jr.*
- 14/92 Multiplicity Results for the 1-Dimensional Generalized  $p$ -Laplacian — *Pedro Ubilla.*
- 15/92 Nowhere Vanishing Torsion Closed Curves Always Hide Twice — *Sueli R. Costa. and Maria Del Carmen R. Fuster.*
- 16/92 Uniform Approximation of Continuous Convex-Cone-Valued Functions — *João B. Prolla.*
- 17/92 Monotonically Dominated Operators on Convex Cones — *A. O. Chiacchio, J. B. Prolla, M. L. B. Queiroz and M. S. M. Roversi.*
- 18/92 Testing the Concept of a Photon as an Extended Object in a Variation of Franson’s Experiment — *V. Buonomano, A. J. R. Madureira and L. C. B. Ryff.*
- 19/92 A New Trust Region Algorithm for Boun Constrained Minimization — *Ana Friedlander, José Mario Martínez and Sandra A. Santos.*

- 20/92 A Priori Estimates for Positive Solutions of Semilinear Elliptic Systems Via Hardy-Sobolev Inequalities — *Ph. Clément, D.G. de Figueiredo and E. Mitidieri.*
- 21/92 On the Resolution of Linearly Constrained Convex Minimization Problems — *Ana Friedlander, José Mario Martínez and Sandra A. Santos.*
- 22/92 Convergência no Espectro Real de um Anel — *J. C. Cifuentes.*
- 23/92 Parallel Methods for Large Least Norm Problems — *Alvaro R. De Pierro. and Jose M. Lopes.*
- 24/92 A Generalization of Dirac Non-Linear Electrodynamics, and Spinning Charged Particles — *Waldyr A. Rodrigues Jr., Jayme Vaz Jr. and Erasmo Recami.*
- 25/92 Bifurcation of Certain Singularities of Sliding Vector Fields — *Marco Antonio Teixeira.*
- 26/92 Density of Infimum-Stable Convex Cone — *João B. Prolla.*
- 27/92 An Extended Decomposition Through Formalization in Product Spaces — *Alvaro R. De Pierro.*
- 28/92 Accelerating Iterative Algorithms for Symmetric Linear Complementarity Problems — *Alvaro R. De Pierro and José Marcos López.*
- 29/92 Free Maxwell Equations, Dirac Equation, and Non-Dispersive de Broglie Wave-packets — *Waldyr A. Rodrigues Jr., Jayme Vaz Jr. and Erasmo Recami.*
- 30/92 New Results on the Equivalence of Regularization and Truncated Iteration for Ill-posed Problems — *Reginaldo J. Santos.*
- 31/92 Topological Defects: A Distribution Theory Approach — *Patricio S. Letelier and Anzhong Wang.*