02 FEY 1987

## BEST APPROXIMANTS FROM CERTAIN SUBSETS OF BOUNDED FUNCTIONS

João B. Prolla

Departamento de Matemática Universidade Estadual de Campinas Campinas, S.P., Brazil

ABSTRACT. Let A be a subalgebra of  $C(T,\mathbb{R})$ , where T is a compact Hausdorff space. It is well known that the uniform closure of A is proximinal in  $C(T,\mathbb{R})$  equipped with the sup-norm. In this paper we show that the uniform closure of  $A^+ := \{f \in A; \ f \geq 0\}$ , say V, is proximinal too. Moreover, for any bounded non-empty subset  $B \subset C(T,\mathbb{R})$ , the set cent(B;V) of relative Chebyshev centers of B (with respect to V) is non-empty. The proof relies on a generalization of Bernstein's Theorem on approximation of a positive continuous function f on [0,1] by its Bernstein polynomials  $B_n(f)$ .

Universidade Estadual de Campinas Instituto de Matemática, Estatística e Ciência da Computação IMECC — UNICAMP Caixa Postal 6065 13.081 - Campinas, SP BRASIL

O conteúdo do presente Relatório Técnico é de única responsabilidade do autor.

Janeiro - 1987

I. M. E. C. C. BIBLIOTECA

180

Let T be a topological space and let  $C_{h}(T; \mathbb{R})$  be the Banach space of all bounded continuous real-valued functions on T, equipped with the sup-norm ,  $\|f\| = \sup\{|f(t)|; t \in T\}$ . When T is compact,  $C_h(T; \mathbb{R}) = C(T; \mathbb{R})$ , the space of all continuous real-valued functions on T. In this case it is well known that any closed subalgebra A of  $C(T; \mathbb{R})$  is proximinal and several proofs have been presented. Smith and Ward [4] extended this result by proving that any closed subalgebra  $\, A \,$  of  $\, C\left(T; \mathbb{R}\right)$  , for compact T , has the relative Chebyshev center property in  $C(T;\mathbb{R})$  . Applying this result to the algebra  $A = C(T; \mathbb{R})$  one gets that  $C(T; \mathbb{R})$ , for compact T, admits Chebyshev centers. This result was obtained for T = [a,b] by Kadets and Zamyatin [6], and for any compact T by Garkavi [7]. It was extended by Mach [8]: indeed, it follows from Theorems 3 and 4 of Mach [8] that, for any topological space T, the algebra  $C_{\stackrel{\cdot}{b}}(T;\mathbb{R})$  has the relative Chebyshev center property in  $\ell_{\infty}(T; \mathbb{R})$  and the map  $B \to \text{cent}(B; C_b(T; \mathbb{R}))$ is lower semicontinuous . See also Mach [7]. The result that  $C_b^{}(T,\mathbb{R})$ admits Chebyshev centers, for any topological space T, was also noticed Franchetti and Cheney [4]. All these results were generalized and extended by Prolla, Chiacchio and Roversi [10], who showed that any closed subalgebra  $A \subseteq C_b(T; \mathbb{R})$ , for an arbitrary topological space T, has the relative Chebyshev center property in both  $C_b(T;\mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathcal{L}(T;\mathbb{R})$  and the map B  $\rightarrow$  cent(B;A) is Lipschitz continuous in the Hausdorff metric  $d_{\rm H}$  with Lipschitz constant not greater than 2. This result was proved using among other things the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem. Since we extended recently this theorem to a description of the closure of A+, for compact T (see [11] or [12]), it is natural to atempt to extend this result of [10] to the uniform closure of A+. Our Theorem 3 below achieves this objective, even for  $A \subseteq l_{\infty}(T; \mathbb{R})$ .

