

STRICTLY ABSOLUTELY SUMMING
MULTILINEAR MAPPINGS

Mário C. Matos

RELATÓRIO TÉCNICO Nº 03/92

Abstract. The space of the strictly absolutely $(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$ -summing multilinear mappings between Banach spaces is introduced along with a natural (quasi-) norm on it. For Hilbert spaces and $s = r_1 = \dots = r_n \in [2, +\infty)$ it is shown that such space is isomorphic to the space of the Hilbert-Schmidt multilinear mappings. If $s, r_k \in [1, +\infty]$, $k = 1, \dots, n$ this space is characterized as the topological dual of a space of quasi-nuclear mappings. Other properties are considered and a relationship with a topological tensor product is established.

Universidade Estadual de Campinas
Instituto de Matemática, Estatística e Ciência da Computação
IMECC - UNICAMP
Caixa Postal 6065
13.081 - Campinas - SP
BRASIL

O conteúdo do presente Relatório Técnico é de única responsabilidade do autor.

Janeiro - 1992

STRICTLY ABSOLUTELY SUMMING MULTILINEAR MAPPINGS

Mário C. Matos
IMECC - UNICAMP
Caixa Postal 6065
13.081 - Campinas, S.P., Brasil

ABSTRACT - The space of the strictly absolutely $(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$ -summing multilinear mappings between Banach spaces is introduced along with a natural (quasi-) norm on it. For Hilbert spaces and $s = r_1 = \dots = r_n \in [2, +\infty)$ it is shown that such space is isomorphic to the space of the Hilbert-Schmidt multilinear mappings. If $s, r_k \in [1, +\infty]$, $k = 1, \dots, n$ this space is characterized as the topological dual of a space of quasi-nuclear mappings. Other properties are considered and a relationship with a topological tensor product is established.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [9] A. Pietsch introduced the space of absolutely $(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$ -summing n -linear functionals on Banach spaces and asked if it would coincide with the space of the Hilbert-Schmidt n -linear functionals on Hilbert spaces for some values of s and r_k , $k = 1, \dots, n$. Motivated by this question we introduce the space of the strictly absolutely $(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$ -summing n -linear mappings between Banach spaces endowed with a natural norm for $s \geq 1$ (s -norm for $s \in (0, 1)$) and show that it is isomorphic to the space of Hilbert-Schmidt n -linear mappings between Hilbert spaces when $r_1 = \dots = r_n = s \in [2, +\infty)$ (see section 5). It is obvious that this result does not answer the problem

posed by Pietsch, but shows that, under a particular point of view, the absolutely summing *linear* mappings have as their natural n -linear generalizations the strictly absolutely summing mappings. These mappings are considered in section 2 along with several examples and properties.

In section 3 we consider Banach spaces E_1, \dots, E_n, F and endow $E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes F$ with a (quasi-) norm in such a way that its topological dual is isometric to the space of the strictly absolutely $(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$ -summing n -linear mappings from $E_1 \times \dots \times E_n$ into F' , when $s \in [1, +\infty]$.

Section 4 is dedicated to the study of the $(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$ -quasi-nuclear mappings from $E_1 \times \dots \times E_n$ into F . If E'_1, \dots, E'_n have the bounded approximation property and $s, r_k \in [1, +\infty]$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, we show that the vector space of these mappings endowed with a natural linear topology has its topological dual isometric to the space of all strictly absolutely $(s'; r'_1, \dots, r'_n)$ -summing mappings from $E'_1 \times \dots \times E'_n$ into F' . This result is analogous to the connection between absolutely summing n -linear mappings and multilinear mappings of nuclear type established in [8].

In section 5 we study the space of the Hilbert-Schmidt n -linear mappings between Hilbert spaces, its properties and, as already mentioned, its relationship with spaces of strictly absolutely summing mappings. The multilinear Hilbert-Schmidt mappings were introduced by Dwyer in his doctoral dissertation [2].

For results on linear operators between Banach spaces there are some very good texts. We mention Pietsch [10] as one of them.

Now we fix some of the notations we use in this paper. For Banach spaces E_1, \dots, E_n and F over \mathbb{K} (\mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}) we denote by $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ the Banach space of all continuous n -linear mappings from $E_1 \times \dots \times E_n$ into F , under the norm

$$\|T\| = \sup\{\|T(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|; x_k \in B_{E_k}, k = 1, \dots, n\}$$

Here B_{E_k} denotes the closed unit ball of E_k centered at 0. If φ_k is

in the topological dual E'_k of E_k , $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $b \in F$ we denote by $\varphi_1 \times \dots \times \varphi_n b$ the element of $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ defined as being $\varphi_1(x_1) \dots \varphi_n(x_n)b$ at the point (x_1, \dots, x_n) . These mappings generate the vector space $\mathcal{L}_f(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ of the n -linear mappings of finite type.

If $s \in (0, +\infty)$ we denote by $\ell_s(\mathbb{N}^n; F)$ (or $\ell_s(\mathbb{N}^n)$ for $F = \mathbb{K}$) the vector space of all families $(y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^n}$ of elements of F such that

$$\|(y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^n}\|_s = \left[\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}^n} \|y_j\|^s \right]^{\frac{1}{s}} < +\infty.$$

For $s \geq 1$ $\|\cdot\|_s$ is a norm and for $s \in (0, 1)$ a s -norm. In any case we have a complete metrizable topological vector space. We denote by $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{N}^n; F)$ ($\ell_\infty(\mathbb{N}^n)$ for $F = \mathbb{K}$) the Banach space of all bounded families $(y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^n}$ of elements of F under the norm

$$\|(y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^n}\|_\infty = \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}^n} \|y_j\|.$$

The Banach subspace of $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{N}^n; F)$ of the families $(y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^n}$ such that

$$\lim_{\substack{j_k \rightarrow \infty \\ k=1, \dots, n}} \|y_j\| = 0$$

is denoted by $c_0(\mathbb{N}^n; F)$ (or $c_0(\mathbb{N}^n)$ for $F = \mathbb{K}$). Here as usual we write $j = (j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. For $n = 1$ it is usual to omit \mathbb{N}^n in all the preceding notations. In some cases we consider finite families $(y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}_m^n}$ of elements of a Banach space. Here $\mathbb{N}_m^n = \{1, \dots, m\}$ and we apply the symbol $\|\cdot\|_s$ to these families as we have done in the non-finite case. The vector space of all sequences $(y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements F such that

$$\|(y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{w,s} = \sup_{\varphi \in B_{F'}} \|(\varphi(y_j))_{j \in \mathbb{N}}\|_s < +\infty$$

is denoted by $\ell_s^w(F)$. It is a complete metrizable topological linear space under $\|\cdot\|_{w,s}$ for $s \in (0, +\infty]$.

In Hilbert spaces $\langle x, y \rangle$ denotes the inner product of the vectors x and y .

As usual, if $s \in [1, +\infty]$, s' is the element of $[1, +\infty]$ such that $s^{-1} + (s')^{-1} = 1$.

2. STRICTLY ABSOLUTELY SUMMING MULTILINEAR MAPPINGS

In this section we consider $s, r, r_k \in (0, +\infty]$ such that $s \geq r, s \geq r_k, k = 1, \dots, n$.

2.1. DEFINITION - A mapping $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ is *strictly absolutely* $(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$ -*summing* if there is $C \geq 0$ such that

$$\|(T(x_{1,j_1}, \dots, x_{n,j_n}))_{j \in \mathbb{N}^n}\|_s \leq C \prod_{k=1}^n \|(x_{k,j})_{j=1}^m\|_{w, r_k} \quad (1)$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}, x_{k,j} \in E_k, k = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$.

The vector space of all such mappings is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{sas}^{(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ and the smallest C satisfying (1) is indicated by $\|T\|_{sas, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}$. This defines a s -norm for $s \in (0, 1)$ and a norm for $s \geq 1$. In any case we have a complete metrizable topological vector space.

We recall that the vector space $\mathcal{L}_{as}^{(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ of all absolutely $(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$ -summing mappings from $E_1 \times \dots \times E_n$ into F was introduced by A. Pietsch in [9] and consists of the $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ such that there is $D \geq 0$ satisfying:

$$\|(T(x_{1,j}, \dots, x_{n,j}))_{j=1}^m\|_s \leq D \prod_{k=1}^n \|(x_{k,j})_{j=1}^m\|_{w, r_k} \quad (2)$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}, x_{k,j} \in E_k, k = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$. The smallest D with the preceding property is denoted by $\|T\|_{as, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}$. This gives a s -norm

for $s \in (0, 1)$ and a norm for $s \in [1, +\infty]$ making the space metrizable and complete. We note that in this case it is enough to consider $s, r_1, \dots, r_n \in (0, +\infty]$ such that

$$\frac{1}{s} \leq \frac{1}{r_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{r_n}.$$

When $r_1 = \dots = r_n = r$ we may replace $(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$ by $(s; r)$ in all the preceding notations. If $s = r$ we replace $(r; r)$ by r and, when $r = s = 1$, we omit $(1, 1)$ in the previous notations.

