

UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE CAMPINAS

Instituto de Matemática, Estatística e Computação Científica

JULIETH PAOLA SAAVEDRA RAMIREZ

Flows of G_2 -structures on 7-manifolds with symmetry Fluxos de G_2 -estruturas sobre 7-variedades com simetria

> Campinas 2021

Flows of G_2 -structures on 7-manifolds with symmetry Fluxos de G_2 -estruturas sobre 7-variedades com simetria

Tese apresentada ao Instituto de Matemática, Estatística e Computação Científica da Universidade Estadual de Campinas como parte dos requisitos exigidos para a obtenção do título de Doutora em Matemática.

Thesis presented to the Institute of Mathematics, Statistics and Scientific Computing of the University of Campinas in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor in Mathematics.

Supervisor: Henrique Nogueira de Sá Earp

Este trabalho corresponde à versão final da Tese defendida pela aluna Julieth Paola Saavedra Ramirez e orientada pelo Prof. Dr. Henrique Nogueira de Sá Earp.

> Campinas 2021

Ficha catalográfica Universidade Estadual de Campinas Biblioteca do Instituto de Matemática, Estatística e Computação Científica Ana Regina Machado - CRB 8/5467

Saavedra Ramirez, Julieth Paola, 1991-Sa12f Flows of G2-structures on 7-manifolds with symmetry / Julieth Paola Saavedra Ramirez. – Campinas, SP : [s.n.], 2021.

> Orientador: Henrique Nogueira de Sá Earp. Tese (doutorado) – Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Instituto de Matemática, Estatística e Computação Científica.

1. G-estruturas. 2. Geometria diferencial. 3. Grupos de Lie. 4. Fluxos geométricos. I. Sá Earp, Henrique Nogueira, 1981-. II. Universidade Estadual de Campinas. Instituto de Matemática, Estatística e Computação Científica. III. Título.

Informações para Biblioteca Digital

Título em outro idioma: Fluxos de G2-estruturas sobre 7-variedades com simetria Palavras-chave em inglês: G-structures Differential geometry Lie groups Geometric flows Área de concentração: Matemática Titulação: Doutora em Matemática Banca examinadora: Henrique Nogueira de Sá Earp [Orientador] Viviana Jorgelina del Barco Lino Anderson da Silva Grama Jason Dean Lotay Gonçalo Margues Fernandes de Oliveira Data de defesa: 27-08-2021 Programa de Pós-Graduação: Matemática

Identificação e informações acadêmicas do(a) aluno(a) - ORCID do autor: https://orcid.org/ 0000-0002-5227-021

- Currículo Lattes do autor: http://lattes.cnpq.br/1813370809821032

Tese de Doutorado defendida em 27 de agosto de 2021 e aprovada

pela banca examinadora composta pelos Profs. Drs.

Prof(a). Dr(a). HENRIQUE NOGUEIRA DE SÁ EARP

Prof(a). Dr(a). VIVIANA JORGELINA DEL BARCO

Prof(a). Dr(a). LINO ANDERSON DA SILVA GRAMA

Prof(a). Dr(a). JASON DEAN LOTAY

Prof(a). Dr(a). GONÇALO MARQUES FERNANDES DE OLIVEIRA

A Ata da Defesa, assinada pelos membros da Comissão Examinadora, consta no SIGA/Sistema de Fluxo de Dissertação/Tese e na Secretaria de Pós-Graduação do Instituto de Matemática, Estatística e Computação Científica.

To my parents Alis Ramírez and Carlos Saavedra and to my best friend Carolina Saavedra.

Acknowledgements

I would like to deeply thank my supervisor, Henrique Sá Earp for being my guide in each project, for helping me in every difficult situation, for giving me personal and academic advice and trusting in me. I am also deeply thankful to Eric Loubeau, Jason Lotay, Andrés Moreno and Brian Grajales for the crucial collaboration in this work. I am indebted to Jason Lotay, Gonçalo de Oliveira Viviana del Barco and Lino Grama for being on my thesis committee and for many constructive feedbacks which have improved the quality of the thesis. Last but not the least, I am deeply thankful to my family members for their unconditional support, love and advice which has made me a better person.

This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) - Finance Code 001.

Resumo

A tese é composta de duas partes em relação as G₂-estruturas. Na primeira parte, vamos descrever o espaço de G2-estruturas Sp(2)-invariantes de dimensão 10 sobre o espaço homogêneo $\mathbb{S}^7 = \text{Sp}(2)/\text{Sp}(1)$, em que \mathbb{S}^7 é a esfera 7-dimensional. Nesta parte, foi formulado um Ansatz geral para as G₂-estruturas que realizam os representantes em cada uma das 7 possíveis classes isométricas de G₂-estruturas homogêneas. Mais ainda, as bem conhecidas G₂-estruturas quase-paralelas na esfera redonda e esmagada acontecem em polos diferentes de uma \mathbb{S}^3 -família, cujo equador é uma nova \mathbb{S}^2 -família de G₂-estrutura cofechadas satisfazendo a condição divT = 0.

Na segunda parte, nos estudamos o cofluxo laplaciano de G2-estruturas proposto por Karigiannis, McKay and Tsui in [25] sobre variedades de contato Calabi-Yau usando como valor inicial a G₂-estrutura dada por Habib e Vezoni em [22] encontrando uma singularidade. Nós demostramos que a métrica e o volume colapsam nesta singularidade. Também analisamos soluções, tipo soliton do cofluxo laplaciano de G2-estruturas sobre variedades de contato Calabi-Yau dadas por Sá Earp e Lotay em [32].

Palavras-chave: G₂-estruturas, G₂-fluxo, espaços homogêneos.

Abstract

In this thesis we deal with two topics in G₂-geometry, the first goal is to describe the 10-dimensional space of Sp(2)-invariant G₂-structures on the homogeneous 7-sphere $\mathbb{S}^7 =$ Sp(2)/Sp(1) as $\Omega^3_+(\mathbb{S}^7)^{\text{Sp}(2)} \simeq \mathbb{R}^+ \times \text{Gl}^+(3,\mathbb{R})$. In those terms, we formulate a general Ansatz for G₂-structures, which realises representatives in each of the 7 possible isometric classes of homogeneous G₂-structures. Moreover, the well-known nearly parallel *round* and *squashed* metrics are defined by different pairs of poles in an S³-family, the equator of which is a new S²-family of coclosed G₂-structures satisfying the harmonicity condition div T = 0.

In the second part, we study the Laplacian coflow of G_2 -structures proposed by Karigiannis, McKay and Tsui in [25] on Contact Calabi-Yau 7-manifolds using the initial coclosed G_2 -structure given by Habib and Vezzoni in [22] and finding a singularity. We show that the metric and the volume collapse at this singularity. Also, we analyze soliton solutions of the Laplacian coflow on Contact Calabi-Yau 7-manifolds using G_2 -structure given by Sá Earp and Lotay [32].

Keywords: G₂-structures, G₂-flow, Homogeneous spaces.

Contents

	Introduction	1
1	<i>G</i> ₂ -GEOMETRY	16
1.1	Linear G_2 -structures	L 6
1.1.1	Definite form	17
1.1.2	The G_2 -decomposition of exterior forms \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 1	17
1.2	$\mathrm{G}_2 extsf{-structures}$ on manifolds \ldots	8
1.2.1	Ricci curvature	22
1.2.2	Nearly parallel $ m G_2$ -structures \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 2	23
1.3	Hodge Laplacian of G_2 -structures \ldots 2	26
1.4	Ricci-Like flows	28
2	HOMOGENEOUS G_2 -STRUCTURES ON $S^7 = Sp(2)/Sp(1)$ 3	33
2.1	G_2 -structures on homogeneous spaces	33
2.2	$\operatorname{Sp}(2)$ -invariant G_2 -structures on $\mathbb{S}^7 = \operatorname{Sp}(2)/\operatorname{Sp}(1)$	87
2.3	Distinguishing homogeneous G ₂ -metrics	10
2.4	G_2 -structures in different isometric classes	13
2.5	A gradient flow of isometric G_2 -structures	51
2.5.1	Harmonic G_2 -structures on the 7-sphere \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 5	52
2.5.2	The $Ad(Sp(1))$ -invariant gradient flow of E	54
3	THE LAPLACIAN COFLOW ON CONTACT CALABI-YAU $7-$	
	MANIFOLDS	57
3.1	New solutions of the Laplacian coflow	;9
3.2	Full torsion tensor	52
3.3	Collapsing contact Calabi-Yau manifold	j4
3.4	The soliton equations on contact Calabi-Yau manifolds	i 8
4	CONCLUDING REMARKS	′4
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	'5
	APPENDIX A – CONTACT MANIFOLDS	'8
A.1	Contact manifolds	' 8
A.2	Transverse Kähler geometry	' 9
A.3	\mathcal{D} -Homothetic deformations $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots $ 8	32

A.4	Contact Calabi-Yau manifold
	APPENDIX B – 3-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS 85
	APPENDIX C – COLLAPSING MANIFOLDS

Introduction

Geometric flows have proven to be a powerful tool in geometric analysis to solve a variety of geometric and topological problems. In the case of G₂-geometry, they provide a method to search for metrics with G₂ holonomy, which are then Ricci-flat, on an oriented, spin 7-manifold M by varying a G₂-structure, given by a non-degenerate 3-form φ on M, so that it becomes torsion-free. Flows in G₂-geometry were first introduced by Bryant [9] and have been studied by several authors (see for instance [1, 7, 27, 25, 24, 20, 34]).

We may then define the *full torsion tensor* of the G₂-structure φ as $T := \nabla^{g_{\varphi}} \varphi$, where $\nabla^{g_{\varphi}}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of g_{φ} . Pairs (M^7, φ) which satisfy $T \equiv 0$ (i.e. so that φ is torsion-free) are called G₂-manifolds and complete examples are very difficult to construct, especially when M is required to be compact. Fernandez and Gray [16] showed that the torsion-free condition is equivalent to $\varphi \in \Omega^3_+$ being both *closed* and *coclosed*, i.e., $d\varphi = 0$ and $d*_{\varphi}\varphi = 0$ respectively (where $*_{\varphi}$ is the Hodge star defined by the metric and orientation induced by φ). This alternative viewpoint of the torsion-free condition as a system of nonlinear PDE is fundamental to G₂ geometry and to geometric flows. Thus, given a smooth manifold, are there any best (or nicest, or most distinguished) G₂-structures on M? The question remains natural when restricted to special kinds of manifolds or particular classes of G₂-structures, like the set of all G₂-structures with the same associated metric, left-invariant G₂-structures on a given Lie group, etc.

G₂-Geometry on the sphere has attracted significant interest over the past decade, perhaps most notably in the classification of homogeneous structures by Reidegeld [39], the description of 7-dimensional homogeneous spaces with isotropy representation in G₂ by Munir & Le [42] and the study of the 7-sphere's calibrated geometry by Lotay [30] and Kawai [26]. In particular, G₂-structures on Sp(2)/Sp(1) was described in [35]. Since, each Sp(2)-invariant G₂-structure on \mathbb{S}^7 is determined by a G₂-structure on $\mathfrak{p} \simeq T_o \mathbb{S}^7$ which is invariant by the Ad(Sp(1))-action, i.e. $\Omega^3_+(\mathbb{S}^7)^{\text{Sp}(2)} \simeq \Lambda^3_+(\mathfrak{p}^*)^{\text{Ad(Sp(1))}}$ (see [35]) and \mathbb{S}^7 is spinnable, the map (see [23, Eq(11)])

$$B: \varphi \in \Omega^3_+(\mathbb{S}^7) \mapsto g_\varphi \in \operatorname{Sym}^2_+(T^*\mathbb{S}^7),$$

associating a Riemmanian metric to each G₂-structure, is surjective. Each preimage subset $\mathcal{B}_{\varphi} := B^{-1}(g_{\varphi})^{\operatorname{Sp}(2)}$, consisting of the homogeneous G₂-structures defining the same Riemannian metric, is called the (Sp(2)-invariant) isometric class of φ .

$$\mathcal{B}_{\varphi} \simeq (a, D \cdot \mathrm{SO}(3)),$$

for each $g_{\varphi} \in \operatorname{Sym}^2_+(T^*\mathbb{S}^7)^{\operatorname{Sp}(2)}$ and the space of $\operatorname{Sp}(2)$ -invariant G_2 -structures on $\mathbb{S}^7 \simeq \operatorname{Sp}(2)/\operatorname{Sp}(1)$ is described by the homogeneous manifold $\Omega^3_+(\mathbb{S}^7)^{\operatorname{Sp}(2)} \simeq \mathbb{R}^+ \times \operatorname{GL}^+(3,\mathbb{R}),$

via the isomorphism $\Omega^3_+(\mathbb{S}^7)^{\operatorname{Sp}(2)} \simeq \Lambda^3_+(\mathfrak{p}^*)^{\operatorname{Ad}(\operatorname{Sp}(1))}$ and the map

$$\begin{split} \Theta : \quad \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathrm{GL}^+(3,\mathbb{R}) &\to \Lambda^3_+(\mathfrak{p}^*)^{\mathrm{Ad}(\mathrm{Sp}(1))} \\ (a,D) &\mapsto \Theta(a,D) = \varphi_{a,D} \end{split}$$

We know from [43] that, up to isometry, any Sp(2)-invariant metric is a multiple of the inner product expressed in terms of an oriented left-invariant coframe $e^1, \ldots, e^7 \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{S}^7)^{\text{Sp}(2)}$ by

$$g_{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1}{r_1^6} (e^1)^2 + \frac{1}{r_2^6} (e^2)^2 + \frac{1}{r_3^6} (e^3)^2 + r_1 r_2 r_3 \left((e^4)^2 + (e^5)^2 + (e^6)^2 + (e^7)^2 \right), \tag{1}$$

with $r_1, \ldots, r_3 > 0$ and $\mathbf{r} := (r_1, r_2, r_3)$. The corresponding isometric class of G₂-structures is parametrised by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{(\mathbf{r},h)} &= \frac{1}{(r_1 r_2 r_3)^3} e^{123} \\ &+ \left(\frac{r_2 r_3}{r_1^2} (h_0^2 + h_1^2 - h_2^2 - h_3^2) e^1 + 2 \frac{r_1 r_3}{r_2^2} (h_1 h_2 - h_0 h_3) e^2 + 2 \frac{r_1 r_2}{r_3^2} (h_1 h_3 + h_0 h_2) e^3 \right) \wedge \omega_1 \\ &+ \left(2 \frac{r_2 r_3}{r_1^2} (h_1 h_2 + h_0 h_3) e^1 + \frac{r_1 r_3}{r_2^2} (h_0^2 - h_1^2 + h_2^2 - h_3^2) e^2 + 2 \frac{r_1 r_2}{r_3^2} (h_2 h_3 - h_0 h_1) e^3 \right) \wedge \omega_2 \\ &+ \left(2 \frac{r_2 r_3}{r_1^2} (h_1 h_3 - h_0 h_2) e^1 + 2 \frac{r_1 r_3}{r_2^2} (h_2 h_3 + h_0 h_1) e^2 + \frac{r_1 r_2}{r_3^2} (h_0^2 - h_1^2 - h_2^2 + h_3^2) e^3 \right) \wedge \omega_3, \end{aligned}$$

with $(h_0, ..., h_3) \in \mathbb{H}$ a unit quaternion parametrising a SO(3)-transformation and $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_3 \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{S}^7)^{\operatorname{Sp}(2)}$ as in (2.11).