Let us explain our notation and terminology. For any Banach space E , the open and closed balls of center a and radius r are denoted, respectively, by B(a;r) and  $\overline{B}(a;r)$ . If V is any non-empty subset of E and  $a\in E$ , then

 $\texttt{dist}(\texttt{a}; \texttt{V}) := \inf\{ \|\texttt{a} - \texttt{v}\|; \ \texttt{v} \in \texttt{V} \}.$ 

We denote by  $\, P_{\, {\mbox{\scriptsize V}}}(a)$  the set of all best approximants to a from  $\, {\mbox{\scriptsize V}} \, ,$  i.e.,

LM.E.C.C. BIBLIO VECA

$$P_{v}(a) := \{v \in V : ||v - a|| = dist(a; V)\}.$$

If  $P_V(a) \neq \phi$  for all  $a \in E$ , we say that V is proximinal in E. If  $B \subseteq E$  is any bounded non-empty subset, then

rad(B;V): = inf { 
$$\sup_{f \in B} ||f - v||; v \in V$$
 }

is called the relative Chebyshev radius of B with respect to V. When V=E we write simply rad(B) and call it the Chebyshev radius of B. An element  $v\in V$  such that

$$\sup_{f \in B} \|f - v\| = \operatorname{rad}(B; V)$$

is called a relative Chebyshev center of B with respect to V , and we denote by cent(B;V) the set of all such elements. When V=E, we write simply cent(B) and its elements are called the Chebyshev centers of B.

When cent(B;V)  $\neq \phi$  for any bounded B  $\subseteq$  E, we say that V has the relative Chebyshev center property in E. When cent(B)  $\neq \phi$  for all such B, we say that E admits Chebyshev centers.

If T is any non-empty set, we denote by  $\ell_\infty(T,\mathbb{R})$  the vector space of all bounded real-valued functions defined on T. When we equip  $\ell_\infty(T;\mathbb{R})$  with the sup-norm

$$\|f\| = \sup \{|f(t)|; t \in T\}$$

it becomes a Banach space. When  ${}^T$  is a topological space, then the vector subspace of all elements of  $\ell_\infty(T;\mathbb{R})$ , wich are continuous on T, is denoted by  $C_b(T;\mathbb{R})$ . Since is is closed in  $C_\infty(T;\mathbb{R})$ , it is a Banach space too. When T is compact, then all continuous real-valued functions on T are bounded, i.e.,  $C(T;\mathbb{R})=C_b(T;\mathbb{R})$  for compact T. For any topological space T, the space  $C_b(T,\mathbb{R})$  is isometrically, algebraically and lattice isomorphic to  $C(K;\mathbb{R})$  for some compact Hausdorff space K. When T is a completely regular Hausdorff space, then we may take K to be the Stone-Cech compactification of T. The set of all  $f\in \ell_\infty(T;\mathbb{R})$  such that  $f(t)\geq 0$ , for any  $t\in T$ , is denoted by  $\ell_\infty^+(T;\mathbb{R})$ . For any subset  $A\subseteq \ell_\infty^-(T,\mathbb{R})$ ,  $A^+\colon=A\cap \ell_\infty^+(T,\mathbb{R})$ .

The following definition was introduced in [10].

DEFINITION 1. Let V be a closed non-empty subset of a Banach space E, and let B be a class of bounded non-empty subsets of E. We say that the pair (V,B) has property (C) in E, if given  $B \in B$ ,  $w \in V$ , r > 0 and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $V \cap \bigcap_{f \in B} \overline{B}(f;r) \neq \varphi$  and  $\|f - w\| < r + \epsilon$ , for all  $f \in B$ 

 $f\in B$  , there exists  $\ v\in V$  such that  $\|v-w\|\le \epsilon$  and  $\|f-v\|\le r$  for all  $f\in B$  .

Let us say that V has property (C) in E, if the pair  $(V,\mathcal{B}(E))$  has property (C) in E, where  $\mathcal{B}(E)$  is the class of all bounded non-empty subsets of E. Clearly, if V has property (C) in E, and F is a closed vector subspace such that  $V \subseteq F \subseteq E$ , then V has property (C) in the Banach space F too.

The following result was proved in [10]. (See Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 2.4 of [10].)