It is clear that every strictly absolutely $(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$ -summing mapping is absolutely $(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$ -summing and

$$\|T\| \leq \|T\|_{as, (s, r_1, \dots, r_n)} \leq \|T\|_{sas, (s, r_1, \dots, r_n)}$$

for each $T \in \mathcal{L}_{sas}^{(s, r_1, \dots, r_n)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$.

A result of Defant and Voigt (see [1] for a proof) states that $\mathcal{L}_{as}(E_1, \dots, E_n; \mathbb{K})$ and $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; \mathbb{K})$ are identically isometric.

2.2. EXAMPLES

(1) There is $T \in \mathcal{L}(c_0, c_0; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{as}(c_0, c_0; \mathbb{K})$ such that

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{\infty} |T(e_j, e_k)| = +\infty$$

where $(e_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell_1^w(c_0)$ is the canonical Schauder basis of c_0 (see [7]). Hence T is not strictly absolutely summing.

(2) For an infinite dimensional Banach space E we fix $\varphi \in E', \varphi \neq 0$, and define $T\varphi$ from $E \times E$ into E by

$$T\varphi(x, y) = \varphi(x)y \quad (\forall x, y \in E).$$

(a) $T\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_{as}^{(s; r_1, r_2)}(E, E; E)$ for $s \geq r_k, k = 1, 2$.

$$\|(T(x_j, y_j))_{j=1}^m\|_s \leq \|(y_j)_{j=1}^m\|_\infty \|(x_j)_{j=1}^m\|_{w, r_1} \|\varphi\|$$

$$\leq \|\varphi\| \|(x_j)_{j=1}^m\|_{w, r_1} \|(y_j)_{j=1}^m\|_{w, r_2}$$

hence $\|T\varphi\|_{as, (s; r_1, r_2)} \leq \|\varphi\|$.

(b) $T\varphi \notin \mathcal{L}_{sas}^{(r_2; r_1, r_2)}(E, E; E)$ for $1 \leq r_1 \leq r_2$.

We choose $(y_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_{r_2}^w(E) \setminus \ell_{r_2}(E)$ and $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_{r_1}^w(E)$ with $\varphi(x_1) \neq 0$.
Hence

$$\left[\sum_{j,k=1}^\infty \|T\varphi(x_j, y_k)\|^{r_2} \right]^{\frac{1}{r_2}} = \left[\sum_{j=1}^\infty |\varphi(x_j)|^{r_2} \right]^{\frac{1}{r_2}} \left[\sum_{k=1}^\infty \|y_k\|^{r_2} \right]^{\frac{1}{r_2}} = +\infty$$

(3) Every n -linear mapping of finite type is strictly absolutely $(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$ -summing and

$$\|\varphi_1 \times \dots \times \varphi_n b\|_{sas, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} = \|\varphi_1\| \dots \|\varphi_n\| \|b\|$$

as a consequence of Hölder's inequality.

2.3. PROPOSITION - For a continuous n -linear mapping T from $E_1 \times \dots \times E_n$ into F the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) T is strictly absolutely $(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$ -summing.

(2) For every $(x_{k,j})_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_{r_k}^w(E_k), k = 1, \dots, n$

$$\|(T(x_{1,j_1}, \dots, x_{n,j_n}))_{j \in N^n}\|_s < +\infty$$

(3) The mapping

$$T_w : \ell_{r_1}^w(E_1) \times \dots \times \ell_{r_n}^w(E_n) \longrightarrow \ell_s(N^n; F)$$

given by

$$T_w((x_{1,j})_{j=1}^\infty, \dots, (x_{n,j})_{j=1}^\infty) = (T(x_{1,j_1}, \dots, x_{n,j_n}))_{j \in \mathbb{N}^n}$$

is well defined, n -linear and continuous

In this case

$$\|T\|_{sas, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} = \|T_w\|.$$

PROOF - It is clear that (3) implies (2) and (3) implies (1) with

$$\|T\|_{sas, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} \leq \|T_w\|.$$

Since we can easily prove that (1) implies (2) and (1) implies (3) with

$$\|T_w\| \leq \|T\|_{sas, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)},$$

it is enough to show that (2) implies (3). But this is a consequence of the Closed-Graph Theorem since we show easily that T_w is separately continuous, hence continuous, when we assume (2). ■

The following result has some interesting consequences.

2.4. PROPOSITION - If $s \geq r_k, r_1 \geq r_k$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ are such that

$$T_1 \in \mathcal{L}_{as}^{(s; r_1)}(E_1; \mathcal{L}_{sas}^{(r_1; r_2, \dots, r_n)}(E_2, \dots, E_n; F))$$

with

$$T_1(x_1)(x_2, \dots, x_n) = T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

for each $x_k \in E_k, k = 1, \dots, n$, then T is strictly absolutely $(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$ -summing and

$$\|T\|_{sas, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} \leq \|T_1\|_{as, (s; r_1)}$$

PROOF - For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_{k,j} \in E_k$, $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[\sum_{\substack{j_k=1 \\ k=1, \dots, n}}^m \|T(x_{1,j_1}, \dots, x_{n,j_n})\|^s \right]^{\frac{1}{s}} \\
 & \leq \left\{ \sum_{j_1=1}^m \left[\sum_{\substack{j_k=1 \\ k=2, \dots, n}}^m \|T_1(x_{1,j_1})(x_{2,j_2}, \dots, x_{n,j_n})\|^{r_1} \right]^{\frac{s}{r_1}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{s}} \\
 & \leq \left\{ \sum_{j_1=1}^m \left[\|T_1(x_{1,j_1})\|_{sas, (r_1; r_2, \dots, r_n)} \prod_{k=2}^n \|(x_{k,j})_{j=1}^m\|_{w, r_k} \right]^s \right\}^{\frac{1}{s}} \\
 & \leq \|T_1\|_{as, (s; r_1)} \prod_{k=1}^n \|(x_{k,j})_{j=1}^m\|_{w, r_k} .
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof for $s = +\infty$ is analogous. ■

2.5. CONSEQUENCES

$$(1) \mathcal{L}_{sas}(\ell_1, \ell_2; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}(\ell_1, \ell_2; \mathbb{K}).$$

$$(2) \mathcal{L}_{sas}^2(c_0, \ell_p; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}(c_0, \ell_p; \mathbb{K}) \quad \text{for } p \in [2, +\infty).$$

$$(3) \mathcal{L}_{sas}^2(c_0, c_0; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}(c_0, c_0; \mathbb{K}).$$

$$(4) \mathcal{L}_{sas}^r(c_0, \ell_p; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}(c_0, \ell_p; \mathbb{K}) \quad \text{for } 1 < r' < p < 2.$$

In fact: (1) follows from 2.4 and the Grothendieck's Theorem stating that $\mathcal{L}_{as}(\ell_1; \ell_2) = \mathcal{L}(\ell_1; \ell_2)$ (see [3]). On the other hand (2) and (3) are consequences of 2.4 and the result of Lindenstrauss and Pelczynski of the equality between $\mathcal{L}_{sas}^2(c_0; \ell_p)$ and $\mathcal{L}(c_0; \ell_p)$ for $p \in [1, 2]$ (see [6]). Finally (4) follows from 2.4 and the following result proved by Schwartz and Kwapien $\mathcal{L}(c_0; \ell_p) = \mathcal{L}_{as}^r(c_0; \ell_p)$ for $2 < p < r < +\infty$ (see [11] and [5]). ■

The following two propositions are proved easily and give ways of constructing new examples of strictly absolutely summing mappings.