Let me now briefly describe the contents: Chapter 1 we discuss relevant preliminary results on G₂-structures. In chapter 2 we present a detailed study of the space $\Omega^3_+(\mathbb{S}^7)^{\operatorname{Sp}(2)}$ of Sp(2)-invariant G₂-structures on the homogeneous 7-sphere Sp(2)/Sp(1) obtaining following Theorem:

Theorem 3. Let $\varphi_{(\mathbf{r},h)}$ be the G₂-structure given by (2) with $r_1 = r_2 = r_3 = r^{-1/3}$. Then each \mathbb{S}^3 -family of G₂-structures

$$\mathcal{B}_r := \Theta(\{r\} \times \mathrm{SO}(3)) = B^{-1}(g_r)^{\mathrm{Sp}(2)} \simeq \mathbb{S}^3$$

determines a distinct isometric class. Moreover, in terms of the equator and poles

$$\mathbb{S}^2 \simeq \{(h_0, h_1, 0, h_3)\}$$
 and $NS \simeq \{(0, 0, \pm 1, 0)\},\$

we characterise the following torsion regimes in each isometric class \mathcal{B}_r , up to the diffeomorphism Φ :

- 1. The coclosed G₂-structures correspond to $\{r\} \times \mathbb{S}^2$ and $\{r\} \times NS$.
- 2. The nearly parallel G₂-structures correspond to $\{\sqrt[3]{2}\} \times \mathbb{S}^2$ (round) and $\{\sqrt[3]{2/5}\} \times \mathrm{NS}$ (squashed).
- 3. The locally conformal coclosed G_2 -structures correspond to $\{1\} \times \mathbb{S}^3$.

Furthermore, there are no locally conformal closed or purely coclosed structures in \mathcal{B}_r .

In Chapter 3, our goal is to study the *Laplacian coflow* of G_2 -structures, introduced by Karigiannis, McKay and Tsui $[25]^1$ which is given by

$$\frac{\partial \psi_t}{\partial t} = \Delta_{\psi_t} \psi_t,\tag{4}$$

where $\psi_t := *_t \varphi_t$ is the Hodge dual of the G₂-structure φ_t (here, we write $*_t := *_{\varphi_t}$) and $\Delta_{\psi_t} := (\mathrm{dd}^{*_t} + \mathrm{d}^{*_t}\mathrm{d})$ is the Hodge Laplacian of $g_t := g_{\varphi_t}$ on 4-forms. If M is compact, critical points of this flow (4) are then Hodge duals of non-degenerate 3-forms which are closed and coclosed so that the corresponding G₂-structures are torsion-free.

Motivated by the article of Habib and Vezzoni [22], we consider a *contact Calabi–Yau* 7-manifold, which is a triple $(M^7, \eta, \Phi, \Upsilon)$ where (M^7, η, Φ) is a Sasakian manifold (and η is the contact form) with transverse Kähler form $\omega = d\eta$, and Υ is a nowhere vanishing transversal form on $\mathcal{D} = \ker \eta$ of type (3,0) satisfying

$$\Upsilon \wedge \overline{\Upsilon} = -i\omega^3 \quad \text{and} \quad d\Upsilon = 0.$$

Hence, on contact Calabi-Yau 7-manifolds there exists a natural G₂-structure defined by

$$\varphi = \eta \wedge \omega + \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon,$$

with corresponding dual 4-form

$$\psi = *_{\varphi} \varphi = \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 - \eta \wedge \operatorname{Im} \Upsilon.$$

Since ψ is manifestly closed (as ω and Υ are closed and $\omega \wedge \Upsilon = 0$ by type considerations), we see that φ is coclosed and the torsion of φ is encoded in

$$\mathrm{d}\varphi = \omega \wedge \omega.$$

we consider a family of G₂-structures

$$\varphi_t = f_t h_t^2 \eta_0 \wedge \omega_0 + h_t^3 \operatorname{Re}(\Upsilon_0)$$

for $f_t, h_t \in \mathbb{R}$ where $\omega_0 = d\eta_0$ on a contact Calabi-Yau $(M^7, \eta_0, \Phi_0, \Upsilon_0)$ and we prove the following.

Theorem 5. Let $(M^7, \eta_0, \Phi_0, \Upsilon_0)$ be a contact Calabi-Yau 7-manifold. The family of coclosed G₂-structures φ_t on M^7 given by

$$\varphi_t = \mathbf{p}(t)^{-1/10} \eta_0 \wedge \omega_0 + \mathbf{p}(t)^{3/10} \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon_0;$$
(6)

$$\psi_t = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{p}(t)^{2/5} \omega_0^2 - \eta_0 \wedge \operatorname{Im} \Upsilon_0, \tag{7}$$

where p(t) = 10t + 1 and $t \in (-1/10, \infty)$, solves the Laplacian coflow (4) with initial data determined by $\varphi_0 = \eta_0 \wedge \omega_0 + \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon_0$.

¹ In [25] the flow (4) is written with an additional minus sign on the right-hand side, but this seems incorrect given the works on the Laplacian flow [7, 34] and the modified Laplacian coflow [18].

Remark 8. We see that if M^7 is compact then the volume of M determined by the G₂-structure φ on M is:

$$\mathcal{H}(\varphi) := \operatorname{Vol}(M, \varphi) = \frac{1}{7} \int_M \phi \wedge \psi.$$

Along the Laplacian coflow solution given in Theorem 3.22 for a compact contact Calabi–Yau 7-manifold we have that

$$\mathcal{H}(\varphi_t) = (10t+1)^{3/10} \mathcal{H}(\varphi_0).$$

Hence, the Hitchin functional on the cohomology class $[\psi_0]$, which is just $\mathcal{H}(\varphi_t)$, tends to infinity as $t \to \infty$ and tends to 0 as $t \to -1/10$. In particular, the Hitchin functional is unbounded on $[\psi_0]$.

We see that the Laplacian coflow in Theorem 3.22 has singularities. In the analysis of singularities of flows of G_2 -structures, as can be seen for example in the work of Lotay and Wei [29] and later work of Gao Chen [11], it is useful to study the quantity

$$\Lambda(x,t) = (|Rm(x,t)|_{g_t}^2 + |T(x,t)|_{g_t}^4 + |\nabla^{g_t}T(x,t)|_{g_t}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(9)

Considering this, we compute $\Lambda(x,t)$ for the family (3.23) obtaining the following.

Theorem 10. Let φ_t be the solution to the Laplacian coflow (4) given by (3.24) on a contact Calabi-Yau manifold $(M_0, \eta_0, \Phi_0, \Upsilon_0)$. Then, for $t \in (-1/10, \infty)$ and $x \in M$ we have $\Lambda(x, t)$ given in (9) is given by

$$\Lambda(x,t) = \left(p(t)^{-2/5} |Rm_0^{\mathcal{D}_0}|_0^2 + kp(t)^{-2}\right)^{1/2}$$
(11)

where k > 0 is a constant. In particular, for $t \in [\beta, \gamma] \subset (-1/10, \infty)$ and $\sup_{M} |Rm_0^{\mathcal{D}_0}|_0 \leq C$ we have

$$\Lambda(t) = \sup_{M} \Lambda(x, t) \le K p(t)^{-1} (1 + c p(t)^{8/5})^{1/2}$$

for c, K > 0 constant.

Also, we present definitions and important results about compactness for Ricci-like flows [12, 20] which will be used to show that the solution of the Laplacian coflow (3.23) with singularity in t = -1/10 is collapsing as follows.

Theorem 12. Let $(M_0^7, \eta_0, \Phi, \Upsilon_0)$ be a contact Calabi–Yau 7-manifold and ψ_t the solution of the Laplacian coflow given by (3.23) with $t \in (-1/10, \infty)$. Then ψ_t is volume collapsing and collapsing with respect to the normalized metric at -1/10.

We also study solitons for the Laplacian coflow of coclosed G₂-structures, which are expected to play an important role in understanding the local behaviour of the flow at singularities. It is well-known, and easy to see from the viewpoint of the Laplacian coflow as the gradient flow of the Hitchin functional, that the only possible compact solitons which are not torsion-free must have $\lambda > 0$ (See section 3.4). Motivated by the article Lotay and Sá Earp [32], we analyse solitons on a contact Calabi-Yau manifold M. In general, there are no shrinking solitons for the coflow. Furthermore, In particular, we show that for a coclosed G₂-structure φ with associated metric g and a vector field X on M, we have an orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathcal{L}_X \psi = \frac{4}{7} \mathrm{div}(X) \psi + \mathrm{Curl}(X)^{\flat} \wedge \varphi + *i_{\varphi} \Big(\frac{3}{49} \mathrm{div}(X)g - \frac{3}{14} (\mathcal{L}_X g) \Big), \tag{13}$$

where $i_{\varphi} : C^{\infty}(S^2T^*M) \to \Omega^3(M)$ is the injective map given in (1.6). In particular, any infinitesimal symmetry of the coclosed G₂-structure φ generated by a vector field X satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_X g = 0$$
 and $\operatorname{Curl}(X) = 0.$

The above result give an idea about soliton solutions of Laplacian coflow. Concluding that in the particular case of CCY manifolds, we may strengthen this result as follows:

Proposition 14. Let $(M, \eta, \Phi, \Upsilon)$ be a closed contact Calabi-Yau 7-manifold, fibering by $M^7 \to V$ over the Calabi-Yau 3-fold (V, ω, J, Υ) . For each $\varepsilon > 0$, a S^1 -invariant G_2 -structure given by

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon \eta \wedge \omega + \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon \tag{15}$$

$$\psi_{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 - \varepsilon\eta \wedge \operatorname{Im}\Upsilon.$$
(16)

Then, any solitons $(\psi_{\varepsilon}, X, \lambda)$ for the Laplacian coflow (4) inducing the metric g_{ε} on M must have $X^{\flat} \in \Omega^{1}(M)$ harmonic and

$$\lambda = \varepsilon^2. \tag{17}$$

1 G_2 -geometry

In this introductory chapter we briefly review about G_2 -structures, introducing important definitions that will be used in the following chapters. These can be found e.g. in [9, 18, 23, 27, 39].

1.1 Linear G₂-structures

In this section, we will give the main results about the group G_2 that will be needed.

The octonion \mathbb{O} is an 8-dimensional real division algebra which is normed, i.e. it admits an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ such that |uv| = |u||v| for all $u, v \in \mathbb{O}$. Analogously to the set of quaternion numbers \mathbb{H} , the octonion product defines a skew-symmetric bilinear map $\times : \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{O} \times \mathbb{O} \to \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{O}$ by $u \times v := \operatorname{Im} uv$, which turns to be a *cross prodet*, in the sense that

$$|u \times v \perp u, v, \text{ and } |u \times v|^2 = |u|^2 |v|^2 - \langle u, v \rangle^2, \quad \forall u, v \in \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{O}.$$

Then, we can define a 3-form given by

$$\phi(u, v, w) := \langle u \times v, w \rangle.$$

With respect to a suitable oriented and orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_7\}$ of Im \mathbb{O} , the 3-form ϕ is written as

$$\phi = e^{123} + e^{145} + e^{167} + e^{246} - e^{257} - e^{347} - e^{356}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $e^{ijk} := e^i \wedge e^j \wedge e^k$ and $\{e^i\}$ is the dual basis of $\{e_i\}$. We identify $\mathbb{R}^7 = \text{Im } \mathbb{O}$. Therefore, the subgroup of $\text{GL}(7, \mathbb{R})$ that fixes ϕ is the compact, connected, simple Lie group G_2 (see [6]).

Definition 1.2 (The group G_2).

$$G_2 = \{h \in GL(7, \mathbb{R}) : h^* \phi = \phi\}$$

The group G_2 acts irreducibly on \mathbb{R}^7 and preserves the metric and orientation for which the basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_7\}$ is an oriented orthonormal basis. The Hodge star operator determined by this metric and orientation will be denoted by $*_{\phi}$. Note that in particular G_2 also fixes the 4-form

$$*_{\phi}\phi = e^{4567} + e^{2367} + e^{2345} + e^{1357} - e^{1346} - e^{1256} - e^{1245}$$

The group G_2 acts transitively on the unit sphere $S^6 \subset \mathbb{R}^7$. The stabilizer subgroup of any non-zero vector in \mathbb{R}^7 is isomorphic to $SU(3) \subset SO(6)$, so that $S^6 = G_2/SU(3)$. Since SU(3) acts transitively on $S^5 \subset \mathbb{R}^6$, it follows that G_2 acts transitively on the set of orthonormal pairs of vectors in \mathbb{R}^7 .

Sometimes the ε -symbol will be useful to describe the algebra $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \operatorname{Lie}(G_2)$. It is the totally skew-symmetric symbol which defines ϕ as $\phi = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i,j,k} \varepsilon_{ijk} e^{ijk}$, or equivalently, $e_i \times e_j = \sum_k \varepsilon_{ijk} e_k$.

Then, the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_2 of G_2 described as a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(7)$ can be given as

$$\mathfrak{g}_2 = \Big\{ A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathfrak{so}(7) : \sum_{j,k} a_{ij} \varepsilon_{ijk} = 0, \forall i \Big\}.$$

On the other hand, cross-product left-multiplication defines a seven-dimensional subspace of $\mathfrak{so}(7)$,

$$\mathfrak{q}_7 = \left\{ [v_{ij}] : v \in \mathbb{R}^7, v_{ij} := \sum_k \varepsilon_{ijk} \langle v, e_k \rangle \right\},\$$

such that $\mathfrak{so}(7) = \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{q}_7$. This is a reductive decomposition for the (symmetric) homogeneous space $\mathbb{RP}^7 = \mathrm{SO}(7)/\mathrm{G}_2$.

1.1.1 Definite form

The dimension of G_2 is 14, therefore, by dimension count, the GL-orbit of ϕ in $\Lambda^3(V^*)$ is open and diffeomorphic to $GL(V)/G_2$. We denote the orbit by $\Lambda^3_+(V^*)$ and call the elements of $\Lambda^3_+(V^*)$ as *definite* 3-forms on V. Note that $\Lambda^3_+(V^*)$ has two components, since GL(V) does and since G_2 is connected. Each component is the negative of the other.

The canonical map $S : \Lambda^3_+(V^*) \to \Lambda^4(V^*)$ defined by $S(\varphi) = *_{\varphi}\varphi$ is a double covering onto an open set $\Lambda^4_+(V^*)$ in $\Lambda^4(V^*)$, which will be referred to as the space of *definite* 4-forms on V. The GL(V)-stabilizer of an element $\psi \in \Lambda^4_+(V^*)$ is then isomorphic to $\pm G_2 = G_2 \cup (G_2 \cdot (-id_V))$. Thus, a definite 4-form on V defines an inner product on V but not an orientation.

1.1.2 The G₂-decomposition of exterior forms

In this section, we avoid writing \mathbb{R}^7 and let V be a vector space of dimension 7. Although G_2 acts irreducibly on V and hence on $\Lambda^1(V^*)$ and $\Lambda^6(V^*)$, it does not act irreducibly on $\Lambda^p(V^*)$ for $2 \le p \le 5$. In order to understand the irreducible decomposition of $\Lambda^p(V^*)$ for p in this range, it suffices to understand the case p = 2 and p = 3, since the operator $*_{\phi}$ induces an isomorphism of G_2 -modules $\Lambda^p(V^*) = \Lambda^{7-p}(V^*)$.