THEOREM 1. Let V be a closed non-empty subset of a Banach space E. If V has property (C) in E, and F is any closed vector subspace of E containing V, then

- (1) cent(B; V)  $\neq \phi$  , for every bounded and non-empty subset B of F.
- (2) The map  $B\to cent(B;V)$  is Lipschitz  $d_{\mbox{\scriptsize H}}\mbox{--}$  continuous, with Lipschitz constant not greater than 2 , i.e.,

$$d_{H}(cent(K;V), cent(L;V)) \le 2 d_{H}(K,L)$$

for any pair K, L of bounded and non-empty subsets of F.

- (3) V is proximinal in F.
- (4)  $d_H(P_V(f), P_V(g)) \le 2 \|f g\|$  for any pair f,g in F.
- (5) The metric projection  $P_{V}$  admits a continuous selection.

REMARK. The Hausdorff metric  $d_{\rm H}$  is defined as follows:

$$d_{H}(A,B) = \inf\{r > 0; A \subseteq B + rU, B \subseteq A + rU\}$$

where U = {v  $\in$  E; || v ||  $\leq$  1}, for any pair A,B of bounded and non-empty subsets of E.

THEOREM 2. Let K be a closed and non-empty subset of  $\ell_\infty(T;\mathbb{R})$  such that, for any pair w,h  $\in$  K and  $\epsilon>0$  the function  $((w+\epsilon)\wedge h)\vee(w-\epsilon)$  belongs to K. Let  $T_0\subseteq T$ , and let  $K_0=\{f\in K;\ f(t)=0\ \text{ for all }t\in T_0\}$ . If V=K or if  $V=K_0$ , if  $K_0$  is non-empty, and  $E=\ell_\infty(T;\mathbb{R})$ , then (1)-(5) of Theorem 1 are true.

PROOF. We have to prove that V has property (C) in  $\ell_\infty(T;IR)$ , and it suffices to show that V=K has property (C) in  $\ell_\infty(T;IR)$ . Indeed,  $K_O$  is closed too and if  $w,h\in K_O$ , then by hypothesis  $g=((w+\epsilon)\wedge h)\nu(w-\epsilon)$  belongs to K, since w and h belong to K. Now, if we take  $t\in T_O$ , then w(t)=h(t)=0, and therefore g(t)=0. Hence  $g\in K_O$ .

We claim that K has property (C) in \$L\_n(T; \mathbb{R})\$. Indeed, let \$B \subseteq L\_n(T; \mathbb{R})\$ be a bounded non-empty subset, let \$w \in K\$, \$r > 0\$ and \$\epsilon > 0\$ be given with \$K \cap \bigcap\_{\overline{B}}(f;r) \neq \emptyset\$ and \$\|f - w\| < r + \epsilon \] for all \$f \in B\$. Choose \$f \in B\$ \$h \in K\$ such that \$\|f - h\| \le r\$, for all \$f \in B\$. Let \$v = ((w + \epsilon) \land h)v(w - \epsilon)\$. Then \$v \in K\$ and \$\|v - w\| \le \epsilon\$. We claim that \$\|f - v\| \le r\$ for all \$f \in B\$. Indeed, let \$x \in T\$ and \$f \in B\$ be given.

CASE 1.  $|h(x) - w(x)| \le \epsilon.$  Then v(x) = h(x) and  $|f(x) - v(x)| = |f(x) - h(x)| \le r.$ 

CASE 2.  $h(x) - w(x) > \epsilon .$  Then  $v(x) = w(x) + \epsilon$  and  $-r < \tilde{f}(x) - h(x) < f(x) - w(x) - \epsilon < r + \epsilon - \epsilon = r.$ 

CASE 3.  $h(x)-w(x)<-\epsilon\ .$  Then  $v(x)=w(x)-\epsilon\ \ and\ \ -r=-(r+\epsilon)+\epsilon\ <\ f(x)-w(x)+\epsilon\ <\ f(x)-h(x)\ \le$  < r .  $\Box$ 