2.6. PROPOSITION - If $T \in \mathcal{L}_{sas}^{(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$, $S \in \mathcal{L}(F; G)$ and $R_k \in \mathcal{L}(D_k; E_k)$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, then $S \circ T \circ (R_1, \dots, R_n)$ is strictly absolutely $(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$ -summing and

$$\|S \circ T \circ (R_1, \dots, R_n)\|_{sas, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} \leq \|S\| \|T\|_{sas, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} \prod_{k=1}^n \|R_k\|.$$

2.7. PROPOSITION - If $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$, $S_k \in \mathcal{L}_{sas}^{(s_k; r_k)}(D_k; E_k)$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, then $T \circ (S_1, \dots, S_n)$ is strictly absolutely $(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$ -summing for $s \geq \max \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ and

$$\|T \circ (S_1, \dots, S_n)\|_{sas, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} \leq \|T\| \prod_{k=1}^n \|S_k\|_{as, (s; r_k)}.$$

2.8. COROLLARY - If E_k has the Orlicz property for $k = 1, \dots, n$, then $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) = \mathcal{L}_{sas}^{(2; 1)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ and

$$\|T\|_{sas, (2; 1)} \leq \|T\| \prod_{k=1}^n O(E_k)$$

for every T n -linear continuous. Here $O(E_k) = \|\text{id}_{E_k}\|_{as, (2; 1)}$ is the Orlicz constant for $k = 1, \dots, n$.

PROOF - It follows from 2.7. and the fact that $\text{id}_{E_k} \in \mathcal{L}_{as}^{(2; 1)}(E_k; E_k)$ if E_k has the Orlicz property, $k = 1, \dots, n$. ■

As a consequence of 2.7 and the results of Grothendieck, Lindenstrauss, Pelczynski and Schwartz-Kwapień mentioned in the proof of 2.5 we have

2.9. CONSEQUENCES - (1) If $T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_2, \dots, \ell_2; F)$ and $S_k \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_1; \ell_2)$, $k = 1, \dots, n$ then $T \circ (S_1, \dots, S_n) \in \mathcal{L}_{sas}^{(s; 1)}(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_1; F)$ for $s \geq 1$.

(2) If $p \in [1, 2]$, $T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_p, \dots, \ell_p; F)$ and $S_k \in \mathcal{L}(c_0; \ell_p)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then $T \circ (S_1, \dots, S_n) \in \mathcal{L}_{sas}^{(s;2)}(c_0, \dots, c_0; F)$ for every $s \geq 2$.

(3) If $2 < p < r < +\infty$, $T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_p, \dots, \ell_p; F)$ and $S_k \in \mathcal{L}(c_0; \ell_p)$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, then $T \circ (S_1, \dots, S_n) \in \mathcal{L}_{sas}^{(s;r)}(c_0, \dots, c_0; F)$ for $s \geq r$.

3. STRICTLY ABSOLUTELY SUMMING MAPPINGS VERSUS TENSOR PRODUCTS

For $s \in [1, +\infty]$, $0 < r_k \leq s$, $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $u \in E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes F$ we consider

$$\rho_{(s;r_1, \dots, r_n)}(u) = \inf \|(\lambda_j)_{j \in N_m^n}\|_{s'} \| (b_j)_{j \in N_m^n} \|_{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^n \| (x_{k,j})_{j=1}^m \|_{w, r_k}$$

where the infimum is taken over all representations of u of the form

$$u = \sum_{j \in N_m^n} \lambda_j x_{1,j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{n,j_n} \otimes b_j$$

with $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{K}$, $x_{k,j} \in E_k$, $b_j \in F$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

We denote by t_n the element of $[0, 1]$ given by

$$\frac{1}{t_n} = \frac{1}{s'} + \frac{1}{r_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{r_n}.$$

3.1. PROPOSITION - If ε denotes the injective tensor norm, $\rho_{(s;r_1, \dots, r_n)}$ is a t_n -norm and $\varepsilon \leq \rho_{(s;r_1, \dots, r_n)}$.

PROOF - If

$$u = \sum_{j \in N_m^n} \lambda_j x_{1,j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{n,j_n} \otimes b_j$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon(u) &= \sup_{\substack{\varphi_k \in B_{E'_k} \\ k=1, \dots, n}} \left| \sum_{j \in N_m^n} \lambda_j \varphi_1(x_{1,j_1}) \dots \varphi_n(x_{n,j_n}) b_j \right| \\
&\leq \|(\lambda_j)_{j \in N_m^n}\|_{s'} \|(\varphi_1(x_{1,j_1}) \dots \varphi_n(x_{n,j_n}))_{j \in N_m^n}\|_s \| (b_j)_{j \in N_m^n} \|_\infty \\
&\leq \|(\lambda_j)_{j \in N_m^n}\|_{s'} \prod_{k=1}^n \| (x_{k,j})_{j \in N_m} \|_{w, r_k} \| (b_j)_{j \in N_m^n} \|_\infty
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and } \varepsilon(u) \leq \rho_{(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}(u) .$$

For u, v in $E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes F$ and $\delta > 0$ it is possible to find representations of u and v of the form

$$u = \sum_{j \in N_m^n} \lambda_j x_{1,j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{n,j_n} \otimes b_j$$

$$v = \sum_{j \in N_p^n} \eta_j y_{1,j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes y_{n,j_n} \otimes c_j$$

such that

$$\|(\lambda_j)_{j \in N_m^n}\|_{s'} \leq \left[(1 + \delta) \rho_{(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}(u) \right]^{\frac{t_n}{s'}}$$

$$\|(\eta_i)_{i \in N_p^n}\|_{s'} \leq \left[(1 + \delta) \rho_{(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}(v) \right]^{\frac{t_n}{s'}}$$

$$\|(x_{k,j})_{j=1}^m\|_{w, r_k} \leq \left[(1 + \delta) \rho_{(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}(u) \right]^{\frac{t_n}{r_k}}$$

$$\|(y_{k,i})_{i=1}^p\|_{w, r_k} \leq \left[(1 + \delta) \rho_{(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}(v) \right]^{\frac{t_n}{r_k}}$$

$$\|(b_j)_{j \in N_m^n}\|_\infty = 1 = \|(c_i)_{i \in N_p^n}\|_\infty .$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon(u) &= \sup_{\substack{\varphi_k \in E'_k \\ k=1, \dots, n}} \left| \sum_{j \in N_m^n} \lambda_j \varphi_1(x_{1,j_1}) \dots \varphi_n(x_{n,j_n}) b_j \right| \\
&\leq \|(\lambda_j)_{j \in N_m^n}\|_{s'} \|(\varphi_1(x_{1,j_1}) \dots \varphi_n(x_{n,j_n}))_{j \in N_m^n}\|_s \| (b_j)_{j \in N_m^n} \|_\infty \\
&\leq \|(\lambda_j)_{j \in N_m^n}\|_{s'} \prod_{k=1}^n \| (x_{k,j})_{j \in N_m} \|_{w, r_k} \| (b_j)_{j \in N_m^n} \|_\infty
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and } \varepsilon(u) \leq \rho_{(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}(u) .$$

For u, v in $E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes F$ and $\delta > 0$ it is possible to find representations of u and v of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
u &= \sum_{j \in N_m^n} \lambda_j x_{1,j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{n,j_n} \otimes b_j \\
v &= \sum_{j \in N_p^n} \eta_j y_{1,j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes y_{n,j_n} \otimes c_j
\end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\lambda_j)_{j \in N_m^n}\|_{s'} &\leq \left[(1 + \delta) \rho_{(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}(u) \right]^{\frac{tn}{s'}} \\
\|(\eta_i)_{i \in N_p^n}\|_{s'} &\leq \left[(1 + \delta) \rho_{(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}(v) \right]^{\frac{tn}{s'}} \\
\|(x_{k,j})_{j=1}^m\|_{w, r_k} &\leq \left[(1 + \delta) \rho_{(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}(u) \right]^{\frac{tn}{r_k}} \\
\|(y_{k,i})_{i=1}^p\|_{w, r_k} &\leq \left[(1 + \delta) \rho_{(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}(v) \right]^{\frac{tn}{r_k}} \\
\|(b_j)_{j \in N_m^n}\|_\infty &= 1 = \|(c_i)_{i \in N_p^n}\|_\infty .
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\rho(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)(u+v) \right]^{t_n} \\ & \leq \left[\sum_{j \in N_m^n} |\lambda_j|^{s'} + \sum_{i \in N_p^n} |\eta_i|^{s'} \right]^{\frac{t_n}{s'}} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^n \left[\sup_{\varphi \in B_{E_k'}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi(x_{k,j})|^{r_k} + \sum_{i=1}^p |\varphi(y_{k,i})|^{r_k} \right) \right]^{\frac{t_n}{r_k}} \\ & \leq (1+\delta)^{t_n} \left[(\rho(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)(u))^{t_n} + (\rho(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)(v))^{t_n} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

For $s = +\infty$ we have an analogous inequality.