In [8], it is shown that there are irreducible G₂-modules decompositions

$$\Lambda^2(V^*) = \Lambda^2_{14}(V^*) \oplus \Lambda^2_7(V^*)$$
(1.3)

$$\Lambda^{3}(V^{*}) = \Lambda^{3}_{1}(V^{*}) \oplus \Lambda^{3}_{7}(V^{*}) \oplus \Lambda^{3}_{27}(V^{*}), \qquad (1.4)$$

where $\Lambda_d^p(V^*)$ denotes an irreducible G₂-module of dimension *d*. For p = 4 or p = 5, adopt the convention that $\Lambda_d^p(V^*) = *(\Lambda_d^{7-p}(V^*))$. These summands can be characterized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_7^2(V^*) &= \{ *_{\phi}(\alpha \wedge *_{\phi}\phi) : \alpha \in \Lambda^1(V^*) \} \\
&= \{ \alpha \in \Lambda^2(V^*) : \alpha \wedge \phi = 2 *_{\phi} \alpha \} \\
\Lambda_{14}^2(V^*) &= \{ \alpha \in \Lambda^2(V^*) : \alpha \wedge \phi = - *_{\phi} \alpha \} = \mathfrak{g}_2^\flat \\
\Lambda_1^3(V^*) &= \{ r\phi : r \in \mathbb{R} \} \\
\Lambda_7^3(V^*) &= \{ *_{\phi}(\alpha \wedge \phi) : \alpha \in \Lambda^1(V^*) \} \\
\Lambda_{27}^3(V^*) &= \{ \alpha \in \Lambda^3(V^*) : \alpha \wedge \phi = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \wedge *_{\phi}\phi = 0 \} = i_{\phi}(S_0^2(V^*)),
\end{aligned}$$
(1.5)

where, the notation \mathfrak{g}_2^{\flat} is the musical isomorphism $\flat : V \to V^*$ induced by the G₂-invariant inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\phi}$, the Lie algebra of G₂, namely $\mathfrak{g}_2 \subset V \otimes V^*$, is identified with $\mathfrak{g}_2^{\flat} = (\flat \otimes 1)(\mathfrak{g}_2) \subset \Lambda^2(V^*) \subset V^* \otimes V^*$. This space is an irreducible G₂-module since G₂ is simple. On the other hand, consider the linear mapping $i_{\phi} : S^2(V^*) \to \Lambda^3(V^*)$, defined on decomposable elements by

$$i_{\phi}(\alpha \circ \beta) = \alpha \wedge *_{\phi}(\beta \wedge *_{\phi}\phi) + \beta \wedge (\alpha \wedge *_{\phi}\phi).$$
(1.6)

The mapping i_{ϕ} is G₂-invariant and one can show that $S^2(V^*) = \mathbb{R}g_{\phi} \oplus S_0^2(V^*)$ is a decomposition of $S^2(V^*)$ into G₂-irreducible summands which is the scalar multiple of g_{ϕ} and the traceless factor $S_0^2(V^*) \subset S^2(V^*)$. Evidently, i_{ϕ} is nonzero on each summand and it is therefore injective. Hence, the image $i_{\phi}(S_0^2) \subset \Lambda^3(V^*)$ is 27-dimensional and irreducible. Equation (1.5) defines $\Lambda_{27}^3(V^*)$ as a G₂-invariant, 27-dimensional subspace of $\Lambda^3(V^*)$. Thus, by dimension, it must intersect $i_{\phi}(S_0^2(V^*))$ and since it is G₂-irreducible, $i_{\phi}(S_0^2(V^*)) = \Lambda_{27}^3(V^*)$. Using the ε -notation, one can express the map i_{ϕ} as $i_{\phi}(h_{ij}e^ie^j) = \varepsilon_{ikl}h_{ij}e^j \wedge e^k \wedge e^l$, making it evident that $i_{\phi}(g_{\phi}) = 3\phi$. It will be useful to have a way to invert the map i_{ϕ} . Define $j_{\phi} : \Lambda^3(V^*) \to S^2(V^*)$ by the formula

$$\mathbf{j}_{\phi}(\gamma)(v,w) = *_{\phi}((v \lrcorner \phi) \land (w \lrcorner \phi) \land \gamma), \tag{1.7}$$

for $\gamma \in \Lambda^3(V^*)$ and $v, w \in V$. It is not difficult to verify that

$$\mathbf{j}_{\phi}(\mathbf{i}_{\phi}(h)) = 4h + 2(\mathrm{tr}_{g_{\phi}}(h))g_{\phi},$$

for all $h \in S^2(V^*)$. Note also that $j_{\phi}(\phi) = 6g_{\phi}$, while $j_{\phi}(\Lambda_7^3(V^*)) = 0$. Note that i_{ϕ} and j_{ϕ} are not isometries when $S_0^2(V^*)$ and $\Lambda_{27}^3(V^*)$ are given their natural metrics. Instead, $\gamma \in \Lambda_{27}^3(V^*)$ satisfies $|j_{\phi}(\gamma)|^2 = 8|\gamma|^2$ while $h \in S_0^2(V^*)$ satisfies $|i_{\phi}(h)|^2 = 8|h|^2$.

1.2 G₂-structures on manifolds

Let M be a manifold of dimension 7. The union of the subspaces $\Lambda^3_+(T^*_xM)$ is an open subbundle of the bundle $\Lambda^3(T^*M)$ of 3-forms on M.

Definition 1.8. A 3-form φ on M that takes values in $\Lambda^3_+(T^*M)$ will be said to be a *definite* 3-form on M and the set of definite 3-forms on M will be denoted by $\Omega^3_+(M)$.

Each definite 3-form on M defines a G₂-structure on M in the following way: let \mathcal{F} denote the principal right GL(V)-bundle over M consisting of V-coframes $u : TM \to V$. Given any $\varphi \in \Omega^3_+(M)$, define a G₂-bundle

$$F_{\varphi} = \{ u \in \hom(T_x M, V) : x \in M \quad \text{and} \quad u^*(\phi) = \varphi_x \}.$$

$$(1.9)$$

Every G₂-reduction of \mathcal{F} (i.e., G₂-structure on M in the usual sense) is of the form F_{φ} for some unique $\varphi \in \Omega^3_+(M)$. For this reason, a 3-form $\varphi \in \Omega^3_+(M)$ by abuse of language, will be usually called a G₂-structure.

Definition 1.10 (Associated metric, orientation and vector cross product). For any $\varphi \in \Omega^3_+(M)$, denote by $g_{\varphi}, *_{\varphi} \times_{\varphi}$ the metric, Hodge star operator, and vector cross product on M that are canonically associated to φ where g_{φ} and volume form $\operatorname{vol}_{\varphi}$ satisfy the following

$$6g_{\varphi}(X,Y)\mathrm{vol}_{\varphi} := (X \lrcorner \varphi) \land (Y \lrcorner \varphi) \land \varphi.$$

The metric g_{φ} and orientation determine a Hodge star operator $*_{\varphi}$. Therefore, there exists associated dual 4-form ψ such that $\psi = *\varphi$.

We can define a metric on the space of forms and it will be explained below. Let (M, φ) be a smooth 7-manifold with G₂-structure. In a local coordinate system (x_1, \dots, x_7) , a differential k-form α on M will be written as

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{k!} \alpha_{i_1 \cdots i_k} dx^{i_1 \cdots i_k}$$

where the sum is taken over all ordered subset $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subset \{1, \dots, 7\}$ and $\alpha_{i_1 \dots i_k}$ is skewsymmetric in all indices, i.e., $\alpha_{i_1 \dots i_k} = \alpha(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k})$. So, the interior product of a k-form is given by

$$e_j \lrcorner \alpha = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \alpha_{ji_1 \cdots i_{k-1}} dx^{i_1 \cdots i_{k-1}}.$$

A Riemannian metric g on M induces on $\Omega^k := \Omega^k(M)$ the metric $g(dx^i, dx^j) := g^{ij}$, where (g^{ij}) denotes the inverse of the matrix (g_{ij}) . Then, for decomposable k-forms we have

$$g(dx^{i_1\cdots i_k}, dx^{j_1\cdots j_k}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} g^{i_1j_1} & \cdots & g^{i_1j_k} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ g^{i_kj_1} & \cdots & g^{i_kj_k} \end{pmatrix},$$
(1.11)

thus, using this convention, the inner product of two k-forms $\alpha = \frac{1}{k!} \alpha_{i_1 \cdots i_k} dx^{i_1 \cdots i_k}$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{k!} \beta_{j_1 \cdots j_k} dx^{j_1 \cdots j_k}$ is given by

$$g(\alpha,\beta) = \alpha_{i_1\cdots i_k}\beta_{j_1\cdots j_k}g^{i_1j_1}\cdots g^{i_kj_k}.$$

The metric also determines the Levi-Civita connection ∇ , and a manifold M is called a G₂manifold if $\nabla \varphi = 0$. Note that this is a nonlinear partial differential equation for ∇ , since ∇ depends on g which depends on φ in a non-linearly way. Such manifolds have Riemannian holonomy $\operatorname{Hol}_g(M)$ contained in the exceptional Lie group $\operatorname{G}_2 \subset \operatorname{SO}(7)$.

Remark 1.12. On a Riemannian manifold, metric compatible G_2 -structures are parametrized by sections of an \mathbb{RP}^7 -bundle, or alternatively, by sections of an \mathbb{S}^7 -bundle, with antipodal points identified.

Also, in the context of G₂-structures will be necessary to give some definitions which will be needed later. Given a tensor γ , the rough Laplacian is defined by

$$\Delta \gamma = g^{ab} \nabla_a \nabla_b \gamma = -\nabla^* \nabla \gamma, \qquad (1.13)$$

whereas the Hodge Laplacian defined by φ or ψ will be denoted by Δ_{φ} or Δ_{ψ} respectively.

For a vector field X, define the *divergence* of X as

$$\operatorname{div} X = \nabla_a X^a. \tag{1.14}$$

This operator can be extended to a 2-tensor β :

$$(\operatorname{div}\beta)_b = \nabla^a \beta_{ab}.\tag{1.15}$$

Furthermore, for a vector X, we can use the G₂-structure φ to define a Curl operator, similar to the standard one on \mathbb{R}^3 :

$$(\operatorname{Curl} X)^a = (\nabla_b X_c) \varphi^{abc}.$$
(1.16)

This Curl operator can then also be extended to a 2-tensor β :

$$(\operatorname{Curl}\beta)_{ab} = (\nabla_m \beta_{na}) \varphi_b^{mn}.$$

Note that when β_{ab} is symmetric, $\operatorname{Curl} \beta$ is traceless. We can also use the G₂-structure 3-form to define a commutative product $\alpha \circ \beta$ of two 2-tensors α and β

$$(\alpha \circ \beta)_{ab} = \varphi_{amn} \varphi_{bpq} \alpha^{mp} \beta^{nq}. \tag{1.17}$$

Note that $(\alpha \circ \beta)^t = (\alpha^t \circ \beta^t)$. If α and β are both symmetric or both skew-symmetric, then $(\alpha \circ \beta)$ is a symmetric 2-tensor. Also, for a 2-tensor, we have the standard matrix product $(\alpha\beta)_{ab} = \alpha_a^{\ k}\beta_{kb}$.

Proposition 1.18. [29, §2] The 3-form φ and the corresponding 4-form ψ satisfy the following identities.

Contractions of φ with φ

$$\varphi_{abc}\varphi^{abc} = 42 \tag{1.19}$$

$$\varphi_{abj}\varphi^{ab}_{\ k} = 6g_{jk} \tag{1.20}$$

$$\varphi_{apq}\varphi^a_{jk} = g_{pj}g_{qk} - g_{pk}g_{qj} + \psi_{pqjk}.$$
(1.21)

Contractions of φ with ψ

$$\varphi_{ijk}\psi_a^{ijk} = 0$$

$$\varphi_{ijq}\psi_{kl}^{ij} = 4\varphi_{qkl}$$
(1.22)

$$\varphi_{ipq}\psi^{i}_{jkl} = g_{pj}\varphi_{qkl} - g_{jq}\psi_{pkl} + g_{pk}\psi_{jql}$$

$$g_{kq}\psi_{jpl} + g_{pl}\psi_{jkq} - g_{lq}\psi_{jkp}.$$
(1.23)

Contractions of ψ with ψ

$$\psi_{abcd}\psi^{ab}_{\ mn} = 4g_{cm}g_{dn} - 4g_{cn}g_{dm} + 2\psi_{abmn} \tag{1.24}$$

$$\psi_{abcd}\psi_m^{\ bcd} = 24g_{am} \tag{1.25}$$

$$\psi_{abcd}\psi^{abcd} = 168$$

Remark 1.26. In [2], Berger classified the possible holonomy groups of simply-connected, irreducible and non-symmetric Riemannian manifolds. Berger's classification is given in the following table

Dimension	Holonomy group	Remarks
n	$\mathrm{SO}(n)$	Generic Riemannian manifold
2m	$\mathrm{U}(m)$	Kähler
2m	$\mathrm{SU}(n)$	Calabi-Yau
4q	$\operatorname{Sp}(q)$	Hyper-Kähler
4q	$\operatorname{Sp}(q) \cdot \operatorname{Sp}(1)$	Quaternionic-Kähler
7	G_2	G ₂ -holonomy
8	$\operatorname{Spin}(7)$	Spin(7)-holonomy

Manifolds with SU(m), Sp(q), $Sp(q) \cdot Sp(1)$, G_2 or Spin(7) holonomy are called manifolds with special holonomy. With exception of the manifold with holonomy $Sp(q) \cdot Sp(1)$, all other special holonomy manifolds are *Ricci-flat*. In particular, G₂-manifolds are always *Ricci-flat*.

Proposition 1.27 ([16]). The G₂-structure corresponding to φ is torsion free if, and only if φ is both closed and coclosed, i.e.

$$\mathrm{d}\varphi = 0, \quad \mathrm{d} * \varphi = \mathrm{d}\psi = 0.$$

Definition 1.28. There are four independent torsion forms $\tau_0 \in \Omega^0$, $\tau_1 \in \Omega^1$, $\tau_2 \in \Omega_{14}^2$ and $\tau_3 \in \Omega_{27}^3$ corresponding to a G₂-structure $\varphi \in \Omega^3_+$ such that $d\varphi$ and $d\psi$ can be expressed as follows

$$d\varphi = \tau_0 \psi + 3\tau_1 \wedge \varphi + *\tau_3,$$

$$d\psi = 4\tau_1 \wedge \psi + \tau_2 \wedge \varphi.$$
(1.29)

We call τ_0 the scalar torsion, τ_1 the vector torsion, τ_2 the Lie algebra torsion and τ_3 the symmetric traceless torsion.