REMARK. It is obvious from the proof of Theorem 2 , that whenever a set  $K \subseteq \ell_\infty(T; \mathbb{R})$  is such that , for any pair  $w, h \in K$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  the function  $((w + \epsilon) \land h) \lor (w - \epsilon)$  belongs to K, then the set  $K_O = \{f \in K; f(t) = 0 \text{ for all } t \in T_O\}$  has the some property, for any subset  $T_O \subseteq T$ . Hence, to each corollary listed below, there is a corresponding result for  $K_O$ ,

whenever  $K_{\text{O}}$  is non-empty. Most of the time we will not state explicitly the corresponding corollary.

COROLLARY 1. Let  $a,b\in l_{\omega}(T;\mathbb{R})$ , with  $a\leq b$ , let  $V=[a,b]:=\{h\in l_{\omega}(T;\mathbb{R}): a(x)\leq h(x)\leq b(x)$ , for all  $x\in T\}$  and let  $E=l_{\omega}(T;\mathbb{R})$ . Then (1)-(5) of Theorem 1 are true.

PROOF. It is easy to see that for any pair w,h in [a,b], the function  $((w+\epsilon) \land h) \lor (w-\epsilon)$  belongs to [a,b].  $\Box$ 

REMARK. Franchetti and Cheney proved the proximinality of any order interval in any Banach lattice (see [4, Lemma 3.5]). Roversi proved that [a,b]  $\subset$   $\ell_{\infty}(T;\mathbb{R})$  has the relative Chebyshev center property in  $\ell_{\infty}(T;\mathbb{R})$  (see [13, Proposition 2.6]). Notice that Corollary 1 applies to the set  $V = \{h \in \ell_{\infty}(T;\mathbb{R}) \; ; \; h(T) \subseteq [a,b]\}$  when  $[a,b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Indeed , this case corresponds to take a and b in Corollary 1 to be constant functions .

COROLLARY 2. Let  $(T,\leq)$  be a preordered set and let V be the subset of all  $f\in l_{\infty}(T;\mathbb{R})$  which are non-decreasing (resp. non-increasing) on T, and let  $E=l_{\infty}(T;\mathbb{R})$ . Then (1)-(5) of Theorem 1 are true.

PROOF. The set V is a closed sublattice of  $~l_{_{\infty}}(T;IR)~$  and w  $^{\pm}$   $\epsilon$  belong to V, for each w  $\in$  V and  $\epsilon$  > 0 .  $\Box$ 

REMARK. When T is a topological space and V is as in Corollary 1 or 2 then V  $\cap$  C<sub>b</sub>(T,R) has the same property in  $\ell_\infty(T,R)$  as V, and analogous results can be formulated. Roversi had proved that the closed sublattice V of Corollary 2 has the relative Chebyshev center property in  $\ell_\infty(T;R)$ . (See Proposition 2.4 of [13].)

COROLLARY 3. Let K be a closed sublattice of  $\ell_{\infty}(T; IR)$  such that for any  $w \in K$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , the functions  $w + \epsilon$  and  $w - \epsilon$  belong to K. Let  $T_{0} \subseteq T$ , and  $K_{0} = \{f \in K; f(t) = 0 \text{ for all } t \in T_{0}\}$ . If V = K or  $V = K_{0}$  and  $E = \ell_{\infty}(T; IR)$ , then (1) - (5) of Theorem 1 are true.

PROOF. Clearly, K satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2.  $\Box$ 

REMARK. The hyphotesis of Corollary 3 are verified if K is a closed sublattice such that K + K C K and K contains the constants; in particular, if K is a closed sublattice containing the constants which is also a convex cone. Hence Corollary 3 is a generalization of an Approximation Theorem of Blatter and Seever [2],[3]. Under the latter hypothesis they proved that K is proximinal in  $\ell_\infty(T;R)$ . Their proof uses their theory of interposition of functions. In [3] they establish a formula for dist(f;K) in terms of the quasi-proximity defined by K on T. The approximation theorem of Blatter and Seever extends an approximation theorem of Nachbin [9, Appendix, § 5, Theorem 6] which proves that any closed lattice cone K C C(T;R), containing the constants, is proximinal in C(T;R), for T a compact Hausdorff space. (When  $T_{\rm O} = \emptyset$ , then Blatter and Seever's result follows from Nachbin's). Nachbin also proved a formula for dist(f;K).