Hence the triangular inequality is proved for the t_n power of $\rho(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$. The other conditions are easily verified. ■

3.2. PROPOSITION - The topological dual of $(E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes F, \rho(s; r_1, \dots, r_n))$ is isometric to $\mathcal{L}_{s, s'}^{(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F')$ through the mapping B defined by

$$B(\psi)(x_1, \dots, x_n)(b) = \psi(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n \otimes b)$$

for every $\rho(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$ -continuous linear functional ψ on $E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes F$, $x_k \in E_k$, $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $b \in F$.

PROOF - (1) First we consider $B(\psi)$ defined as above. It is clear that $B(\psi) \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F')$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_{k,j} \in E_k$, $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$ we can find $b_j = b(j_1, \dots, j_m) \in F$, $\|b_j\| = 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \in N_m^n} \|B(\psi)(x_{1,j_1}, \dots, x_{n,j_n})\|^s \\ & \leq \varepsilon + \sum_{j \in N_m^n} |B(\psi)(x_{1,j_1}, \dots, x_{n,j_n})(b_j)|^s = \varepsilon + \sum_{j \in N_m^n} |\psi(x_{1,j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{n,j_n} \otimes b_j)|^s \end{aligned}$$

For a convenient choice of $\lambda_j \in K$, $|\lambda_j| = 1$ we can write

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\rho_{(s;r_1, \dots, r_n)}(u+v) \right]^{t_n} \\ & \leq \left[\sum_{j \in N_m^n} |\lambda_j|^{s'} + \sum_{i \in N_p^n} |\eta_i|^{s'} \right]^{\frac{t_n}{s'}} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^n \left[\sup_{\varphi \in B_{E_k}^{r_k}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi(x_{k,j})|^{r_k} + \sum_{i=1}^p |\varphi(y_{k,i})|^{r_k} \right) \right]^{\frac{t_n}{r_k}} \\ & \leq (1+\delta)^{t_n} \left[(\rho_{(s;r_1, \dots, r_n)}(u))^{t_n} + (\rho_{(s;r_1, \dots, r_n)}(v))^{t_n} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

For $s = +\infty$ we have an analogous inequality.

Hence the triangular inequality is proved for the t_n power of $\rho_{(s;r_1, \dots, r_n)}$. The other conditions are easily verified. ■

3.2. PROPOSITION - The topological dual of $(E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes F, \rho_{(s;r_1, \dots, r_n)})$ is isometric to $\mathcal{L}_{s, s'}^{(s;r_1, \dots, r_n)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F')$ through the mapping B defined by

$$B(\psi)(x_1, \dots, x_n)(b) = \psi(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n \otimes b)$$

for every $\rho_{(s;r_1, \dots, r_n)}$ -continuous linear functional ψ on $E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes F$, $x_k \in E_k$, $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $b \in F$.

PROOF - (1) First we consider $B(\psi)$ defined as above. It is clear that $B(\psi) \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F')$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_{k,j} \in E_k$, $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$ we can find $b_j = b(j_1, \dots, j_m) \in F$, $\|b_j\| = 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \in N_m^n} \|B(\psi)(x_{1,j_1}, \dots, x_{n,j_n})\|^s \\ & \leq \varepsilon + \sum_{j \in N_m^n} |B(\psi)(x_{1,j_1}, \dots, x_{n,j_n})(b_j)|^s = \otimes \end{aligned}$$

For a convenient choice of $\lambda_j \in K$, $|\lambda_j| = 1$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \otimes = \varepsilon + \sum_{j \in N_m^n} |\lambda_j \psi(|\psi(x_{1,j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{n,j_n} \otimes b_j|^{s-1} x_{1,j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{n,j_n} \otimes b_j)| \\
& \leq \varepsilon + \|\psi\| \left[\sum_{j \in N_m^n} |\psi(x_{1,j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{n,j_n} \otimes b_j)|^{(s-1)s'} \right]^{\frac{1}{s'}} . \\
& \cdot \prod_{k=1}^n \|(x_{k,j})_{j=1}^m\|_{w,r_k} \quad \|(b_j)_{j \in N_m^n}\|_{\infty}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $(s-1)s' = s$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary the preceding inequalities give

$$\|(B(\psi)(x_{1,j_1}, \dots, x_{n,j_n}))_{j \in N_m^n}\|_s \leq \|\psi\| \prod_{k=1}^n \|(x_{k,j})_{j=1}^m\|_{w,r_k} .$$

For $s = +\infty$ we have analogous inequality.

Hence $B(\psi)$ is strictly absolutely $(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$ -summing and

$$\|B(\psi)\|_{sas, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} \leq \|\psi\| .$$

(2) If T is strictly absolutely $(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$ -summing from $E_1 \times \dots \times E_n$ into F' we define a linear functional on $E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes F$ by

$$\psi_T(u) = \sum_{j \in N_m^n} \lambda_j T(x_{1,j_1}, \dots, x_{n,j_n}) b_j$$

for

$$u = \sum_{j \in N_m^n} \lambda_j x_{1,j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{n,j_n} \otimes b_j .$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\psi_T(u)| & \leq \|(\lambda_j)_{j \in N_m^n}\|_{s'} \|T(x_{1,j_1}, \dots, x_{n,j_n})_{j \in N_m^n}\|_s \|(b_j)_{j \in N_m^n}\|_{\infty} \\
& \leq \|T\|_{sas, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} \|(\lambda_j)_{j \in N_m^n}\|_{s'} \prod_{k=1}^n \|(x_{k,j})_{j=1}^m\|_{w,r_k} \|(b_j)_{j \in N_m^n}\|_{\infty}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence ψ_T is $\rho_{(s;r_1, \dots, r_n)}$ -continuous and

$$\|\psi_T\| \leq \|T\|_{sas, (s;r_1, \dots, r_n)}. \quad \blacksquare$$

3.3. REMARK - The t_n -norm $\rho_{(s;r_1, \dots, r_n)}$ is a norm if

$$\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{r_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{r_n}$$

In this case we have $\rho_{(s;r_1, \dots, r_n)} \leq \pi$, where π denotes the projective tensor norm on $E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes F$.

4. QUASI-NUCLEAR MAPPINGS

In this section, unless it is stated explicitly otherwise, we consider $s \in (0, +\infty]$ and $r_k \in [1, +\infty]$ such that $s \leq r_k, k = 1, \dots, n$. If we take

$$\frac{1}{t_n} = \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{r'_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{r'_n}$$

we have $t_n \in (0, 1]$.

4.1. DEFINITION - A mapping $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ is $(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$ -quasi-nuclear if it has a representation of the form

$$T = \sum_{j \in N^n} \lambda_j \varphi_{1,j_1} \times \dots \times \varphi_{n,j_n} b_j$$

where $(\lambda_j)_{j \in N^n} \in \ell_s(N^n)$ if $s < +\infty$ and in $c_0(N^n)$ if $s = +\infty$, $(\varphi_{k,j})_{j \in N} \in \ell_{r'_k}^w(E'_k)$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $(b_j)_{j \in N^n} \in \ell_\infty(N^n; F)$.

The vector space of all such mappings is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{qN}^{(s;r_1, \dots, r_n)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ and we consider on it the following t_n -norm

$$\|T\|_{qN, (s;r_1, \dots, r_n)} = \inf \|(\lambda_j)_{j \in N^n}\|_s \prod_{k=1}^n \|(\varphi_{k,j})_{j=1}^\infty\|_{w, r'_k} \| (b_j)_{j \in N^n} \|_\infty$$

Hence ψ_T is $\rho_{(s;r_1,\dots,r_n)}$ -continuous and

$$\|\psi_T\| \leq \|T\|_{sas,(s;r_1,\dots,r_n)}. \blacksquare$$

3.3. REMARK - The t_n -norm $\rho_{(s;r_1,\dots,r_n)}$ is a norm if

$$\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{r_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{r_n}$$

In this case we have $\rho_{(s;r_1,\dots,r_n)} \leq \pi$, where π denotes the projective tensor norm on $E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n \otimes F$.

4. QUASI-NUCLEAR MAPPINGS

In this section, unless it is stated explicitly otherwise, we consider $s \in (0, +\infty]$ and $r_k \in [1, +\infty]$ such that $s \leq r_k, k = 1, \dots, n$. If we take

$$\frac{1}{t_n} = \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{r'_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{r'_n}$$

we have $t_n \in (0, 1]$.