The torsion forms can be explicitly computed from φ and ψ by means of the following identities:

$$\tau_{0} = \frac{1}{7} *_{\varphi} (\varphi \wedge d\varphi), \qquad \tau_{1} = \frac{1}{12} *_{\varphi} (\varphi \wedge *_{\varphi} d\varphi) = \frac{1}{12} *_{\varphi} (\psi \wedge *_{\varphi} d\psi), \qquad (1.30)$$

$$\tau_{2} = - *_{\varphi} (d\psi) + 4 *_{\varphi} (\tau_{1} \wedge \psi), \qquad \tau_{3} = *_{\varphi} (d\varphi) - \tau_{0}\varphi - 3 *_{\varphi} (\tau_{1} \wedge \varphi).$$

Definition 1.31. The full torsion tensor is a 2-tensor T satisfying $\nabla_i \varphi_{jkl} = T_i^m \psi_{mjkl}$ and $T_i^j = \frac{1}{24} \nabla_i \varphi_{lmn} \psi^{jlmn}$ where $T_{ij} = T(\partial_i, \partial_j)$ and $T_i^j = T_{ik} g^{jk}$. Since $T \in \Gamma(T^*M \otimes T^*M) \simeq \mathcal{S} \oplus \Omega^2 \simeq \Omega^0 \oplus \mathcal{S}_o \oplus \Omega_7^2 \oplus \Omega_{14}^2$ where $\mathcal{S} = \Gamma(S^2(T^*M))$ and \mathcal{S}_0 denote those sections $h \in \mathcal{S}$ that are traceless with respect to the metric g on M, then it is expressed in terms of the torsion forms as

$$T = \frac{\tau_0}{4}g_{\varphi} - *(\tau_1 \wedge \psi) - \frac{1}{2}\tau_2 - \frac{1}{4}j(\tau_{27}).$$
(1.32)

Remark 1.33. Starting from $\nabla_l \varphi_{abc} = T_{lm} g^{mn} \psi_{nabc}$ and using proposition 1.18, we have that

$$\nabla_m \psi_{ijkl} = -T_{mi} \varphi_{jkl} + T_{mj} \varphi_{ikl} - T_{mk} \varphi_{ijl} + T_{ml} \varphi_{ijk} \tag{1.34}$$

Some special classes of G₂-structures are defined or characterised as follows:

- Parallel or torsion-free: $d\varphi = 0$ and $d * \varphi = 0$, or equivalently, φ is parallel with respect to the metric g_{φ} , i.e., $\nabla^{g_{\varphi}} \varphi = 0$.
- closed or calibrated: $d\varphi = 0$.
- coclosed or cocalibrated: $d * \varphi = 0$.
- Nearly parallel: $d\varphi = c * \varphi$ for a constant c.
- Locally conformal closed or locally conformal calibrated: $d\varphi = \theta \land \varphi$ for some $\theta \in \Omega^1(M)$.
- Locally conformal coclosed: $d\varphi = \tau_0 \psi$ and $d\psi = 0$.
- Purely coclosed: $d\varphi = *\tau_3$ and $d\psi = 0$.

1.2.1 Ricci curvature

Another interesting topic in this thesis is the singularity analysis which is important to find the quantity $\Lambda(x,t)$ given by (9) where it is composed of Ricci curvature norm. Therefore, we introduce some definitions related to Ricci curvature in this section. Let φ be a G₂-structure which determines a unique metric g on M, then we have the Riemannian curvature tensor Rmof g on M given by

$$R(X,Y)Z := \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z,$$

and R(X, Y, Z, W) = g(R(X, Y)W, Z) for vector fields X, Y, Z, W on M. In local coordinates denote $R_{ijkl} = R(\partial_i, \partial_j, \partial_k, \partial_l)$. Recall that Rm satisfies the first Bianchi identity:

$$R_{ijkl} + R_{iklj} + R_{iljk} = 0. (1.35)$$

We also have the following Ricci identities when we commute covariant derivatives of a (0, k)-tensor α :

$$(\nabla_i \nabla_j - \nabla_j \nabla_i) \alpha_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k} = \sum_{s=1}^k R_{iji_s}^m \alpha_{i_1 \cdots i_{s-1} m i_{s+1} \cdots i_k}.$$

Karigiannis [23] derived the following second Bianchi-type identity for the full torsion tensor.

Lemma 1.36. [23, §4, Theorem 4.2]

$$\nabla_i T_{jk} - \nabla_j T_{ik} = \left(\frac{1}{2}R_{ijmn} - T_{im}T_{jn}\right)\varphi_k^{mn}$$

We consider the Ricci tensor, given locally as $R_{ik} = R_{ijkl}g^{jl}$.

Proposition 1.37. [23, §4, Proposition 4.15] The Ricci tensor of the metric g associated to the G_2 -structure φ is given locally as

$$R_{ik} = (\nabla_i T_{jl} - \nabla_j T_{il})\varphi_k^{\ jl} + \operatorname{tr}(T)T_{ik} - T_i^{\ j}T_{jk} + T_{im}T_{jn}\psi_k^{\ jmn}$$

Lemma 1.38. [20, §2, Lemma 2.1] The torsion tensor T satisfies the following identities

$$\begin{split} (\nabla T) \lrcorner \psi &= -(T \lrcorner \varphi) \lrcorner T + T^2 \lrcorner \varphi + (\operatorname{tr} T)(T \lrcorner \varphi) \\ 0 &= \operatorname{d}(\operatorname{tr} T) - \operatorname{div}(T^t) - (T \lrcorner \varphi) \lrcorner T^t \\ \operatorname{Ric} &= -\operatorname{sym}(\operatorname{Curl} T^t - \nabla(T \lrcorner \varphi) + T^2 - \operatorname{tr}(T)T) \\ \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Ric}^* &= \operatorname{Curl} T + \frac{1}{2} T \circ T \\ R &= 2 \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Curl} T) - \psi(T, T) - \operatorname{tr}(T^2) + (\operatorname{tr} T)^2 \end{split}$$

where $(\operatorname{Ric}^*)_{ab} = R_{mnpq} \varphi^{mn}_{\ a} \varphi^p_{\ b}$ and $\psi(T,T) = \psi_{abcd} T^{ab} T^{cd}$. Note that from (1.32) tr $T = \frac{7}{4} \tau_0$ and $T \lrcorner \varphi = -6\tau_1$.

The following proposition provides useful properties of a coclosed G_2 -structure and its full torsion tensor T.

Proposition 1.39. [18, §2, Proposition 2.3] Suppose we have a coclosed G_2 -structure on a manifold M with 3-form φ . Let $\mu = i_{\varphi}(h) \in \Omega^3$ where h a symmetric tensor, then the exterior derivative $d\mu$ is given by

$$d\mu = \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{tr} T \operatorname{tr} h - \langle T, h \rangle) \psi - (\nabla \operatorname{tr} h - \operatorname{div} h)^{\flat} \wedge \varphi + *i_{\varphi} (\operatorname{Curl} h_{(ab)} + \frac{1}{2} T \circ h_{ab} + (Th)_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{tr} h) T_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{tr} T) h_{ab}).$$
(1.40)

Furthermore, the torsion tensor T satisfies the following identities

$$divT = d(tr T),$$

$$Curl T = (Curl T)^{t},$$

$$Ric = Curl T - T^{2} + tr(T),$$

$$R = (tr T)^{2} - |T|^{2}.$$

(1.41)

1.2.2 Nearly parallel G₂-structures

In the above section, we defined nearly parallel G₂-structures, i.e., $d\varphi = c * \varphi$ for a constant c. Several authors have studied nearly parallel G₂-structures [17, 4, 30, 26] and it will help to understand the behavior of G₂-structures on the homogeneous space $\mathbb{S}^7 = \mathrm{Sp}(2)/\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ in Section 2.4, specifically Theorem 2.54, where the well-known nearly parallel *round* and *squashed* metrics occur naturally on different poles in an \mathbb{S}^3 -family, the equator of which is a new \mathbb{S}^2 -family of coclosed G₂-structures.

Proposition 1.42. [5, §8, Theorem 45]

Let (M, g) be a complete 7-dimensional Riemannian manifold with a nearly parallel G₂-structure. Then the holonomy Hol (\overline{g}) of the metric cone $(C(M), \overline{g})$ is contained in Spin(7) where $C(M) = \mathbb{R}^+ \times M$ and $\overline{g} = dr^2 + r^2 g_M$ with $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$. In particular, C(M) is Ricci-flat and M is an Einstein manifold. The sphere S^7 with its constant curvature metric is isometric to the isotropy irreducible space $\text{Spin}(7)/\text{G}_2$. The fact that G_2 leaves invariant (up to constant) a unique 3-form and a unique 4-form on \mathbb{R}^7 implies immediately that this space has a nearly parallel G_2 -structure as explained in detail below. Then, we begin by defining distinguished differential forms on \mathbb{R}^7 and \mathbb{R}^8 .

Definition 1.43. Let \mathbb{R}^7 have a coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_7) and (x_0, \dots, x_7) a coordinates for \mathbb{R}^8 . We define a 4-form γ on \mathbb{R}^8 by:

$$\gamma = dx^{0123} + dx^{0145} + dx^{0167} + dx^{0246} - dx^{0257} - dx^{0347} - dx^{0356} + dx^{4567} + dx^{2367} + dx^{2345} + dx^{1357} - dx^{1346} - dx^{1256} - dx^{1247}.$$
(1.44)

Notice that γ is self-dual. If ϕ is the G₂-structure given by (1.1) and we decompose $\mathbb{R}^8 = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^7$ then

$$\gamma = dx^0 \wedge \phi + *\phi, \tag{1.45}$$

where $*\phi$ is the Hodge dual of ϕ . By identifying \mathbb{R}^8 with \mathbb{C}^4 in the usual way, we can decompose γ as follows

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2}\omega \wedge \omega + \operatorname{Re}(\Upsilon)$$

where ω and Υ are the standard Kahler form and holomorphic volume form on \mathbb{C}^4 . It is also worth observing that if \mathbb{H} are the quaternions and we identify \mathbb{R}^8 with \mathbb{H}^2 in an appropriate way, then by [10] we have that

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2}\omega_I^2 + \frac{1}{2}\omega_J^2 - \frac{1}{2}\omega_K^2,$$

where ω_I , ω_J , ω_K are the Kahler forms associated with the triple of complex structures (I, J, K) given by the standard hyperkahler structures on \mathbb{H}^2 .

Definition 1.46. Write $\mathbb{R}^8 \setminus \{0\} = \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^7$ with r being the coordinate \mathbb{R}^+ and \mathbb{S}^7 the unit 7-sphere. Then, since γ is self-dual, we may define a 3-form on \mathbb{S}^7 via the formula

$$\gamma_{(r,p)} = r^3 dr \wedge \varphi|_p + r^4 * \varphi|_p, \qquad (1.47)$$

where * here denotes the usual Hodge star on \mathbb{S}^7 . Moreover, the fact that $d\gamma = 0$ implies that $d\varphi = 4 * \varphi$. By comparing (1.45) and (1.47) it is clear that φ is a positive 3-form on \mathbb{S}^7 and the associated metric g_{φ} is the round metric on \mathbb{S}^7 with constant curvature 1. Thus, φ is a G₂-structure on \mathbb{S}^7 .

There are three examples of nearly parallel G_2 -structures (see [17, §4, Table 3]). One of them is the so-called *squashed* 7-sphere which we explain below.

If we identify $\mathbb{R}^8 \cong \mathbb{C}^4$ via $\mathbb{R}^8 \ni (x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_7) \mapsto (x_0 + ix_1, x_2 + ix_3, x_4 + ix_5, x_6 + ix_7) := (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \in \mathbb{C}^4$, then γ given by (1.45) is described as

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2}\omega_0 \wedge \omega_0 + \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon_0$$

where $\omega_0 = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^4 dz^j \wedge d\overline{z}^j$ and $\Upsilon_0 = dz^{1234}$ are the standard Kähler form and the holomorphic volume form on \mathbb{C}^4 , respectively.

In [26], Kawai obtain the second nearly parallel G_2 -structure on \mathbb{S}^7 by canonical variation. Consider the following Lie groups:

$$Sp(1) = \{a_1 + a_2 j \in \mathbb{H} : a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{C}, |a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2 = 1\}$$

$$Sp(2) = \{C \in GL(2, \mathbb{H}) : C \text{ Preserves the metric on } \mathbb{H}^2\}$$

$$= \{C \in U(4) : C^t J C = J\}$$

$$= \{(u, J\overline{u}, J\overline{v}) : u, v \in \mathbb{C}^4, |u| = |v| = 1, \langle v, u \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \langle v, J\overline{u} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = 0\}$$

where $J = \begin{pmatrix} J' & 0 \\ 0 & J' \end{pmatrix}$, $J' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{C}^4 \times \mathbb{C}^4 \to \mathbb{C}$ is the standard Hermitian metric on \mathbb{C}^4 .

Let $\operatorname{Sp}(1) \times \operatorname{Sp}(2)$ act on \mathbb{H}^2 by

$$(q, A) \cdot (q_1, q_2) = q(q_1, q_2)^t \overline{A},$$

where $(q, A) \in \text{Sp}(1) \times \text{Sp}(2)$, $(q_1, q_2) \in \mathbb{H}^2$. Via identification $(z_1, \dots, z_4) \in \mathbb{C}^4 \mapsto (z_1 + z_2 j, z_3 + z_4 j) \in \mathbb{H}^2$, the Sp(1)-action on \mathbb{C}^4 is described as

$$(a_1 + a_2 j) \cdot u = a_1 u + a_2 J \overline{u}, \tag{1.48}$$

where $u \in \mathbb{C}^4$, and $\operatorname{Sp}(2) \subset \operatorname{U}(4)$ acts on \mathbb{C}^4 canonically. By definition, the $\operatorname{Sp}(1)$ -action commutes with the $\operatorname{Sp}(2)$ -action.

The actions of $i, j, k \in \text{Sp}(1)$ induce complex structures I_1, I_2, I_3 on \mathbb{C}^4 , respectively, and hence induce the 3-Sasakian structure $\{\xi_i, \eta_i, \Phi_i, g\}_{i=1,2,3}$ on \mathbb{S}^7 , where g is the standard metric \mathbb{S}^7 , and a vector field $\xi_i \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{S}^7)$, a 1-form $\eta_i \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{S}^7)$ and (1, 1)-tensor $\Phi_i \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^7, \text{End}(T\mathbb{S}^7))$ are defined in Appendix B. Note that the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{i+2} &= \Phi_i \circ \Phi_{i+1} - \eta_{i+1} \otimes \xi_i = -\Phi_{i+1} \circ \Phi_i + \eta_i \otimes \xi_{i+1}, \\ \xi_{i+2} &= \Phi_i(\xi_{i+1}) = -\Phi_{i+1}(\xi_i), \\ \eta_{i+2} &= \eta_i \circ \Phi_{i+1} = -\eta_{i+1} \circ \Phi_i \end{split}$$

where $i \in \mathbb{Z}/3$. These tensors are described explicitly as follows.

$$\begin{split} \xi_1 &= -i(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)^t \\ \xi_2 &= (\overline{z_2}, -\overline{z_1}, \overline{z_4}, -\overline{z_3})^t \\ \xi_3 &= i(\overline{z_2}, -\overline{z_1}, \overline{z_4}, -\overline{z_3})^t \\ \eta_1 &= \operatorname{Im}\left(\sum_{j=1}^4 z_j d\overline{z}^j\right), \quad \eta_2 + i\eta_3 = -z_1 dz^2 + z_2 dz^1 - z_3 dz^4 + z_4 dz^3 \\ d\eta_1 &= -i\sum_{j=1}^4 dz_{j\overline{j}} = -2g(\Phi_1(\cdot), \cdot), \quad d(\eta_2 + i\eta_3) = -2(dz^{12} + dz^{34}) \end{split}$$