THEOREM 2'. Let V be a closed vector subspace of  $\ell_\infty(T; IR)$  such that, for any  $h \in V$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , the function  $(( \land h) \lor (-\epsilon))$  belongs to V. Then V has property (C) in  $\ell_\infty(T; IR)$ .

Using Theorem 2' the following result was proved in [10].

THEOREM 3. Let V be a closed subalgebra of  $\ell_{\infty}(T;\mathbb{R})$ , and let  $E=\ell_{\infty}(T;\mathbb{R})$ . Then (1)-(5) of Theorem 1 are true.

The proof of Theorem 3 is reduced to the case of a closed subalgebra V of C(K;R), where K is a compact Hausdorff space, and in this case the proof that V satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2' uses the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem. (See [10].) Since any closed subalgebra of  ${\rm C_b}({\rm T};R)$ , is closed in  $\ell_\infty({\rm T};R)$ , Theorem 3 implies our next result.

COROLLARY 4. Let T be a topological space. Let V be a closed subalgebra of  $C_b(T;\mathbb{R})$  and let  $E=\ell_\infty(T;\mathbb{R})$  or  $E=C_b(T;\mathbb{R})$ . Then (1)-(5) of Theorem 1 are true. If T is locally compact, and V is a closed subalgebra of  $C_o(T;\mathbb{R})$  and  $E=\ell_\infty(T;\mathbb{R})$ , then (1)-(5) of Theorem 1 are true.

Let us now extend Theorem 3 and Corollary 4 to the uniform closure of the set of positive elements of a given subalgebra A . Firstly , we show that our version of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem ([11] or [12]) , describing the uniform closure of  $\text{A}^+,$  for  $\text{A} \subseteq \text{C}(\texttt{K};\mathbb{R})$  , K compact, can be used to prove that such a closed convex cone satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2.

LEMMA 1. Let A be a subalgebra of C(K;IR), where K is a compact Hausdorff space. For any w and h in the uniform closure of  $A^+$  and any  $\epsilon>0$ , the function  $((w+\epsilon)\wedge h)\vee (w-\epsilon)$  belongs to the uniform closure of  $A^+.$ 

PROOF. Let  $g=((w+\epsilon) \wedge h) \vee (w-\epsilon)$ . By Theorem 3 , Prolla [11] there exists a point  $x \in K$  such that

$$dist(g;A^+) = dist(g[x]; A[x])$$

where [x] is the equivalence class of x mod. A<sup>+</sup>, i.e., [x] = {t  $\in$  K; a(t) = a(x) for all a  $\in$  A<sup>+</sup>}. Since both w and h belong to the uniform closure of A<sup>+</sup>, they are constant on [x]. Let w<sub>o</sub> and h<sub>o</sub> be constant value of w and h, respectively, on the set [x]. Notice that w<sub>o</sub>  $\geq$  0 and h<sub>o</sub>  $\geq$  0. Hence  $((w_o + \epsilon) \land h_o) \lor (w_o - \epsilon) \geq$  0.

CASE 1. For any  $a \in A^+$ , a(x) = 0.

In this case  $w_0=h_0=0$ , and g(t)=0 for all  $t\in [x]$ . Hence  $\|g=0\|_{[x]}=0$  and, since  $0\in A^+$ ,  $\mathrm{dist}(g_{[x]};A_{[x]}^+)=0$ .

CASE 2. For some  $a \in A^+$ , a(x) > 0.