4.1. DEFINITION - A mapping $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ is $(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$ -quasi-nuclear if it has a representation of the form

$$T = \sum_{j \in N^n} \lambda_j \varphi_{1,j_1} \times \dots \times \varphi_{n,j_n} b_j$$

where $(\lambda_j)_{j \in N^n} \in \ell_s(N^n)$ if $s < +\infty$ and in $c_0(N^n)$ if $s = +\infty$, $(\varphi_{k,j})_{j \in N} \in \ell_{r'_k}^w(E'_k)$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $(b_j)_{j \in N^n} \in \ell_\infty(N^n; F)$.

The vector space of all such mappings is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{qN}^{(s;r_1,\dots,r_n)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ and we consider on it the following t_n -norm

$$\|T\|_{qN,(s;r_1,\dots,r_n)} = \inf \|(\lambda_j)_{j \in N^n}\|_s \prod_{k=1}^n \|(\varphi_{k,j})_{j=1}^\infty\|_{w,r'_k} \| (b_j)_{j \in N^n} \|_\infty$$

where the infimum is taken over all the possible representations as described in 4.1. As usual we replace $(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$ by $(s; r)$ if $r_1 = \dots = r_n = r$ and $(s; r)$ by r if $s = r$ in the preceding notations. When $s = r = 1$ we omit 1 in the notations. In all cases we have complete metrizable topological vector spaces.

In order to justify the use of the term "quasi-nuclear" we recall that for $s \in (0, +\infty]$ and $r_k \in [1, +\infty], k = 1, \dots, n$ such that

$$1 \leq \frac{1}{t_n} = \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{r'_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{r'_n}$$

we considered in [8] the following concept.

4.2. DEFINITION - A mapping $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ is of *nuclear type* $(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$ if it has a representation of the form

$$T = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \varphi_{1,j} \times \dots \times \varphi_{n,j} b_j$$

where $(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_s$ for $s < +\infty$ and is in c_0 if $s = +\infty$, $(\varphi_{k,j})_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_{r'_k}^w(E'_k)$, $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $(b_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_{\infty}(F)$.

The vector space of all these mappings is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_N^{(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ and it is a complete metrizable topological vector space under the t_n -norm

$$\|T\|_{N, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} = \inf \|(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_s \prod_{k=1}^n \|(\varphi_{k,j})_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_{w, r'_k} \| (b_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \|_{\infty}$$

where the infimum is taken for all possible representations of T as described in 4.2. The simplification of the notations is made as in the quasi-nuclear case.

4.3. REMARKS

$$(1) \mathcal{L}_N^{(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \subset \mathcal{L}_{qN}^{(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$$

with

$$\|T\| \leq \|T\|_{qN, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} \leq \|T\|_{N, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}$$

for every T of nuclear type $(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$.

$$(2) \mathcal{L}_f(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \text{ is dense in } \mathcal{L}_{qN}^{(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \text{ and}$$

$$\|\varphi_1 \times \dots \times \varphi_n b\|_{qN, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} = \|\varphi_1\| \dots \|\varphi_n\| \|b\|$$

for $\varphi_k \in E'_k, k = 1, \dots, n$ and $b \in F$.

$$(3) \mathcal{L}_N(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) = \mathcal{L}_{qN}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \text{ isometrically}$$

(4) If $T \in \mathcal{L}_{qN}^{(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$, $S_k \in \mathcal{L}(D_k; E_k), k = 1, \dots, n$ and $R \in \mathcal{L}(F; G)$, then $R \circ T \circ (S_1, \dots, S_n)$ is $(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$ -quasi-nuclear and

$$\|R \circ T \circ (S_1, \dots, S_n)\|_{qN, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} \leq \|R\| \prod_{k=1}^n \|S_k\| \|T\|_{qN, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}$$

(5) If $(\lambda_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^n}$ is in $\ell_s(\mathbb{N}^n)$ for $s < +\infty$ or in $c_0(\mathbb{N}^n)$ for $s = +\infty$, the n -linear mapping $D_{(\lambda)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^n}}$ defined on $\ell_{r'_1} \times \dots \times \ell_{r'_n}$ with values in $\ell_1(\mathbb{N}^n)$ by

$$D_{(\lambda)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^n}}((\xi_{1,j})_{j=1}^\infty, \dots, (\xi_{n,j})_{j=1}^\infty) = (\lambda_j \xi_{1,j_1} \dots \xi_{n,j_n})_{j \in \mathbb{N}^n}$$

is $(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$ -quasi-nuclear and

$$\|D_{(\lambda)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^n}}\|_{qN, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} \leq \|(\lambda_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^n}\|_s.$$

The following result gives another characterization of quasi-nuclear mappings.

4.4. PROPOSITION - For $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ the following conditions are equivalent

(1) T is $(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$ -quasi-nuclear.

(2) There are $A_k \in \mathcal{L}(E_k; \ell_{r_k})$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, $Y \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_1(N^n); F)$ and $(\lambda_j)_{j \in N^n} \in \ell_s(N^n)$ such that

$$T = Y \circ D_{(\lambda_j)_{j \in N^n}} \circ (A_1, \dots, A_n)$$

In this case

$$\|T\|_{qN, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} \leq \inf \|Y\| \prod_{k=1}^n \|A_k\| \|(\lambda_j)_{j \in N^n}\|_s$$

with the infimum taken over all possible factorizations as described in (2).

PROOF - It is clear that (2) implies (1) by 4.3.(4) and 4.3.(5).

In order to show that (1) implies (2) we consider a representation of T as in 4.1 and define

$$A_k(x) = (\varphi_{k,j}(x))_{j=1}^{\infty} \quad (\forall x \in E_k, k = 1, \dots, n)$$

and

$$Y((\xi_j)_{j \in N^n}) = \sum_{j \in N^n} \xi_j b_j \quad (\forall (\xi_j)_{j \in N^n} \in \ell_1(N^n))$$

and the result follows by Holder's inequality. ■

4.5. REMARK - It is clear that every $T \in \mathcal{L}_f(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ has a finite representation

$$T = \sum_{j \in N_m^n} \lambda_j \varphi_{1,j_1} \times \dots \times \varphi_{n,j_n} b_j.$$

It is also clear that we have a t_n -norm on $\mathcal{L}_f(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ defined by

$$\|T\|_{qNf, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} = \inf \|(\lambda_j)_{j \in N_m^n}\|_s \prod_{k=1}^n \|(\varphi_{k,j})_{j=1}^m\|_{w, r'_k} \| (b_j)_{j \in N_m^n} \|_\infty$$

where the infimum is taken over all finite representation of T as above. We know that

$$\|T\|_{qN, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} \leq \|T\|_{qNf, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}$$

for every $T \in \mathcal{L}_f(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$. We would like to know cases where there is equality.

4.6. PROPOSITION - If E_1, \dots, E_n are finite dimensional, then

$$\|T\|_{qNf, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} = \|T\|_{qN, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}$$

for every $T \in \mathcal{L}_f(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$.

PROOF - In this case $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) = \mathcal{L}_f(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ is complete for both t_n -norms. Hence by the open mapping theorem these t_n -norms are equivalent and there is $C \geq 0$ such that

$$\|T\|_{qNf, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} \leq C \|T\|_{qN, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}$$

for every T in $\mathcal{L}_f(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ we choose a representation

$$T = \sum_{j \in N^n} \sigma_j \varphi_{1,j_1} \times \dots \times \varphi_{n,j_n} y_j$$

such that

$$\|(\sigma_j)_{j \in N^n}\|_s \| (y_j)_{j \in N^n} \|_\infty \prod_{k=1}^n \|(\varphi_{k,j})_{j \in N}\|_{w, r'_k} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|T\|_{qN, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[\|T\|_{qNf, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} \right]^{t_n} \leq \left[\left\| \sum_{j \in N_m^n} \sigma_j \varphi_{1, j_1} \times \dots \times \varphi_{n, j_n} y_j \right\|_{qNf, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} \right]^{t_n} \\
& + \left[\left\| \sum_{\substack{j_k > m \\ k=1, \dots, n}} \sigma_j \varphi_{1, j_1} \times \dots \times \varphi_{n, j_n} y_j \right\|_{qNf, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} \right]^{t_n} \\
& \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{t_n} \left[\|T\|_{qN, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} \right]^{t_n} \\
& + C^{t_n} \left[\left\| \sum_{\substack{j_k > m \\ k=1, \dots, n}} \sigma_j \varphi_{1, j_1} \times \dots \times \varphi_{n, j_n} y_j \right\|_{qN, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} \right]^{t_n} \\
& \leq \left[(1 + \varepsilon)^{t_n} + \varepsilon^{t_n} \right] \left[\|T\|_{qN, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} \right]^{t_n}
\end{aligned}$$

for m large enough. ■

4.7. PROPOSITION - If $T \in \mathcal{L}_{qN}^{(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ and $S_k \in \mathcal{L}_f(D_k; E_k)$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$, then

$$\|T \circ (S_1, \dots, S_n)\|_{qNf, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} \leq \|T\|_{qN, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} \prod_{k=1}^n \|S_k\|.$$

PROOF - If J_k denotes the natural injection from $S_k(D_k)$ into E_k we can write $S_k = J_k \circ \tilde{S}_k$ with $\|\tilde{S}_k\| = \|S_k\|$. Hence $T \circ (J_1, \dots, J_n)$ is in $\mathcal{L}_f(S_1(D_1), \dots, S_n(D_n); F)$. Now we apply 4.6 and 4.3.(4) to have the result. ■

4.8. PROPOSITION - If E'_1, \dots, E'_n have the bounded approximation property, then

$$\|T\|_{qNf, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)} = \|T\|_{qN, (s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}$$

for every $T \in \mathcal{L}_f(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$.