Moreover, we define the canonical variation \tilde{g} of the Riemannian metric g on M by

$$\tilde{g}|_{\mathcal{V}\times\mathcal{V}} = s^2 g|_{\mathcal{V}\times\mathcal{V}}, \quad \tilde{g}|_{\mathcal{H}\times\mathcal{H}} = t^2 g|_{\mathcal{H}\times\mathcal{H}}, \quad \tilde{g}|_{\mathcal{H}\times\mathcal{V}} = 0$$
(1.49)

for s, t > 0. Now, applying the canonical variation to a Riemmanian submersion $\pi : \mathbb{S}^7 \to S^4 = \mathbb{H}P^1$, we obtain the second nearly parallel G₂-structure $\tilde{\varphi}$ on \mathbb{S}^7 . Denote by $\omega_i = \frac{1}{2} d\eta_i((\cdot)^\intercal, (\cdot)^\intercal) \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{S}^7)$ the covariant differentiation of $\frac{1}{2}\eta_i$ where $\intercal : T\mathbb{S}^7 \to \mathcal{H}$ is the canonical projection. In other words, we have

$$\omega_1 = \frac{1}{2}d\eta_1 + \eta_{23}, \quad \omega_2 = \frac{1}{2}d\eta_2 + \eta_{31}, \quad \omega_3 = \frac{1}{2}d\eta_3 + \eta_{12}$$

since $[\xi_i, \xi_{i+1}] = 2\xi_{i+2}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/3$. In general, it is well know that $\frac{1}{2}d\eta_i = -g(\Phi_i(\cdot), \cdot)$. Then we deduce that

$$\omega_i = -g(\Phi_i(\cdot)^{\intercal}, (\cdot)^{\intercal}) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Proposition 1.50 ([17]). Define the Riemannian metric \tilde{g} , a 3-form $\tilde{\varphi} \in \Omega^3(\mathbb{S}^7)$ and the 4-form $*\tilde{\varphi} \in \Omega^4(\mathbb{S}^7)$ on \mathbb{S}^7 by

$$\begin{split} \tilde{g}|_{\mathcal{V}\times\mathcal{V}} &= \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^2 g|_{\mathcal{V}\times\mathcal{V}}, \quad \tilde{g}|_{\mathcal{H}\times\mathcal{H}} = \left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{5}}\right)^2 g|_{\mathcal{H}\times\mathcal{H}}, \quad \tilde{g}|_{\mathcal{H}\times\mathcal{V}} = 0, \\ \tilde{\varphi} &= \frac{27}{25} \left(\frac{1}{5}\eta_{123} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \eta_i \wedge \omega_i\right), \\ *\tilde{\varphi} &= \frac{27}{25} \left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^3 \omega_i^3 + \frac{3}{5}(\eta_{23} \wedge \omega_1 + \eta_{31} \wedge \omega_2 + \eta_{12} \wedge \omega_3)\right). \end{split}$$

Then $\tilde{\varphi}$ is nearly parallel G_2 -structure and $*\tilde{\varphi}$ is a Hodge dual of $\tilde{\varphi}$ with respect to \tilde{g} . We call $(\mathbb{S}^7, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{g})$ the squashed \mathbb{S}^7 .

Note that in [26], the canonical variation \overline{g} is given by (1.49) in a more general way than in [17], where the canonical variation is denoted by $g^s = \overline{g}$ and t = 1. Then, the following Lemma and Proposition with canonical variation g^s will be the key for Theorem 2.54.

Lemma 1.51. [17, §5, Lemma 5.3] The manifold (M^7, g^s) is Einstein if and only if s = 1 or s = 1/5.

Proposition 1.52. [17, §5, Theorem 5.4] The manifold (M^7, g^s) admits a nearly parallel G₂-structure for s = 1/5.

1.3 Hodge Laplacian of G₂-structures

In section 3.4, we study soliton solutions of the Laplacian coflow on contact Calabi-Yau manifolds. We give the decomposition of the Hodge Laplacian of the closed G₂-structure being used in Proposition 3.86 which refers to find properties respect to the following equation $\Delta_{\psi}\psi - \lambda\psi + \mathcal{L}_{X}\psi = 0$.

Proposition 1.53. [20, §2, Proposition 2.2] Suppose φ defines a G₂-structure. Then $\Delta_{\varphi}\varphi = X \lrcorner \psi + i_{\varphi}(h)$ with

$$X = -\operatorname{div} T$$

$$h = -\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Ric}^* + \frac{1}{6} (R + 2|T|^2) g - T^t T - \frac{1}{2} (T \lrcorner \varphi) (T \lrcorner \varphi) + \frac{1}{4} T \circ T + \frac{1}{4} T^t \circ T^t - \frac{1}{2} T \circ T^t + \operatorname{sym} \left((T) (T \lrcorner \psi) - (T^t) (T \lrcorner \psi) \right)$$

In particular,

$$tr h = \frac{2}{3}R + \frac{4}{3}|T|^2$$

Lemma 1.54. [37, §3, Lemma 25] Let φ be a coclosed G₂-structure. Then, φ has the following properties:

1.
$$\operatorname{div}\tau_{27} = \frac{1}{7}\nabla(\operatorname{tr} T) - \operatorname{div} T$$

2. $\frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{Curl}\tau_{27} + (\operatorname{Curl}\tau_{27})^{\mathrm{t}}) = -\operatorname{Curl} T$ and $\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Curl} T) = 0$.
3. $(T \circ \tau_{27}) = \frac{1}{7}((\operatorname{tr} T)^2 g - (\operatorname{tr} T)T) - T \circ T$ and $\operatorname{tr}(T \circ T) = (\operatorname{tr} T)^2 - |T|^2$
Where $\tau_{27} = \frac{1}{7}(\operatorname{tr} T)g - T$.

The following Lemma is proved in [18], but with a different orientation for the G₂-structure. Since signs will be crucial for our applications, we work out the proof again, in our convention. Then, let φ be a coclosed G₂-structure, i.e. $d * \varphi = 0$. Then (1.29) implies τ_1 and τ_2 are both equal to zero. From (1.32) we have that the torsion tensor T satisfies $T_{ij} = T_{ji} = \frac{\tau_0}{4}g_{ij} - j_{\varphi}(\tau_3)_{ij}$, so T is a symmetric 2-tensor. Since, $d\varphi = \tau_0\psi + *\tau_3$, we have that the Hodge Laplacian of $\psi = *\varphi$ is equal to

$$\Delta_{\psi}\psi = \mathrm{dd}^{*}\psi + \mathrm{d}^{*}\mathrm{d}\psi = \mathrm{d} \ast \mathrm{d}\varphi$$
$$= \mathrm{d}\tau_{0} \wedge \varphi + \tau_{0}^{2}\psi + \tau_{0} \ast \tau_{3} + \mathrm{d}\tau_{3}. \tag{1.55}$$

Lemma 1.56. Let φ be a coclosed G₂-structure on a manifold M with associated metric g. Then,

$$\Delta_{\psi}\psi = \left(\frac{2}{3}R + \frac{4}{3}|T|^{2}\right)\psi \oplus (\operatorname{d}\operatorname{tr} T) \wedge \varphi$$
$$\oplus *_{\varphi}i_{\varphi}\Big(-\operatorname{Ric} + \frac{1}{14}(R - 2|T|^{2})g + \operatorname{tr}(T)T - 2T^{2} - \frac{1}{2}T \circ T\Big).$$

Proof. For a co-closed G₂-structure, the Laplacian of ψ is

$$\Delta_{\psi}\psi = \mathrm{d}\tau_0 \wedge \psi + \tau_0^2\psi + \tau_0 * \tau_3 + \mathrm{d}\tau_3. \tag{1.57}$$

We can decompose $\Delta_{\psi}\psi$ as:

$$\Delta_{\psi}\psi = Y^{\flat} \wedge \varphi \oplus *i_{\varphi}(s) = \frac{3}{7}(\operatorname{tr} s)\psi \oplus Y^{\flat} \wedge \varphi \oplus *i_{\varphi}(\overline{s}), \qquad (1.58)$$

where Y is a vector field and \overline{s} is the trace-free part of $s \in S^2$. Now, we apply Proposition 1.39 to $\tau_3 = i_{\varphi}(\tau_{27})$ and use Lemma 1.54 to obtain

$$d\tau_{3} = \frac{3}{7}d(\operatorname{tr} T) \wedge \varphi + *i_{\varphi} \Big(-\operatorname{Curl} T + \frac{1}{2}T \circ \tau_{27} + \frac{1}{2}(T\tau_{27} + (T\tau_{27})^{t}) - \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{tr} T)\tau_{27} - \frac{1}{6}\langle T, \tau_{27} \rangle g \Big).$$
(1.59)

Using the identities $\tau_0 = \frac{4}{7} \operatorname{tr}(T)$, $3\psi = *i_{\varphi}(g)$, and (1.59) in (1.57), we obtain

$$\Delta_{\psi}\psi = d(\operatorname{tr} T) \wedge \varphi + *i_{\varphi} \Big(-\operatorname{Curl} T + \frac{1}{2}(T \circ \tau_{27}) + \frac{1}{2}(T \tau_{27} + (T \tau_{27})^t) \\ + \frac{1}{14}(\operatorname{tr} T)\tau_{27} + \frac{16}{147}(\operatorname{tr} T)^2 g - \frac{1}{6}\langle T, \tau_{27} \rangle g \Big).$$

Now, replacing τ_{27} using $\tau_{27} = \frac{1}{7}(\operatorname{tr} T)g - T$, as well as using $T \circ g = (\operatorname{tr} T)g - T$, Proposition 1.39 and Lemma 1.54, we have

$$\Delta_{\psi}\psi = d(\nabla \operatorname{tr} T)^{\flat} \wedge \varphi + *i_{\varphi} \Big(-\operatorname{Curl} T - \frac{1}{2}T \circ T - T^{2} + \frac{1}{6}(\operatorname{tr} T)^{2}g + \frac{1}{6}|T|^{2}g \Big).$$
(1.60)

Therefore

$$\operatorname{tr}(s) = \frac{2}{3} \left((\operatorname{tr} T)^2 + |T|^2 \right) = \frac{2}{3}R + \frac{4}{3}|T|^2, \tag{1.61}$$

$$\overline{s} = -\operatorname{Ric} + \frac{1}{14}(R - 2|T|^2)g + \operatorname{tr}(T)T - 2T^2 - \frac{1}{2}T \circ T,$$

$$Y = \nabla \operatorname{tr} T.$$
(1.62)

The result then follows.

1.4 Ricci-Like flows

In this section we state the evolution equations for several important geometric quantities under the G₂-structures following [23, 18, 11, 24], and then write some important remarks. We study the critical points G₂-structures of the energy of φ_r given by (2.58) being Proposition 2.55 a result of what I collaborated. On the other hand, the Laplacian flow and compactness analysis given by [29, 11] was used to study a singularity of the Laplacian coflow, taking the dilaton in our case. For this reason, we give a review about the Laplacian flow. Also, the Laplacian coflow studied in [20] was important in the results of soliton solutions of Laplacian coflow on contact Calabi-Yau manifold. Moreover, I collaborated in every Proposition and Theorem of Section 3.1.

Lemma 1.63. [23, §3] If $\varphi(t)$ satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\partial\varphi(t)}{\partial t} = X(t) \lrcorner \psi(t) + i_{\varphi(t)}(h(t)), \qquad (1.64)$$

then we also have the following equations

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = 2h,$$

$$\frac{\partial \text{vol}}{\partial t} = \text{tr}(h)\text{vol},$$

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = i_{\psi}(h) - X \wedge \varphi,$$

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \nabla X - \text{Curl}\,h + Th - (T)(X \lrcorner \varphi).$$
(1.65)

In [11], Chen defined a class of reasonable flows of G_2 -structures that satisfy the following general conditions:

1. The metric should evolve the Ricci flow to leading order and be no more than quadratic in the torsion, that is

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = 2h = -2\operatorname{Ric} + Cg + L(T) + T \circledast T, \qquad (1.66)$$

where \circledast is some multilinear operator involving g, φ, ψ, C is a constant and L is some linear operator involving g, φ, ψ .

2. The vector field X is at most linear in ∇T and at most quadratic in T:

$$X = L(\nabla T) + L(T) + L(Rm) + T \circledast T + C.$$

$$(1.67)$$

3. The torsion tensor should evolve ΔT to leading order, be at most linear in Rm and ∇T , and at most cubic in T:

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \Delta T + L(\nabla T) + L(Rm) + Rm \circledast T + \nabla T \circledast T + L(T) + T \circledast T + T \circledast T \circledast T.$$
(1.68)

4. The flow (1.64) has short-time existence and uniqueness.

Thus, the flows that satisfy properties 1-4 are called *Ricci-like flows*. This is appropriate because a variety of techniques which were originated from the study of the Ricci flow have been applied to these flows. In particular, under the Ricci flow, invariants of the metric Rm, Ric, R, all satisfy heat-like equations. Therefore, it is appropriate that for a Ricci-like flow of a G₂-structure, the torsion, which is an invariant of the G₂-structure, also satisfies a heat like equations (1.68). This is important because then $\nabla^k T$ and $|T|^2$ also satisfy heat-like equations and this is necessary to be able to obtain estimates using the maximum principle.

Using techniques developed by Shi for the Ricci flow and their adaptation to G_2 -structures given by Lotay and Wei [29], Chen then showed that a reasonable flow must satisfy the following Shi-type estimate.

Proposition 1.69. [11, §2, Theorem 2.1] Suppose (1.64) is a Ricci-like flow of G_2 -structures such that the coefficients in equations (1.64), (1.66), (1.67) and (1.68) are bounded by a constant S. Let $B_r(p)$ be a ball of radius r with respect to the initial metric g(0). If

$$|Rm(x,t)|_{g(t)} + |T(x,t)|_{g(t)}^2 + |\nabla T(x,t)|_{g(t)} < S$$
(1.70)

for any $(x,t) \in B_r(p) \times [0,t_0]$, then

$$|\nabla^k Rm(x,t)|_{g(t)} + |\nabla^{k+1}T(x,t)|_{g(t)} < C(k,r,S,T)$$
(1.71)

for any $(x,t) \in B_{r/2}(p) \times [t_0/2, t_0]$ for all k = 1, 2, 3, ...

Note that in the Laplacian flow studied by Lotay and Wei in [29], the quantity $\Lambda(x,t) = (|Rm(x,t)|_{g(t)} + |\nabla T(x,t)|_{g(t)})$ is analogous to (1.70). This is because in the case of a closed G₂-structure, $|T|^2 = -R \leq C|Rm|$. Therefore, the norm of the torsion can always be bounded in terms of the norm of Rm. For other torsion classes, and in particular, coclosed G₂-structures, this is no longer true, therefore $|T|^2$ needs to be included in (1.70). Using the estimates from the above theorem, Chen then derived an estimate for the blow-up rate on a compact manifold.

Proposition 1.72. [11, §5, Theorem 5.1] If φ_t is a solution to a Ricci-like flow of G₂-structures on a compact manifold in a finite maximal time interval $[0, t_0)$, then

$$\sup_{M} \left(|Rm(x,t)|_{g_t}^2 + |T(x,t)|_{g_t}^4 + |\nabla T(x,t)|_{g_t}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge \frac{C}{t_0 - t}$$
(1.73)

The estimate 1.73 shows that a solution will exist as long as the quantity of the left-hand side of (1.73) remains bounded.