Let  $f_O = (((w_O + \epsilon) \wedge h_O) \vee (w_O - \epsilon))/a(x)$  and  $f = f_O a$ . Then  $f \in A^+$  and f(t) = g(t) for all  $t \in [x]$ . Hence  $\|g - f\|_{[x]} = 0$  and  $dist(g_{[x]}; A_{[x]}^+) = 0$ .

In both cases, dist  $(g; A^{\dagger}) = 0$  and therefore g belongs to the uniform closure of  $A^{\dagger}$  in  $C(K; \mathbb{R})$ .  $\square$ 

THEOREM 4. Let A be a subalgebra of  $l_{\infty}(T; \mathbb{R})$  , let V be the uniform

closure of  $\text{A}^+$  in  $\text{L}_{\infty}(T; IR)$  and let  $E=\text{L}_{\infty}(T; IR)$  . Then (1)-(5) of Theorem 1 are true.

PROOF. Let K be the Stone-Cech compactification of T equipped with the discrete topology. Then  $l_\infty(T;\mathbb{R})$  is isometrically, algebraically and lattice isomorphic to  $C(K;\mathbb{R})$ . The result now follows from Lemma 1 and Theorem 2.  $\square$ 

COROLLARY 5. Let T be a topological space and let A, be a subalgebra of  $C_b(T;R)$ . If V denotes the uniform closure of  $A^+$  in  $C_b(T;R)$  and  $E=\ell_m(T;R)$ , then (1)-(5) of Theorem 1 are true.

PROOF. The algebra A is a subalgebra of  $\ell_\infty(T;\mathbb{R})$  and the uniform closure of  $A^+$  in  $C_b(T;\mathbb{R})$  is the same as the uniform closure of  $A^+$  in  $\ell_\infty(T;\mathbb{R})$ , since  $C_b(T;\mathbb{R})$  is closed in  $\ell_\infty(T;\mathbb{R})$ .  $\square$ 

COROLLARY 6. Let T be a locally compact space and let A be a subalgebra of  $C_0(T;R)$ . If V denotes the uniform closure of  $A^+$  in  $C_0(T;R)$  and  $E=\ell_\infty(T;R)$ , then (1)-(5) of Theorem 1 are true.

PROOF. The algebra A is a subalgebra of both  $C_b^-(T;\mathbb{R})$  and  $\ell_\infty^-(T;\mathbb{R})$  and the uniform closure of  $A^+$  in  $C_o^-(T;\mathbb{R})$  is the same as the uniform closure of  $A^+$  in  $C_b^-(T;\mathbb{R})$  and in  $\ell_\infty^-(T;\mathbb{R})$ , since  $C_o^-(T;\mathbb{R})$  is closed in both  $C_b^-(T;\mathbb{R})$  and  $\ell_\infty^-(T;\mathbb{R})$ .  $\square$ 

COROLLARY 7. Let T be a topological space (resp. a locally compact space), let  $V=C_b^+(T;R)$  (resp.  $V=C_b^+(T;R)$ ), and let  $E=\ell_\infty(T;R)$ . Then (1) – (5) of Theorem 1 are true.

COROLLARY 8. Let  $V = l_{\infty}^+(resp.~c_0^+)$  and let  $E = l_{\infty}$ . Then (1) – (5) of Theorem 1 are true.

PROOF. In Corollary 7 take T = IN with the discrete topology.  $\Box$ 

COROLLARY 9. Let  $\varphi \geq 0$  be defined on  $T \times T$ , and let  $V = \{f \in \ell_\infty(T;\mathbb{R}); |f(t) - f(u)| \leq \varphi(t,u)$  for all  $(t,u) \in T \times T\}$ . Then (1)-(5) of Theorem 1, are true.