PROOF - We prove the result for $n = 2$. For $n > 2$ and $n = 1$ the proofs are analogous. Since $T_1 \in \mathcal{L}_f(E_1; \mathcal{L}(E_2; F))$, where $T_1(x_1)(x_2) = T(x_1, x_2)$ for $x_k \in E_k, k = 1, 2$ and T is of finite type, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $S_1 \in \mathcal{L}_f(E_1; E_1)$ such that $T_1 \circ S_1 = T_1$ and $\|S_1\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\lambda_1$ (because E'_1 has the λ_1 -approximation property for some $\lambda_1 > 0$). Hence $T(S_1(x_1), x_2) = T(x_1, x_2)$ for $x_k \in E_k, k = 1, 2$. By the same type of reasoning $T_2 \in \mathcal{L}_f(E_2; \mathcal{L}(E_1; F))$, when $T_2(x_2)(x_1) = T(x_1, x_2)$ for $x_k \in E_k, k = 1, 2$ and there is $S_2 \in \mathcal{L}_f(E_2; E_2)$ such that $T_2 \circ S_2 = T_2$ with $\|S_2\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\lambda_2$. We have $T(x_1, S_2(x_2)) = T(x_1, x_2)$ for $x_k \in E_k, k = 1, 2$. Thus $T = T \circ (S_1, S_2)$ and by 4.7. we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\|_{qNf, (s; r_1, r_2)} &\leq \|T\|_{qN, (s; r_1, r_2)} \|S_1\| \|S_2\| \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon)^2 \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \|T\|_{qN, (s; r_1, r_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|T\|_{qNf, (s; r_1, r_2)} \leq \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \|T\|_{qN, (s; r_1, r_2)}.$$

With the same argument used in the proof of 4.6 we have

$$\|T\|_{qNf, (s; r_1, r_2)} \leq \|T\|_{qN, (s; r_1, r_2)}. \quad \blacksquare$$

4.9. COROLLARY - If E'_1, \dots, E'_n have the bounded approximation property, then $\mathcal{L}_{qN}^{(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ is isometric to the completion of $(E'_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E'_n \otimes F, \rho_{(s', r'_1, \dots, r'_n)})$ for $s, r_k \in [1, +\infty], k = 1, \dots, n$

4.10. PROPOSITION - If E'_1, \dots, E'_n have the bounded approximation property, then the topological dual of $\mathcal{L}_{qN}^{(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ is isometric to $\mathcal{L}_{qN}^{(s'; r'_1, \dots, r'_n)}(E'_1, \dots, E'_n; F')$ for $s, r_k \in [1, +\infty], k = 1, \dots, n$, through the mapping

$$\mathcal{B}(\psi)(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)(b) = \psi(\varphi_1 \times \dots \times \varphi_n b)$$

for ψ in the topological dual of $\mathcal{L}_{qN}^{(s;r_1, \dots, r_n)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$, $\varphi_k \in E'_k$, $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $b \in F$.

PROOF - It is a consequence of 4.9 and 3.2. ■

We recall that in [8] we proved that the topological dual of $\mathcal{L}_N^{(s;r_1, \dots, r_n)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ is isometric to $\mathcal{L}_{a's'}^{(s';r'_1, \dots, r'_n)}(E'_1, \dots, E'_n; F')$ through the mapping \mathcal{B} as defined in 4.10, when E'_1, \dots, E'_n have the bounded approximation property and $s, r_k \in [1, +\infty]$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. This fact, 4.10 and 2.2.(2) show that in general the spaces $\mathcal{L}_N^{(s;r_1, \dots, r_n)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{qN}^{(s;r_1, \dots, r_n)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ are different.

5. HILBERT-SCHMIDT MULTILINEAR MAPPINGS

In this section E_1, \dots, E_n and F are Hilbert spaces. In this case, as we are going to show that, there is a close relationship between the Hilbert-Schmidt and the strictly absolutely summing mappings.

5.1. PROPOSITION - If $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ and $(u_{k,j})_{j \in J_k}$ is an orthonormal basis for E_k , $k = 1, \dots, n$, the value

$$\sum_{\substack{j_k \in J_k \\ k=1, \dots, n}} \|T(u_{1,j_1}, \dots, u_{n,j_n})\|^2$$

(finite or not) is independent of the orthonormal basis chosen for E_k , $k = 1, \dots, n$.

PROOF - For $n = 1$ Parseval's equality gives

$$\sum_{j \in J_1} \|T(u_{1,j})\|^2 = \sum_{j \in J} \|T^*(v_j)\|^2$$

where $(v_j)_{j \in J}$ is an orthonormal basis for F . The case $n > 1$ is proved by fixing $n - 1$ variables and applying the linear result to the remaining variable. ■

5.2. DEFINITION - A mapping $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ is said to be *Hilbert-Schmidt* if there is an orthonormal basis $(u_{k,j})_{j \in J_k}$ for $E_k, k = 1, \dots, n$ such that

$$\|T\|_{HS} = \left[\sum_{\substack{j_k \in J_k \\ k=1, \dots, n}} \|T(u_{1,j_1}, \dots, u_{n,j_n})\|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} < +\infty$$

We denote by $\mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ the vector space of all such mappings. It is easy to show that it is a Hilbert space under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{HS}$ defined by the inner product

$$\langle T, S \rangle = \sum_{\substack{j_k \in J_k \\ k=1, \dots, n}} \langle T(u_{1,j_1}, \dots, u_{n,j_n}), S(u_{1,j_1}, \dots, u_{n,j_n}) \rangle$$

5.3. PROPOSITION - The Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ is isometric to $\mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1; \mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_2, \dots, E_n; F))$.

PROOF -

For $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ we consider $T_1 \in \mathcal{L}(E_1; \mathcal{L}(E_2, \dots, E_n; F))$. If T is Hilbert-Schmidt, $(u_{k,j})_{j \in J_k}$ is an orthonormal basis for $E_k, k = 1, \dots, n$ and $(v_j)_{j \in J}$ is an orthonormal basis for F , we can write for each $x \in E_1$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{j_k \in J_k \\ k \geq 2}} \|T_1(x)(u_{2,j_2}, \dots, u_{n,j_n})\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\substack{j_k \in J_k \\ k \geq 2 \\ j \in J}} \left| \sum_{j_1 \in J_1} \langle x, u_{1,j_1} \rangle \langle T(u_{1,j_1}, \dots, u_{n,j_n}), v_j \rangle \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \|x\|^2 [\|T\|_{HS}]^2.$$

Hence $T_1(x)$ is Hilbert-Schmidt and $\|T_1(x)\|_{HS} \leq \|T\|_{HS}\|x\|$. Now it is clear that

$$\sum_{j_1 \in J_1} [\|T_1(u_{1,j_1})\|_{HS}]^2 = [\|T\|_{HS}]^2$$

and $T_1 \in \mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1; \mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_2, \dots, E_n; F))$ with $\|T_1\|_{HS} = \|T\|_{HS}$. If $S \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ is such that $S_1 \in \mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1; \mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_2, \dots, E_n; F))$ it is easy to see that

$$\sum_{j_1 \in J_1} [\|S_1(u_{1,j_1})\|_{HS}]^2 = \sum_{\substack{j_k \in J_k \\ k=1, \dots, n}} \|S(u_{1,j_1}, \dots, u_{n,j_n})\|_{HS}^2$$

Hence S is Hilbert-Schmidt and $\|S\|_{HS} = \|S_1\|_{HS}$. ■

5.4. COROLLARY -

(a) $\mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_k; \mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_{k+1}, \dots, E_n; F))$ are isometric.