A classic example of a Ricci-like flow of G_2 -structures is the Laplacian flow of G_2 -structures that was introduced by Bryant [9]:

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = \Delta_{\varphi} \varphi. \tag{1.74}$$

If the initial G₂-structure is closed, then this property is preserved along the flow. It is then natural to think of (1.74) as a flow of closed G₂-structures. In this case, the fact that $T^t = -T$ and Lemma 1.38 give us the following results: $\operatorname{Ric}^* = 4\operatorname{Ric} + T \circledast T$ and $R = 2\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Curl} T) - \psi(T,T) - \operatorname{tr}(T^2) = -|T|^2$; thus by Lemma 1.38, we have $\operatorname{Ric}^* = 4\operatorname{Ric} + T \circledast T$ and $R = 2\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Curl} T) - \psi(T,T) - \operatorname{tr}(T^2) = -|T|^2$; using Proposition 1.53 we have $h = -\operatorname{Ric} + T \circledast T$; and so substituting in Lemma 1.63, we conclude that condition 1 in the Ricci like-flow holds. Moreover, we have that $\operatorname{div} T = 0$ in this case (see [31]), and hence X = 0. Using Lemma 1.63 and $h = -\operatorname{Curl} T + T \circledast T$, we have

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \operatorname{Curl}(\operatorname{Curl} T) + \nabla T \circledast T + T \circledast T \circledast T.$$
(1.75)

On the other hand, in [20] is given the following formula when β is 2-tensor

$$\operatorname{Curl}\left((\operatorname{Curl}\beta)^{t}\right) = -\Delta\beta^{t} + \nabla(\operatorname{div}\beta) + Rm \circledast \beta + T \circledast \nabla\beta + (\nabla T) \circledast \beta + T \circledast T \circ \beta$$
(1.76)

where ^t denote transpose and \circledast is some multilinear operator involving g, φ , ψ . Then, using (1.76) into (1.75) together the facts that Curl T is symmetric, T is skewsymmetric, and divT = 0, allows to express (1.75) as

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \Delta T + Rm \circledast T + \nabla T \circledast T + T \circledast T \circledast T.$$

Therefore, the Laplacian flow is a Ricci-like flow. Finally, short-term existence and uniqueness of the flow (1.74) has been first proved by Bryant and Xu in [9]. In [29, 31, 28], we can find more properties of this flow, as well as details of the above calculation. The results in Proposition 1.69 and 1.72 are extensions of similar results for the Laplacian flow of closed G₂-structures in [31].

In the study of singularities, a fundamental tool is the Cheeger-Gromov type compactness theorem and using techinques developed by Shi for the Ricci flow, Lotay and Wei proved their adaptation to G_2 -structures (see [31]).

Proposition 1.77. [29, §7, Theorem 7.1] Let M_i be a sequence of smooth 7-manifolds and for each i, let $p_i \in M_i$ and φ_i be a G₂-structure on M_i such that the metric g_i on M_i induced by φ_i is complete on M_i . Suppose that

$$\sup_{i} \sup_{x \in M_{i}} \left(|\nabla_{g_{i}}^{k+1} T_{i}(x)|_{g_{i}}^{2} + |\nabla_{g_{i}}^{k} Rm_{g_{i}}(x)|_{g_{i}}^{2} \right)^{1/2} < \infty$$
(1.78)

for all $k \leq 0$ and

$$\inf \operatorname{inf} \operatorname{inj}(M_i, g_i, p_i) > 0, \tag{1.79}$$

where T_i , Rm_{g_i} are the torsion and curvature tensor of φ_i and g_i respectively, and $inj(M_i, g_i, p_i)$ denotes the injectivity radius of (M_i, g_i) at p_i .

Then there exists a 7-manifold M, a G_2 -structure φ on M and a point $p \in M$ such that, after passing to a subsequence, we have

$$(M_i, \varphi_i, p_i) \to (M, \varphi, p) \quad as \quad i \to \infty.$$

Therefore, Theorem 1.77 reduces the problem of finding singularities models to finding sequences of points and times for which the corresponding dilated solutions have a curvature bound. In [29], Lotay and Wei give shi-types estimates with respect to the quantity $(|Rm(p,t)|_{g_t}^2 + |\nabla T(p,t)|_{g_t}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, which Gao Chen [11] extends as

$$\Lambda(x,t) = \left(|Rm(p,t)|_{g_t}^2 + |T(p,t)|_{g_t}^4 + |\nabla T(p,t)|_{g_t}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(1.80)

for a local version of shi-type estimates for Ricci-Like flows of G₂-structures and as in the Ricci flow, we are interested to analyse singularities of the Laplacian coflow from (3.31). The property of being k-noncollapsing below a scale ρ is preserved under Cheeger-Gromov limits, and this is useful in the study of singularity models.

The original k-noncollapsing theorem of Perelman for Ricci flow in [38] requires the Riemannian curvature bound. In [11], Chen use the Perelman's ideas for Ricci-like flow and express in the following proposition.

Proposition 1.81. [11, §4, Theorem 4.2] Let $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g_{ij} = -2R_{ij} + E_{ij}$ be the geometric flow on a compact manifold M^n . Then there exists a positive function k of four variables such that if $0 < \rho \le \rho_0 < \infty, \ 0 < \frac{T}{2} \le t_0 \le T < \infty$ and

$$\int_{0}^{t_{0}} (t_{0} + \rho^{2} - t) \sup_{M} |E|^{2} \mathrm{d}t < \infty,$$
(1.82)

then $g(t_0)$ is $k(g(0), T, \rho_0, \int_0^{t_0} (t_0 + \rho^2 - t)) \sup_M |E|^2 dt$ -non-collapsing relative to upper bound of scalar curvature on scale ρ .

We conclude with the following proposition which shows that any blow-up limit at finite time must be a manifold with maximal volume growth rate whose holonomy is contained in G_2 .

Proposition 1.83. Let $\varphi(t)$ be a solution to a reasonable flow of G₂-structures on a compact manifold M^7 in a finite maximal time interval [0,T). If

$$\int_0^T (T-t) \sup_M |T|^4 dt < \infty,$$

and

$$\sup_{M} (|R| + |T|^2) = o\left(\frac{1}{T-t}\right),\,$$

Then there exist a sequence $t_k \to T$, $x_k \in M$ such that

$$Q_k = \Lambda(x_k, t_k) = \sup_{x \in M, t \in [0, t_k]} \left(|Rm|^2 + |T|^4 + |\nabla T|^2 \right)^{1/2} \to \infty$$

and $(M, Q_k^{3/2} \varphi(t_k), Q_k g(t_k), x_k)$ converges to a complete manifold M_{∞} with a torsion-free G₂-structure $(\varphi_{\infty}, g_{\infty}, x_{\infty})$ such that

$$\mathrm{vol}_{g_\infty}(B_{g_\infty}(x_\infty,r)) \geq kr^7$$

for some k > 0 and all r > 0.

2 Homogeneous G_2 -structures on $\mathbb{S}^7 = Sp(2)/Sp(1)$

In order to understand the isometric flow on homogeneous spaces, we take the particular case $\mathbb{S}^7 = \mathrm{Sp}(2)/\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ and analyse the isometric class of $\mathrm{Sp}(2)$ -invariant G₂-structures and then describe the $\mathrm{Sp}(2)$ -invariant G₂-structures with divT = 0, i.e., critical points of the Dirichlet energy functional on this class:

$$E: \varphi \in \mathcal{B}_r \mapsto \int_M |T_{\varphi}|^2 \mathrm{vol}_{\varphi} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that it is indispensable to know $|T_{\varphi}|^2$. Therefore, we focus on two aspects, the first one is to describe the isometric class of Sp(2)-invariant G₂-structures which is described by a SO(3)-orbit (Proposition 2.24) showing also explicitly $|T_{\varphi}|^2$ in this class which is necessary to find the torsion forms τ_0, τ_1, τ_2 and τ_3 and thus conclude Proposition 2.55 and Theorem 2.54 using this results in Section §2.5 and, the second one is to describe the Sp(2)-invariant G₂-structures of \mathcal{B}_r with divT = 0 showed in Proposition 2.66, i.e. critical points of the Dirichlet energy functional. More generally, we will make the blanket assumption that all the variational problems we consider are restricted to a given isometric class concluding Theorem 2.67 about the set $\operatorname{Crit}(E|_{\mathcal{B}_r})$ for r > 0 which is proved in §2.5.1.

2.1 G₂-structures on homogeneous spaces

In this section, we will give a survey of Lauret's approach to G-invariant G₂-structures on homogeneous spaces [27]. Also, we use a classification of homogeneous spaces G/H admitting G-invariant G₂-structures which will be useful to describe isometric Sp(2)-invariant G₂-structures on $\mathbb{S}^7 = \text{Sp}(2)/\text{Sp}(1)$.

Consider the action of a Lie group G on a manifold M. A (r, s)-tensor γ on M is G-invariant if $h^*\gamma = \gamma$, for each $h \in G$, where

$$h^*\gamma(X_1,\dots,X_r,\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s) := \gamma(h_*X_1,\dots,h_*X_r,(h^{-1})^*\alpha_1,\dots,(h^{-1})^*\alpha_s).$$

for $X_1, \dots, X_r \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s \in \Gamma(T^*M)$. In particular, when M = G/H is a reductive homogeneous space, i.e., $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ with $\operatorname{Ad}(k)\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ for all $k \in K$, any *G*-invariant tensor γ is completely determined by its value γ_{x_0} at the point $x_0 = [1_G] \in G/H$, where γ_{x_0} is an $\operatorname{Ad}(K)$ invariant tensor at $\mathfrak{p} \cong T_{x_0}M$, i.e., $(\operatorname{Ad}(k))^*\gamma_{x_0} = \gamma_{x_0}$ for each $k \in K$. Given $x = [hx_0] \in G/H$, clearly $\gamma_x = (h^{-1})^*\gamma_{x_0}$.

Let \mathfrak{p} be a real vector space of dimension 7 and let φ be a G₂-structure, then it belongs to the open orbit $GL(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot \phi \subset \Lambda^3 \mathfrak{p}^*$. Let us fix a positive 3-form $\varphi \in GL(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot \phi \subset \Lambda^3 \mathfrak{p}^*$. Since the orbit $GL(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot \varphi$ is open in $\Lambda^3 \mathfrak{p}^*$, we have that its tangent space at φ satisfies

$$\theta(\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{p}))\varphi = \Lambda^3 \mathfrak{p}^*,$$

$$\theta(A)\beta = -\beta(A\cdot,\cdot,\cdot) - \beta(\cdot,A\cdot,\cdot) - \beta(\cdot,\cdot,A\cdot), \quad \forall A \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{p}), \quad \beta \in \Lambda^3 \mathfrak{p}^*.$$

The Lie algebra of the stabilizer subgroup $G_2(\varphi) := GL(\mathfrak{p})_{\varphi} \cong G_2$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{g}_2(\varphi) := \left\{ A \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{p}) : \theta(A)\varphi = 0 \right\} \cong \mathfrak{g}_2$$

We consider the orthogonal complement subspace $\mathfrak{q}(\varphi) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{p})$ of $\mathfrak{g}_2(\varphi)$ relative to the inner product on $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{p})$ determined by g_{φ} (that is, tr AB^t). The irreducible $G_2(\varphi)$ -components of $\mathfrak{q}(\varphi)$ are $\mathfrak{q}_1(\varphi) = \mathbb{R}I$, the one-dimensional trivial representation, the (seven-dimensional) standard representation $\mathfrak{q}_7(\varphi)$ and $\mathfrak{q}_{27}(\varphi)$, the other fundamental representation which has dimension 27. Summarizing, each positive 3-form φ determines the following $G_2(\varphi)$ -invariant decompositions:

$$\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{g}_2(\varphi) \oplus \mathfrak{q}(\varphi), \quad \mathfrak{q}(\varphi) = \mathfrak{q}_1(\varphi) \oplus \mathfrak{q}_7(\varphi) \oplus \mathfrak{q}_{27}(\varphi), \tag{2.1}$$
$$\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{q}_7(\varphi), \quad \operatorname{sym}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{q}_1(\varphi) \oplus \mathfrak{q}_{27}(\varphi), \quad \mathfrak{q}_{27}(\varphi) = \operatorname{sym}_0(\mathfrak{p}),$$

where $\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{p})$ and $\operatorname{sym}(\mathfrak{p})$ are the spaces of skew-symmetric and symmetric linear maps with respect to g_{φ} , respectively, and $\operatorname{sym}_{0}(\mathfrak{p}) := \{A \in \operatorname{sym}(\mathfrak{p}) : \operatorname{tr}(A) = 0\}.$

If we identify $\operatorname{sym}(\mathfrak{p})$ with the space $S^2\mathfrak{p}^*$ of symmetric bilinear forms by using $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, then the linear isomorphism $i_{\varphi}: S^2\mathfrak{p}^* \to \Lambda_1^3\mathfrak{p}^* \oplus \Lambda_{27}^3\mathfrak{p}^*$ satisfies $i_{\varphi}(h) = -2\theta(h)\varphi$; in particular, for every $\gamma \in \Lambda^3\mathfrak{p}^*$, there exists a unique operator Q_{γ} in $\mathfrak{q}(\varphi)$ such that

$$\gamma = \theta(Q_{\gamma})\varphi,$$

and we have that $i_{\varphi}(Q_{\gamma}) = -2\gamma$.

A 7-manifold endowed with a G₂-structure (M, φ) is said to be *homogeneous* if the Lie group of all symmetries or automorphisms,

$$\operatorname{Aut}(M,\varphi) := \{ f \in \operatorname{Diff} : f^*\varphi = \varphi \}$$

acts transitively on M. It is known that $\operatorname{Aut}(M, \varphi)$ is a Lie group, it is indeed a closed subgroup of the Lie group $\operatorname{Iso}(M, g_{\varphi})$ of all isometries of the Riemannian manifold (M, g_{φ}) . Each Lie subgroup $G \subset \operatorname{Aut}(M, \varphi)$ which is transitive on M gives rise to a presentation of M as a homogeneous space G/H, where H is the isotropy subgroup of G at some point $o \in M$, and φ becomes a G-invariant G_2 -structure on the homogeneous space M = G/H. As in the Riemannian case, G is closed in $\operatorname{Aut}(M, \varphi)$ if and only if K is compact. In the presence of a reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ (that is, $\operatorname{Ad}(H)\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{p}$) for the homogeneous space G/H, where \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{k} , respectively, denote the Lie algebras of G and H, every G-invariant G_2 -structure on G/H is determined by a positive 3-form φ on $\mathfrak{p} \cong T_o G/H$ (the tangent space at the origin o of G/H) which is $\operatorname{Ad}(H)$ -invariant. This means that $(\operatorname{Ad}(h)|_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cdot \varphi = \varphi$ for any $h \in H$, or equivalently if H is connected, $\theta(\operatorname{ad} Z|_{\mathfrak{p}})\varphi = 0$ for all $Z \in \mathfrak{k}$.

Compact homogeneous manifolds admitting invariant G₂-structures

In this section we use a classification of homogeneous manifolds G/H admitting G-invariant G₂-structures where G is a compact Lie group and H is a closed Lie subgroup (not necessarily connected) of G (see [39, 42]). We describe all connected subgroups of G₂. The semisimple subalgebra of all semisimple Lie algebras including \mathfrak{g}_2 have been classified in [15].

Definition 2.2. Let G be a compact connected Lie group and H be a closed connected subgroup of G. We call G/H S¹-reducible if there exists a Lie group G' and a covering map $\pi : G' \times U(1) \to G$ such that $H \subseteq \pi(G')$. Otherwise, G/H is called S¹-irreducible.