PROOF. It is easily seen that  $((w+\epsilon) \wedge h) \vee (w-\epsilon)$  belongs to V, whenever w and h belong to V. Indeed, V is a lattice containing  $w\pm\epsilon$  for any  $w\in V$  and  $\epsilon>0$ .  $\square$ 

As an example of application of Corollary 9, assume that (T,d) is a metric space (or even a pseudo-metric space) and let  $\varphi(t,u) = Md(t,u)^{\alpha}$  for some fixed M > 0 and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then V consists of all  $f \in l_{\infty}(T;\mathbb{R})$  such that  $|f(t) - f(u)| \leq Md(t,u)^{\alpha}$  for all  $(t,u) \in T \times T$ , i.e., all  $f \in Lip_{\alpha}$  with Lipschitz constant not greater than M.

In order to state our last corollary let us recall the definition of  $C_{\rm IR}(T;{\rm IR})$  when T is a locally compact Hausdorff space . For any  $f\in C_{\rm b}(T;{\rm IR})$  and  $v\in{\rm IR}$ , we say that  $\displaystyle \lim_{t\to\infty} f(t)=v$  if, given  $\epsilon>0$  there exists a compact subset K  $\subseteq$  T such that  $|f(t)-v|<\epsilon$  for all  $t\in{\rm T}$ ,  $t\not\in{\rm K}$ . Following Amir and Deutsch [1],  $C_{\rm IR}(T;{\rm IR})$  denotes the closed subalgebra of  $C_{\rm b}(T;{\rm IR})$  of all functions that have "limit at infinity". When T = IN with the discrete topology, we write  $c=C_{\rm IR}(N;{\rm IR})$ .

COROLLARY 10. Let T be a locally compact Hausdorff space (resp. T = IN with the discrete topology), let  $V = C_{\rm IR}^+(T; \rm IR)$  (resp.  $V = c^+$ ), and let  $E = \ell_\infty(T; \rm IR)$  (resp.  $E = \ell_\infty$ ). Then  $\ell_\infty(1) = \ell_\infty(T; \rm IR)$  (resp.  $\ell_\infty(T; \rm IR)$ ) are true.

## REFERENCES

- [1] AMIR, D. and F. DEUTSCH , Approximation by certain subspaces in the Banach space of continuous vector-valued functions, J. Approximation Theory 27 (1979), 254-270.
- [2] BLATTER, J. and G. L. SEEVER, Inclusions, interposition and approximation. In: Approximation Theory (G. G. Lorentz, editor), Academic Press, New York, 1973, pp. 257-261.
- [3] BLATTER, J. and G. L. SEEVER, Interposition and lattice cones of functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 222 (1976), 65-96.
- [4] FRANCHETTI, C. and E. W. CHENEY, Simultaneous approximation and restricted Chebyshev centers in function spaces. In: Approximation Theory and Applications (Z. Ziegler, editor), Academic Press, New York, 1981, pp. 65-88.
- [5] GARKAVI, A.L., The best possible net and the best possible cross-section of a set in normed space, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 39 (1964), 111-132.
- [6] KADETS, I.M. and V. ZAMYATIN, Chebyshev centers in the space C[a,b], Teor. Funkcii. Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen 7 (1968), 20-26. (Russian).
- [7] MACH, J., On the existence of best simultaneous approximation, J. Approximation Theory 25 (1979), 258-265.
- [8] MACH, J., Best simultaneous approximation of bounded functions with values in certain Banach spaces, Math. Ann. 240 (1979), 157-164.
- [9] NACHBIN, L., Topology and Order, Van Nostrand Math. Studies 4, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1965.
- [10] PROLLA, J.B., CHIACCHIO, A.O. and M.S.M. ROVERSI, Relative Chebyshev centers in subalgebras of function spaces, to appear.

- [11] PROLLA, J. B., Approximation by positive elements of subalgebras of real-valued functions, Proc. International Workshop on Multivariate Approximation, Santiago, Chile, December 15-19, 1986.
- [12] PROLLA, J.B., A generalized Bernstein approximation theorem, to appear.
- [13] ROVERSI, M.S. M., Best approximation of bounded functions by continuous functions, J. Approximation Theory 41 (1984), 135-148.
- [14] SMITH, P.W. and J. D. WARD, Restricted centers in subalgebras of C(X), J. Approximation Theory 15 (1975), 54-59.