(b) $\mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_n, F'; \mathbb{K})$ and $\mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ are isometric.

5.5. PROPOSITION - $\mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{sas}^2(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ are identically isometric.

PROOF - (a) If $T \in \mathcal{L}_{sas}^2(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ and $(u_{k,j})_{j \in J_k}$ is an orthonormal basis for E_k , $k = 1, \dots, n$, then $\|(u_{k,j})_{j \in J_k}\|_{w,2} = 1$ for each k and we have T Hilbert-Schmidt with $\|T\|_{HS} \leq \|T\|_{sas,2}$.

(b) We assume $T \in \mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$. For $n = 1$ we consider $x_j \in E_1$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ and an orthonormal basis $(v_k)_{k \in I}$ for F . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m \|T(x_j)\|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \left[\sum_{k \in I} \sum_{j=1}^m |\langle x_j, T^*(v_k) \rangle|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left[\sum_{k \in I} \|T^*(v_k)\|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \sup_{\varphi \in B_{E_1'}} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m |\langle x_j, \varphi \rangle|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \|T\|_{HS} \|(x_j)_{j=1}^m\|_{w,2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T \in \mathcal{L}_{sas}^2(E_1; F)$ and $\|T\|_{sas,2} \leq \|T\|_{HS}$.

For $n > 1$ we assume the result true for $k \leq n-1$. Since $T_1 \in \mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1; \mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_2, \dots, E_n; F))$ by 5.3., we have T_1 in $\mathcal{L}_{sas}^2(E_1; \mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_2, \dots, E_n; F)) \subset \mathcal{L}_{sas}^2(E_1; \mathcal{L}_{sas}^2(E_2, \dots, E_n; F))$ with $\|T_1\|_{sas,2} \leq \|T_1\|_{HS} = \|T\|_{HS}$. By 2.4. we have T in $\mathcal{L}_{sas}^2(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ and $\|T\|_{sas,2} \leq \|T_1\|_{sas,2} \leq \|T\|_{HS}$. ■

For $p \in [1, +\infty)$ we can show some interesting connections between Hilbert-Schmidt and strictly absolutely p -summing multilinear mappings.

5.6. PROPOSITION - There is $d > 0$ such that for $p \in [1, +\infty)$ every T in $\mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ is strictly absolutely p -summing and

$$d^m \|T\|_{sas,p} \leq \|T\|_{HS}.$$

PROOF - We use induction on n .

For $n = 1$ there are $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ orthonormal in E_1 , $(y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ orthonormal in F and $(\lambda_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell_2$ such that $T(x_i) = \lambda_i y_i$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\|T\|_{HS} = \|(\lambda_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_2.$$

If $(u_j)_{j=1}^m$ is a finite sequence in E_1 and $(r_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the sequence of Rademacher

functions, we consider

$$\|T\|_{HS} v(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_i(t) \lambda_i x_i \in E_1$$

for every $t \in [0, 1]$. Now, by the Khintchine's inequality (see [4]; see also [10], page 41), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\|_{HS} \|(u_j)_{j=1}^m\|_{w,1} &\geq \|T\|_{HS} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \sum_{j=1}^m |\langle u_j, v(t) \rangle| \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_i(t) \bar{\lambda}_i \langle u_j, x_i \rangle \right| dt \\ &\geq d \sum_{j=1}^m \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i \langle u_j, x_i \rangle|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = d \sum_{j=1}^m \|T(u_j)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T \in \mathcal{L}_{sas}(E_1; F)$ and $d\|T\|_{sas} \leq \|T\|_{HS}$. Also $T \in \mathcal{L}_{sas}^p(E_1; F)$ with $d\|T\|_{sas,p} \leq d\|T\|_{sas} \leq \|T\|_{HS}$ for $p \geq 1$.

Now we assume the result true for $n \leq k$, $k \geq 1$ and prove it for $k+1$.

If $T \in \mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_{k+1}; F)$, then $T_1 \in \mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1; \mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_2, \dots, E_{k+1}; F))$ by 5.3. By our induction hypothesis

$$E_1 \xrightarrow{T_1} \mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_{k+1}; F) \xrightarrow{J} \mathcal{L}_{sas}^p(E_2, \dots, E_{k+1}; F)$$

with $J \circ T_1 \in \mathcal{L}_{sas}^p(E_1; \mathcal{L}_{sas}^p(E_2, \dots, E_{k+1}; F))$ and

$$\begin{aligned} d^{k+1} \|J \circ T_1\|_{sas,p} &\leq d[d^k \|J\|] \|T\|_{sas,p} \\ &\leq d\|T_1\|_{sas,p} \leq \|T_1\|_{HS}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by 2.4, $T \in \mathcal{L}_{sas}^p(E_1, \dots, E_{k+1}; F)$

$$d^{k+1} \|T\|_{sas,p} \leq d^{k+1} \|J \circ T_1\|_{sas,p} \leq \|T_1\|_{HS} = \|T\|_{HS} \cdot \blacksquare$$

Before we prove next result we consider $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $D_m = \{-1, 1\}^m$ with a measure μ defined by $\mu(e) = 2^{-m}$ for every $e = (e_1, \dots, e_m)$ in D_m . We denote by π_k the k -th projection from D_m onto $\{-1, 1\}$. It follows that

$$\int_{D_m} \pi_j(e) \pi_k(e) d\mu(e) = \delta_{jk} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = k \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq k \end{cases}$$

5.7. PROPOSITION - For $p \in [2, +\infty)$ there is $b_p > 0$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{sas}^p(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) = \mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ and

$$d^n \|T\|_{sas,p} \leq \|T\|_{HS} \leq (b_p)^n \|T\|_{sas,p}$$

for every T strictly absolutely p -summing.

PROOF - Part of this result follows from 5.6. Now we consider $T \in \mathcal{L}_{sas}^p(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ and an orthonormal basis $(u_{k,j})_{j \in I_k}$, for $E_k, k = 1, \dots, n$. For each finite subset J_k of I_k with m elements we consider $(u_{k,j})_{j \in J_k}$ ordered linearly and write $u_{k,1}, \dots, u_{k,m}$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. We take

$$w_k(e) = \sum_{j=1}^m e_j u_{k,j}$$

for $e \in D_m$ and $k = 1, \dots, n$, and write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[\sum_{\substack{j_k=1 \\ k=1, \dots, n}}^m \|T(u_{1,j_1}, \dots, u_{n,j_n})\|^2 \right]^2 \\
&= \left[\int_{D_m^n} \|T(w_1(e^{(1)}), \dots, w_n(e^{(n)}))\|^2 d\mu(e^{(1)}) \dots d\mu(e^{(n)}) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \left[\int_{D_m^n} \|T(w_1(e^{(1)}), \dots, w_n(e^{(n)}))\|^p d\mu(e^{(1)}) \dots d\mu(e^{(n)}) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq \|T\|_{sas,p} \prod_{k=1}^n \sup_{\varphi \in B_{E'_k}} \left[\int_{D_m} |\varphi(w_k(e))|^p d\mu(e) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq \|T\|_{sas,p} \prod_{k=1}^n b_p \sup_{\varphi \in B_{E'_k}} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m |\varphi(u_{k,j})|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|T\|_{sas,p} (b_p)^n
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality was obtained through the Khintchine's inequality. Hence $T \in \mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ and

$$\|T\|_{HS} \leq (b_p)^n \|T\|_{sas,p}. \quad \blacksquare$$

5.8. REMARKS

(1), Pietsch in [9] asked if there would be some $(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_{as}^{(s; r_1, \dots, r_n)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_n; \mathbb{K})$$

when $n \geq 2$. It is easy to see that $T\varphi$ in 2.2(2) is not Hilbert-Schmidt but is $\mathcal{L}_{as}^{(s; r_1, r_2)}(E, E; E)$ whenever $s \geq r_1$. If we consider *strictly* absolutely summing mappings 5.7 gives an affirmative answer to the Pietsch question.

(2) For $n = 1$, since $\mathcal{L}_{as}^p(E; F) \subset \mathcal{L}_{as}^2(E; F)$ if $p \in [1, 2]$ we have 5.7 true even for $p \in [1, +\infty)$. For $n \geq 2$ and $p \in [1, 2)$ we do not know if 5.7 is still true.