Lemma 2.3. [39, §3, Lemma 3.4] Let G/H be a seven-dimensional homogeneous space which admits a G-invariant G₂-structure. We assume that G acts effectively on G/H. In this situation, there exists a vector space and an isomorphism $\zeta : T_pG/H \to \mathbb{R}^7$ such that $\zeta H \zeta^{-1} \subseteq G_2$, where H is identified with its isotropy representation and G₂ with its seven-dimensional irreducible representation.

The converse of the above Lemma is also true:

Lemma 2.4. [39, §3, Lemma 3.5] Let G/H be a seven-dimensional homogeneous space such that G acts effectively and there exists a vector space isomorphism $\zeta : T_pG/H \to \mathbb{R}^7$ with $\zeta H \zeta^{-1} \subseteq G_2$. In this situation, there exists a G-invariant G_2 -structure on G/H.

Lemma 2.5. [39, §5, Lemma 5.2] Let G/H be a compact homogeneous space which admits a G-invariant G_2 -structure. Moreover, let G act almost effectively on G/H. In this situation, the following statements are true:

- 1. dim $\mathfrak{g} = \dim \mathfrak{h} + 7$.
- 2. G is a compact and \mathfrak{g} is the direct sum of a semisimple and an abelian Lie aLgebra.
- 3. rank $\mathfrak{h} \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and rank $\mathfrak{g} \neq \operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{h} \pmod{2}$. If rank $\mathfrak{h} = 1$, the dimension of the centre $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ of \mathfrak{g} is less than or equal 3. If rank $\mathfrak{h} = 2$, dim $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) \leq 1$.
- 4. Let $G = G' \times U(1)$ and $H = H' \times U(1)$. If the second factor of H is transversely embedded into the product $G' \times U(1)$, G/H is G'-equivariantly covered by G'/H'.
- 5. Let m be the orthonormal complement of h and g with respect to an Ad_H-invariant metric
 g. The restriction of the adjoint action Ad_G to a map H → gl(m) is equivalent to the isotropy action of H on the tangent space.

Proposition 2.6. [39, §1, Theorem 1]

1. Let G/H be a seven-dimensional, compact, connected, homogeneous space which admits a G-invariant G₂-structure. We assume that G/H is a product of a circle and another homogeneous space and that G acts almost effectively on G/H. Furthermore, we assume

G	Н	G/H	$\mathfrak{n}_{O(7)}$	$\mathfrak{n}_{\mathrm{G}_2}$
U(1)	$\{e\}$	T^7	28	35
$\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)^4$	$\{e\}$	$S^3 \times T^4$	28	35
$\mathrm{SU}(2)^2 \times \mathrm{U}(1)$	$\{e\}$	$S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$	28	35
$\mathrm{SU}(2)^2 \times \mathrm{U}(1)^2$	$\mathrm{U}(1)$	$S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$	10	13
$SO(4) \times U(1)^2$	SO(2)	$V^{4,2} \times T^2$	10	13
$\mathrm{SU}(2)^3 \times \mathrm{U}(1)$	SU(2)	$S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$	4	5
$\mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{U}(1)^2$	SU(2)	$S^5 \times T^2$	7	10
$SU(3) \times U(1)$	$U(1)^{2}$	$\mathrm{SU}(3)/\mathrm{U}(1)^2 \times S^1$	4	5
$\operatorname{Sp}(2) \times \operatorname{U}(1)$	$\operatorname{Sp}(1) \times \operatorname{U}(1)$	$\mathbb{CP}^3\times S^1$	3	4
$G_2 \times U(1)$	SU(3)	$S^6 \times S^1$	2	3

Table 1 – \mathbb{S}^1 -reducible

G	Н	G/H		$\mathfrak{n}_{O(7)}$	$\mathfrak{n}_{\mathrm{G}_2}$
SU(3)	U(1)	$N^{1,1}$		10	13
SU(3)	U(1)	$N^{1,0}$		6	7
SU(3)	U(1)	$N^{k,l}$	with $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}, k \le l \le 0, kl > 1$	4	5
SO(5)	SO(3)	$V^{5,2}$		4	5
$\operatorname{Sp}(2)$	Sp(1)	\mathbb{S}^7		7	10
SO(5)	SO(3)	B^7		1	1
$\mathrm{SU}(2)^3$	$U(1)^{2}$	$Q^{1,1,1}$		4	5
$SU(3) \times U(1)$	$U(1)^{2}$	$N^{k,l}$	with $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ arbitrary	4	5
$SU(3) \times SU(2)$	$SU(2) \times U(1)$	$M^{1,1,0}$		3	4
$SU(3) \times SU(2)$	$SU(2) \times U(1)$	$N^{1,1}$		2	2
$\operatorname{Sp}(2) \times \operatorname{U}(1)$	$\operatorname{Sp}(1) \times \operatorname{U}(1)$	\mathbb{S}^7		3	4
$\operatorname{Sp}(2) \times \operatorname{Sp}(1)$	$\operatorname{Sp}(1) \times \operatorname{Sp}(1)$	\mathbb{S}^7		2	2
SU(4)	SU(3)	\mathbb{S}^7		2	3
Sp(7)	G_2	\mathbb{S}^7		1	1

Table 2 – \mathbb{S}^1 -irreducible

that G and H are both connected. In this situation, G, H and G/H are up to a covering one of the space from Table 1 and the dimensions $\mathfrak{n}_{G_2}(\mathfrak{n}_{O(7)})$ of the space of all G-invariant G₂-structures (metrics) on G/H are shown in Table 1.

- Let G, H and G/H satisfy the same conditions as before with the single exception that G/H is not a product of a circle and another homogeneous space. In this situation, G, H and G/H are up to a covering one of the space from Table 2 and the dimensions n_{G2} (n_{O(7)}) of the space of all G-invariant G₂-structures (metrics) on G/H are shown in Table 2.
- 3. Any of the G/H from Table 1 or Table 2 admits a G-invariant cocalibrated G₂-structure. If G/H is from Table 2, it even admits a G-invariant nearly parallel G₂-structure.

In Table 1 and 2, $N^{k,l}$ denotes an Aloff-Wallach space, $V^{4,2}$ ($V^{5,2}$) denotes the Stiefel manifold of all orthonormal pairs in \mathbb{R}^4 (\mathbb{R}^5), and B^7 is the seven-dimensional Berger space. The following Lemma and Theorem answer how many of such structures exist on G/H.

Lemma 2.7. [39, §7, Lemma 7.1] Let G be a compact Lie group and H be a closed subgroup of G such that G/H admits a G-invariant G₂-structure. As usual, let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of G,

 \mathfrak{h} of H and \mathfrak{p} be the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{h} in \mathfrak{g} with respect to an Ad_{H} -invariant scalar product on \mathfrak{g} . We denote the set of all H-invariant elements of an H-module V by V^{H} . The set of all G-invariant G_{2} -structures on G/H can be bijectively identified with a subset of $(\Lambda^{3}\mathfrak{p}^{*})^{H}$. Moreover, this subset is open.

Lemma 2.8. [39, §7, Lemma 7.2] In the situation of above Lemma, the map

$$\gamma: \left(\Lambda^3 \mathfrak{p}^*\right)^H \to (S^2 \mathfrak{p}^*)^H$$

which maps a G₂-structure to its associated metric is surjective.

The statement of the above lemma can be understood as follows: For any *G*-invariant metric g on G/H there exists a *G*-invariant G₂-structure such that its associated metric is g. Moreover, the space of all such G₂-structures is of dimension \mathfrak{n}_{G_2} .

2.2 Sp(2)-invariant G₂-structures on $\mathbb{S}^7 = \operatorname{Sp}(2)/\operatorname{Sp}(1)$

G₂-Geometry on the sphere has attracted significant interest over the past decade, perhaps most notably in the classification of homogeneous structures is given by Reidegeld [39] some examples was given in Table 2, the description of 7-dimensional homogeneous spaces with isotropy representation in G₂ by Munir & Le [42] and the study of the 7-sphere's calibrated geometry by Lotay [30] and Kawai [26]. The main results that i collaborated in [35] are given in this section: Proposition 2.24 about isometric Sp(2)-invariant G₂-structures on S⁷ and Proposition 2.49 finding torsion forms for an specific case of Sp(2)-invariant G₂-structures.

We begin with a reductive decomposition of $S^7 = \text{Sp}(2)/\text{Sp}(1)$ as a homogeneous space. Let $A^* = \bar{A}^T$ be the Hermitian transpose, consider the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{sp}(2) := \{ A \in \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}) \mid A + A^* = 0 \},\$$

and fix its basis

$$v_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix},$$
$$e_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & j \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_{4} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_{5} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & j \\ j & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.9)$$
$$e_{6} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k \\ k & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_{7} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define the embedding $\mathfrak{sp}(1) \subset \mathfrak{sp}(2)$ as the subalgebra generated by $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$, corresponding to the reductive splitting

$$\mathfrak{sp}(2) = \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{p} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathfrak{p} := \mathfrak{sp}(1)^{\perp} = span(e_1, ..., e_7),$$
 (2.10)

with respect to the canonical inner product $\langle A_1, A_2 \rangle = \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{tr}(A_1A_2^*))$. A straightforward computation shows that

$$ad(\mathfrak{sp}(1))e_l = 0, \text{ for } l = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$\operatorname{ad}(\mathfrak{sp}(1))\mathfrak{p}_4 = \mathfrak{p}_4, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathfrak{p}_4 := \operatorname{span}(e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7).$$

In terms of the trivial submodules $\mathfrak{p}_l = span(e_l)$, we have the irreducible $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{p}(1))$ -decomposition $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_3 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_4$. Consider the 2-forms on \mathfrak{p}_4

$$\omega_1 = e^{47} + e^{56}, \quad \omega_2 = e^{46} - e^{57}, \quad \omega_3 = e^{45} + e^{67},$$
 (2.11)

and notice that $\omega_1^2 = \omega_2^2 = \omega_3^2 = 2e^{4567}$ and $\omega_l \wedge \omega_m = 0$, for any $l \neq m$.

Recall that we denote by $\Omega^3_+(\mathbb{S}^7)^{\operatorname{Sp}(2)}$ the bundle of $\operatorname{Sp}(2)$ -invariant G₂-structures on \mathbb{S}^7 , and by $\operatorname{Sym}^2_+(T^*\mathbb{S}^7)^{\operatorname{Sp}(2)}$ the bundle of $\operatorname{Sp}(2)$ -invariant G₂-metrics. As sets, the following equivalences hold (see Lemma 2.7)

$$\Omega^3_+(\mathbb{S}^7)^{\operatorname{Sp}(2)} \simeq \Lambda^3_+(\mathfrak{p}^*)^{\operatorname{Ad}(\operatorname{Sp}(1))} \simeq \left(\operatorname{GL}(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot \varphi\right)^{\operatorname{Ad}(\operatorname{Sp}(1))}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Sym}^2_+(T^*\mathbb{S}^7)^{\operatorname{Sp}(2)} \simeq \operatorname{Sym}^2_+(\mathfrak{p}^*)^{\operatorname{Ad}(\operatorname{Sp}(1))}$$

where the left $\operatorname{GL}(\mathfrak{p})$ -action is defined by $\Theta \cdot \varphi := (\Theta^{-1})^* \varphi$ for the $\operatorname{Ad}(\operatorname{Sp}(1))$ -invariant morphism $\Theta \in \operatorname{GL}(\mathfrak{p})$ and the 3-form $\varphi \in \Lambda^3_+(\mathfrak{p}^*)^{\operatorname{Ad}(\operatorname{Sp}(1))}$. Looking into $\operatorname{GL}(\mathfrak{p})^{\operatorname{Ad}(\operatorname{Sp}(1))}$, the splitting (2.10) establishes the inclusion

$$h \in \operatorname{Sp}(1) \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{c|c} h \\ \hline & 1 \end{array} \right) \in \operatorname{Sp}(2),$$

in such a way that, for any $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{p}$ with $x \in \mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_3 \simeq \mathfrak{sp}(1)$ and $y \in \mathfrak{p}_4 \simeq \mathbb{H}$, we have $\operatorname{Ad}(h)(x, y) = (x, hy)$. The equivariance condition $\operatorname{Ad}(h) \circ \Theta = \Theta \circ \operatorname{Ad}(h)$, for each $h \in \operatorname{Sp}(1)$, implies

$$\Theta = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} D \\ \hline \\ aI_{4\times 4} \end{array} \right) \quad \text{with} \quad D \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_3) \quad \text{and} \quad a \in \mathbb{R} \smallsetminus \{0\}.$$

We highlight that $\Lambda^3(\mathfrak{p}^*)$ has only two open $\operatorname{GL}(\mathfrak{p})$ -orbits, generated by two G_2 -structure φ and a $\tilde{\varphi}$. Likewise, $\Lambda^3(\mathfrak{p}^*)^{\operatorname{Ad}(\operatorname{Sp}(1))}$ has two open orbits induced by open subsets of $\operatorname{GL}(\mathfrak{p})^{\operatorname{Ad}(\operatorname{Sp}(1))} \simeq$ $\operatorname{GL}(\mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_3) \times \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, corresponding to the orbit of a $\operatorname{Ad}(\operatorname{Sp}(1))$ -invariant G_2 -structure φ or $\tilde{\varphi}$.

In accordance with [39], we denote by n_{G_2} the rank of the bundle $\Omega^3_+(\mathbb{S}^7)^{\operatorname{Sp}(2)}$, and by $n_{O(7)}$ the rank of $\operatorname{Sym}^2_+(T^*\mathbb{S}^7)^{\operatorname{Sp}(2)}$. The following Lemma contains an alternative proof of Reidegeld's assertion that $n_{G_2} = 10$ and $n_{O(7)} = 7$. It provides moreover an explicit description of all Sp(2)invariant G₂-structures and their induced Sp(2)-invariant metrics, in which \mathfrak{p} is identified with the tangent space of \mathbb{S}^7 at the orbit of the identity $o = 1_{\operatorname{Sp}(2)}\operatorname{Sp}(1)$. Furthermore, it distinguishes the open subset in $\operatorname{GL}(\mathfrak{p})^{\operatorname{Ad}(\operatorname{Sp}(1))}$ which parameterises $\Lambda^3_+(\mathfrak{p}^*)^{\operatorname{Ad}(\operatorname{Sp}(1))}$.