5.9. DEFINITION - The following inner product is considered on $E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n$

$$\langle u, v \rangle_H = \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^q \langle x_{1,j}, y_{1,j} \rangle \dots \langle x_{n,j}, y_{n,k} \rangle$$

where

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^p x_{1,j} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{n,j} \quad \text{and} \quad v = \sum_{j=1}^q y_{1,j} \otimes \dots \otimes y_{n,j}.$$

The space $E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n$ with this inner product is denoted by $E_1 \otimes_H \dots \otimes_H E_n$ and its completion by $E_1 \hat{\otimes}_H \dots \hat{\otimes}_H E_n$. The corresponding norm is denoted by $\| \cdot \|_H$.

5.10. REMARK - If $(e_{k,j})_{j \in J_k}$ is an orthonormal basis for E_k , $k = 1, \dots, n$, then $(e_{1,j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{n,j_n})_{\substack{j_k \in J_k \\ k=1, \dots, n}}$ is an orthonormal basis for $E_1 \hat{\otimes}_H \dots \hat{\otimes}_H E_n$.

As consequence of this remark we can prove

5.11. PROPOSITION - If $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ and T_{\otimes} denotes the corresponding linear mapping from $E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_n$ into F , then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) T is Hilbert-Schmidt.
- (2) $T_{\hat{\otimes}} \in \mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1 \hat{\otimes}_H \dots \hat{\otimes}_H E_n; F)$.

Here $T_{\hat{\otimes}}$ denotes the extension of T_{\otimes} to $E_1 \hat{\otimes}_H \dots \hat{\otimes}_H E_n$. In this case $\|T\|_{HS} = \|T_{\hat{\otimes}}\|_{HS}$.

5.12. PROPOSITION - The Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{L}_{HS}(E'_1, \dots, E'_n; F')$ and $[\mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)]'$ are isometric through the mapping \mathcal{B} given by

$$\mathcal{B}(\psi)(x'_1, \dots, x'_n) = \sum_{j \in J} \psi(x'_1 \times \dots \times x'_n) f'_j$$

for $x'_k \in E'_k$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, where $(f_j)_{j \in J}$ is an orthonormal basis of F and $(f'_j)_{j \in J}$ is the corresponding dual basis for F' .

PROOF - If we prove the result for $F = \mathbb{K}$ we use it and 5.4(b) to have the isometries

$$[\mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)]' \cong [\mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_n, F'; \mathbb{K})]' \\ \cong \mathcal{L}_{HS}(E'_1, \dots, E'_n, F; \mathbb{K}) \cong \mathcal{L}_{HS}(E'_1, \dots, E'_n; F').$$

Hence we have to prove only the case $F = \mathbb{K}$.

It is clear that

$$(e'_{1,j_1} \times \dots \times e'_{n,j_n})_{\substack{j_k \in J_k \\ k=1, \dots, n}}$$

is an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_n; \mathbb{K})$ if $(e'_{k,j})_{j \in J_k}$ denotes the dual basis of an orthonormal basis $(e_{k,j})_{j \in J_k}$ for E_k , $k = 1, \dots, n$. We have

$$T = \sum_{\substack{j_k \in J_k \\ k=1, \dots, n}} T(e_{1,j_1}, \dots, e_{n,j_n})(e'_{1,j_1} \times \dots \times e'_{n,j_n})$$

for every $T \in \mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_n; \mathbb{K})$. Hence for $\psi \in [\mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_n; \mathbb{K})]'$

$$\sum_{\substack{j_k \in J_k \\ k=1, \dots, n}} |\mathcal{B}(\psi)(e'_{1,j_1}, \dots, e'_{n,j_n})|^2 = \|\psi\|^2.$$

This gives $\mathcal{B}(\psi)$ Hilbert-Schmidt and $\|\mathcal{B}(\psi)\|_{HS} = \|\psi\|$.

On the other hand if $S \in \mathcal{L}_{HS}(E'_1, \dots, E'_n; \mathbb{K})$ we define $\psi_S \in [\mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_n, F; \mathbb{K})]'$ by

$$\psi_S(T) = \sum_{\substack{j_k \in J_k \\ k=1, \dots, n}} T(e_{1,j_1}, \dots, e_{n,j_n}) S(e'_{1,j_1} \times \dots \times e'_{n,j_n})$$

and have $\mathcal{B}(\psi_S) = S$ with

$$|\psi_S(T)| \leq \|T\|_{HS} \|S\|_{HS}. \quad \blacksquare$$

5.13. COROLLARY - The Hilbert spaces $(E_1 \hat{\otimes}_H \dots \hat{\otimes}_H E_n)'$ and $(E'_1 \hat{\otimes}_H \dots \hat{\otimes}_H E'_n)$ are isometric.

PROOF - By 5.12 and 5.11 we have the isometries

$$\begin{aligned}(E_1 \hat{\otimes}_H \dots \hat{\otimes}_H E_n)'' &\cong [\mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_n; \mathbb{K})]' \\ &\cong \mathcal{L}_{HS}(E'_1, \dots, E'_n; \mathbb{K}) \cong (E'_1 \hat{\otimes}_H \dots \hat{\otimes}_H E'_n)' . \blacksquare\end{aligned}$$

5.14. PROPOSITION - The following Hilbert spaces are isometric: $\mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$, $\mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) \hat{\otimes}_H F$ and $(E'_1 \hat{\otimes}_H \dots \hat{\otimes}_H E'_n) \hat{\otimes}_H F$.

PROOF - By 5.4(b), 5.13 and 5.11 we have the isometries

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) &\cong \mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_n, F'; \mathbb{K}) \\ &\cong (E_1 \hat{\otimes}_H \dots \hat{\otimes}_H E_n \hat{\otimes}_H F)' \cong (E_1 \hat{\otimes}_H \dots \hat{\otimes}_H E_n)' \hat{\otimes}_H F\end{aligned}$$

and this last Hilbert space is isometric to $\mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) \hat{\otimes}_H F$ and $E'_1 \hat{\otimes}_H \dots \hat{\otimes}_H E'_n \hat{\otimes}_H F$. \blacksquare

5.15. REMARK - The results of this section and section 4 give a linear homeomorphism between $[\mathcal{L}_{HS}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)]'$ and $[\mathcal{L}_{qN}^p(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)]'$ for $p \in (1, 2]$. But we must note that $\mathcal{L}_{qN}^p(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)'$ is not normed for $n \geq 2$.

REFERENCES

- [1] ALENCAR, R.L. and M.C. MATOS - Some classes of multilinear mappings between Banach spaces - Publicaciones del Departamento de Analisis Matematica - Universidad Complutense de Madrid - 1989.
- [2] DWYER III, T.A.W. - Partial differential equations in generalized Fischer spaces for the Hilbert-Schmidt holomorphy type. Doctoral Dis-

- sertation, University of Maryland, 1971. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1971), 725-730.
- [3] GROTHENDIECK, A. - Résumé de la théorie métrique des produits tensoriels topologiques. Bol. Soc. Mat. São Paulo 8 (1956), 1-79.
 - [4] KHINTCHINE, A. - Über dyadische Brüche, Math. Z., 18 (1923), 109-116.
 - [5] KWAPIEN, S. - On a theorem of Schwartz and its application to absolutely summing operators. Studia Math. 38 (1970), 193-201.
 - [6] LINDENSTRAUSS, J. and PELCZYNSKI, A. - Absolutely summing operators in \mathcal{L}_p spaces and their applications. Studia Math. 29 (1968), 275-326.
 - [7] LITTLEWOOD, J.E. - On bounded bilinear forms in an infinite number of variables. Quant. J. of Math. (Oxford) 2 (1930), 164-174.
 - [8] MATOS, M.C. - On multilinear mappings of nuclear type. Relatório Técnico 58/91, UNICAMP (1991).
 - [9] PIETSCH, A. - Ideals of multilinear functionals. Forschungsergebnisse, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität JENA (1983).
 - [10] PIETSCH, A. - Operator Ideals. North-Holland Mathematical Library, vol. 20, North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1980).
 - [11] SCHWARTZ, L. - Probabilités cylindriques et applications radonifiantes. C.R. Acad. Paris 268 (1969), 646-648.

RELATÓRIOS TÉCNICOS — 1992

- 01/92 On the Solution of Homogeneous Generalized Wave Equation — *E. Capelas de Oliveira.*
- 02/92 On the Periodic Fučík Spectrum and a Superlinear Sturm-Liouville Equation — *Djairo G. Figueiredo and Bernhard Ruf.*