Lemma 2.12. [35, Lema 1.1] Consider the homogeneous space Sp(2)/Sp(1) with the reductive decomposition (2.10). The Ad(Sp(1))-invariant G₂-structures on \mathfrak{p} have the form

$$\varphi = a^3 e^{123} + (\alpha_1 e^1 + \alpha_2 e^2 + \alpha_3 e^3) \wedge \omega_1 + (\beta_1 e^1 + \beta_2 e^2 + \beta_3 e^3) \wedge \omega_2 + (\gamma_1 e^1 + \gamma_2 e^2 + \gamma_3 e^3) \wedge \omega_3,$$
(2.13)

the coefficients of which satisfy

$$a \cdot \det D^{-1} > 0, \quad with \quad D^{-1} := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \beta_3 \\ \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 & \gamma_3 \end{pmatrix} : \mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_3 \to \mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_3.$$

Moreover, the Ad(Sp(1))-invariant inner product on \mathfrak{p} , induced by (2.13), is given by

$$g = \frac{a^2}{\det(D^{-1})^{2/3}} \left(\frac{(DD^t)^{-1}}{\left| \frac{\det(D^{-1})}{a^3} I_{4\times 4} \right|} \right),$$
(2.14)

and the induced orientation is parametrised by $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. In particular, $n_{G_2} = 10$ and $n_{O(7)} = 7$. Remark 2.15. We have that the G₂-structure φ induce a symmetric definite bilinear form $B: \mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{p} \to \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$B_{ij} := B(e_i, e_j) = (e_i \lrcorner \varphi) \land (e_j \lrcorner \varphi) \land \varphi(e_1, e_2, ..., e_7).$$

$$(2.16)$$

By a long and straightforward computation, we get

$$B_{11} = 6a^{3}(\alpha_{1}^{2} + \beta_{1}^{2} + \gamma_{1}^{2}) \qquad B_{12} = B_{21} = 6a^{3}(\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2} + \beta_{1}\beta_{2} + \gamma_{1}\gamma_{2}) B_{22} = 6a^{3}(\beta_{2}^{2} + \beta_{2}^{2} + \gamma_{2}^{2}) \qquad B_{23} = B_{32} = 6a^{3}(\alpha_{2}\alpha_{3} + \beta_{2}\beta_{3} + \gamma_{2}\gamma_{3}) B_{33} = 6a^{3}(\alpha_{3}^{2} + \beta_{3}^{2} + \gamma_{3}^{2}) \qquad B_{13} = B_{31} = 6a^{3}(\alpha_{1}\alpha_{3} + \beta_{1}\beta_{3} + \gamma_{1}\gamma_{3})$$

$$B_{kk} = 6\alpha_1(\beta_2\gamma_3 - \beta_3\gamma_2) - 6\alpha_2(\beta_1\gamma_3 - \beta_3\gamma_1) + 6\alpha_3(\beta_1\gamma_2 - \beta_2\gamma_1) \text{ for } k = 4, 5, 6, 7, B_{ij} = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Assembling the coefficients $\alpha_k, \beta_k, \gamma_k$ (k = 1, 2, 3), we construct the matrix

$$D^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \beta_3 \\ \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 & \gamma_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Expressing the coefficients of (2.16) as

$$B_{ij} = 6a^{3}[(DD^{t})^{-1}]_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$B_{kk} = 6 \det(D^{-1}), \qquad k = 4, 5, 6, 7,$$
(2.17)

we see that $det(B) = 6^7 a^9 (det(D^{-1}))^6$. For the definiteness of (2.16), we have

$$B_{jj} \in \mathbb{R}_{\pm}, \quad \forall j \in \{1, ..., 7\} \iff a, \det(D^{-1}) \in \mathbb{R}_{\pm}.$$
 (2.18)

For the second part of the Lemma, recall that the inner product on \mathfrak{p} induced by φ is given by (see [24])

$$g_{ij} = \frac{1}{6^{2/9}} \frac{B_{ij}}{\det(B)^{1/9}}$$

Condition (2.18) then guarantees the positive-definiteness of the inner product, which indeed takes the form (2.14), by (2.17). Finally, the orientation $\sqrt{\det(g)} = 6^{-7/9} \det(B)^{1/9} = a(\det(D^{-1}))^{2/3}$ is determined by the sign of $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

We fix henceforth an orientation by setting a > 0. Notice that $\operatorname{Ad}(\operatorname{Sp}(1))$ -invariant G₂-structures are parametrised by $G := \mathbb{R}^+ \times \operatorname{GL}^+(3, \mathbb{R})$ (with positive orientation). Indeed, the invariant G₂-structure (2.13) can be written as $\varphi = (D(a)^{-1})^* \varphi_0$ where $\varphi_0 = e^{123} + \sum_{i=1}^3 e^i \wedge \omega_i$ is the canonical G₂-structure and

$$D(a)^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \frac{a}{(\det(D^{-1}))^{1/3}} D^{-1} & 0\\ \hline 0 & \frac{(\det(D^{-1}))^{1/6}}{a^{1/2}} I_{4\times 4} \end{array} \right) \quad \text{for} \quad D^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3\\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \beta_3\\ \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 & \gamma_3 \end{array} \right),$$

for the pair $(a, D) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathrm{GL}^+(3, \mathbb{R})$. This yields a surjective map

$$\Theta: (a, D) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathrm{GL}^+(3, \mathbb{R}) \mapsto \varphi = (D(a)^{-1})^* \varphi_0 \in \Lambda^3_+(\mathfrak{p}^*)^{\mathrm{Ad}(\mathrm{Sp}(1))}.$$
 (2.19)

2.3 Distinguishing homogeneous G₂-metrics

In [43], W. Ziller describes how the seven-parameter family of Sp(2)-invariant metrics falls into isometry classes, which depend on four parameters. We will now apply his approach to the G₂-metrics of Lemma 2.12, in order to simplify the description of the space of Sp(2)-invariant G₂-structures, as a seven-parameter family.

The Sp(2)-invariant G_2 -metrics are described in (2.14) by seven polynomials in ten variables. Given a positive symmetric matrix of the form

$$S = \left(\frac{1}{a\sqrt[3]{\det D}}D\right) \left(\frac{1}{a\sqrt[3]{\det D}}D\right)^t \in \mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{R}),\tag{2.20}$$

straightforward diagonalisation yields $A \in SO(3)$ such that $S = AQA^t$, with $Q = \text{diag}(r_1^2, r_2^2, r_3^2)$ (if det A = -1 take $\tilde{A} = -A$). Furthermore, the 3-dimensional group SO(3) can be described using the surjective homomorphism $\Upsilon : Sp(1) \to SO(3)$ given by

$$A := \Upsilon(h) = \begin{pmatrix} h_0^2 + h_1^2 - h_2^2 - h_3^2 & 2(h_1h_2 - h_0h_3) & 2(h_1h_3 + h_0h_2) \\ 2(h_1h_2 + h_0h_3) & h_0^2 - h_1^2 + h_2^2 - h_3^2 & 2(h_2h_3 - h_0h_1) \\ 2(h_1h_3 - h_0h_2) & 2(h_2h_3 + h_0h_1) & h_0^2 - h_1^2 - h_2^2 + h_3^2 \end{pmatrix},$$
(2.21)

for $h = h_0 + h_1 i + h_2 j + h_3 k \in$ Sp(1).

The embedding

$$h \in \operatorname{Sp}(1) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{Sp}(2)$$

induces a conjugation $C_h := L_h \circ R_{h^{-1}}$, by elements of Sp(1) and a diffeomorphism of Sp(2)/Sp(1). Its differential acts on \mathfrak{p} by the standard representation on $\mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_3 \simeq \mathfrak{sp}(1)$ and the Sp(1)-right action on $\mathfrak{p}_4 \simeq \mathbb{H}$. In particular, its action at the orbit of the identity is

$$(dC_h)_o(x,y) = (hx\bar{h},y\bar{h}) = (\Upsilon(h)x,y\bar{h}), \text{ for } (x,y) \in \mathfrak{p}.$$

Notice that $(dC_h)_o$ identifies the inner product (2.14) with

Hence, Sp(1) acts on Sp(2)/Sp(1) by isometries. Setting $r_4^2 = \det D$, this inner product takes the form

$$g = \frac{1}{r_1^2} (f^1)^2 + \frac{1}{r_2^2} (f^2)^2 + \frac{1}{r_3^2} (f^3)^2 + \sqrt[3]{\frac{r_1 r_2 r_3}{r_4^2}} \Big((e^4)^2 + (e^5)^2 + (e^6)^2 + (e^7)^2 \Big), \tag{2.22}$$

since $a^6 = \frac{1}{\det Q}$ and $f_k = Ae_k$ (for k = 1, 2, 3). Therefore the Sp(2)-invariant metrics of Lemma 2.12 are parametrised by $(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, h) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \{0\} \times \text{Sp}(1)$, provided $r_1r_2r_3 > 0$.

The following result reformulates a well-known condition of isometry between homogeneous metrics of the underlying $S^7 = Sp(2)/Sp(1)$, but from the point of view of G₂-structures.

Definition 2.23. Two G₂-structures φ_1, φ_2 will be called *isometric* if they induce the same metric under (2.16).

Proposition 2.24. Two Sp(2)-invariant G₂-structures, described by $(a, D), (\tilde{a}, \tilde{D}) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathrm{GL}^+(3, \mathbb{R})$, are isometric if, and only if, $\tilde{a} = a$ and $\tilde{D} = D \cdot A$ with $A \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$.

Proof. Let φ and $\tilde{\varphi}$ be G₂-structures described by (a, D) and (\tilde{a}, \tilde{D}) , respectively. Consider the induced bilinear forms B, \tilde{B} given by

$$B_{ij} := B(e_i, e_j) = (e_i \lrcorner \varphi) \land (e_j \lrcorner \varphi) \land \varphi(e_1, e_2, ..., e_7).$$

According to the Remark 2.15 2.12, if φ and $\tilde{\varphi}$ are isometric, then

$$\frac{B_{ij}}{a \det(D^{-1})^{2/3}} = \frac{\tilde{B}_{ij}}{\tilde{a} \det(\tilde{D}^{-1})^{2/3}}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, 7.$$

Equivalently,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{a^2 (DD^t)^{-1}}{\det(D^{-1})^{2/3}} = \frac{\tilde{a}^2 (\tilde{D}\tilde{D}^t)^{-1}}{\det(\tilde{D}^{-1})^{2/3}} \\ \tilde{a}^3 \det(D^{-1}) = a^3 \det(\tilde{D}^{-1}) \end{cases},$$
(2.25)

and therefore

$$\begin{cases} \frac{DD^t}{a^2 \det(D)^{2/3}} = \frac{(\widetilde{D}\widetilde{D}^t)}{\widetilde{a}^2 \det(\widetilde{D})^{2/3}} \\ a^3 \det(D) = \widetilde{a}^3 \det(\widetilde{D}) > 0 \end{cases} \text{ and } DD^t = \widetilde{D}\widetilde{D}^t$$

Define $A := D^{-1}\tilde{D}$, so that $\tilde{D} = D \cdot A$. Then $AA^t = I$ and $\det(A) = \frac{\det(D)}{\det(D)} > 0$, since $D, \tilde{D} \in \mathrm{GL}^+(3, \mathbb{R})$, which actually implies $\det(A) = 1$, hence $A \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ and $a = \tilde{a}$. Conversely, if $\tilde{D} = D \cdot A$ and $\tilde{a} = a$, it is easy to verify that (2.25) holds, thus φ and $\tilde{\varphi}$ are isometric. \Box

By definition, the map $\Theta(a, D) = \varphi_{a,D} = \varphi$ given in (2.19) is surjective. Consider $(a, D), (\tilde{a}, \tilde{D}) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathrm{GL}^+(3, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\Theta(a, D) = \Theta(\tilde{a}, \tilde{D})$. Then $\tilde{D}(\tilde{a})^{-1}D(a) \in G(\varphi_0) \simeq \mathrm{G}_2$, where

$$\varphi_0 = e^{123} + e^1 \wedge \omega_1 + e^2 \wedge \omega_2 + e^3 \wedge \omega_3$$

In particular, the G₂-structures $\varphi_{a,D}$ and $\varphi_{\tilde{a},\tilde{D}}$ are isometric, so Proposition 2.24 implies $\tilde{a} = a$ and $\tilde{D} = D \cdot A$, with $A \in SO(3)$. Then, we have

$$\widetilde{D}(\widetilde{a})^{-1}D(a) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A^t & 0\\ \hline 0 & I_{4\times 4} \end{array}\right) \in \mathcal{G}_2.$$

From the invariance $(\tilde{D}(\tilde{a})^{-1}D(a))^*\varphi_0 = \varphi_0$, we deduce that $A = I_{3\times 3}$, so the map Θ is injective. concluding that the space of Sp(2)-invariant G₂-structures on $\mathbb{S}^7 \simeq \text{Sp}(2)/\text{Sp}(1)$ is described by the homogeneous manifold

$$\Omega^3_+(\mathbb{S}^7)^{\operatorname{Sp}(2)} \simeq \mathbb{R}^+ \times \operatorname{GL}^+(3,\mathbb{R}),$$

via the isomorphism $\Omega^3_+(\mathbb{S}^7)^{\operatorname{Sp}(2)} \simeq \Lambda^3_+(\mathfrak{p}^*)^{\operatorname{Ad}(\operatorname{Sp}(1))}$ and the map

$$\Theta: \quad \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathrm{GL}^+(3,\mathbb{R}) \to \Lambda^3_+(\mathfrak{p}^*)^{\mathrm{Ad}(\mathrm{Sp}(1))}$$
$$(a,D) \mapsto \Theta(a,D) = \varphi_{a,D}.$$

Since \mathbb{S}^7 is spinnable, the map [23, eq. (11)]

$$B: \varphi \in \Omega^3_+(\mathbb{S}^7) \mapsto g_{\varphi} \in \operatorname{Sym}^2_+(T^* \mathbb{S}^7),$$

associating a Riemmanian metric to each G_2 -structure, is surjective. Now, using the above affirmation and considering the isomorphism $\operatorname{Sym}^2_+(T^*M)^{\operatorname{Sp}(2)} \simeq \operatorname{Sym}^2_+(\mathfrak{p})^{\operatorname{Ad}(\operatorname{Sp}(1))}$, we obtain the surjective map $B \circ \Theta$, where $B(\varphi) = g_{\varphi}$ assigns the corresponding $\operatorname{Ad}(\operatorname{Sp}(1))$ -invariant inner product induced by $\varphi \in \Lambda^3_+(\mathfrak{p}^*)^{\operatorname{Ad}(\operatorname{Sp}(1))}$. Moreover, by Proposition 2.24, $\ker(B \circ \Theta) = \{1\} \times \operatorname{SO}(3)$, and therefore, the corresponding space of $\operatorname{Sp}(2)$ -invariant G_2 -metrics is an isomorphism which is given by.

$$\operatorname{Sym}^{2}_{+}(T^{*}\mathbb{S}^{7})^{\operatorname{Sp}(2)} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \left(\operatorname{GL}^{+}(3,\mathbb{R})/\operatorname{SO}(3)\right).$$
(2.26)

In particular, the moduli space of Sp(2)-invariant Riemannian metrics described in [43] corresponds to the 4-manifold

$$\operatorname{Sym}^{2}_{+}(T^{*}\mathbb{S}^{7})^{\operatorname{Sp}(2)}/\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{S}^{7}) \simeq \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \operatorname{SO}(3) \setminus \left(\operatorname{GL}^{+}(3,\mathbb{R})/\operatorname{SO}(3)\right).$$

We conclude with some observations regarding the linear algebra of the degrees of freedom contained in a pair $(a, D) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathrm{GL}^+(3, \mathbb{R})$. By (2.20), the matrix $a^{-1}\sqrt[3]{\det(D^{-1})}D$ is a square root of the positive symmetric matrix S. However, S admits another representation in terms of the eigenvalues r_1^2, r_2^2, r_3^2 and the orthogonal matrix $P = \Upsilon(v)$ ($v \in \mathrm{Sp}(1)$) obtained from eigenvectors:

$$S = CC^t$$
 where $C = P\sqrt{Q}$ and $\sqrt{Q} = \operatorname{diag}(r_1, r_2, r_3).$ (2.27)

If the matrix S can be written in the form (2.27), then was shown in [35, Proposition 1.4] that there exists $A \in SO(3)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{a\sqrt[3]{\det(D)}}D = CA.$$
(2.28)

Moreover, for any $(a, D) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathrm{GL}^+(3, \mathbb{R})$, there exists $(r_1, ..., r_4, v, h) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \{0\} \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)^2$ such that

$$a = \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{r_1 r_2 r_3}} > 0, \quad D = \left[\sqrt[3]{\frac{r_4^2}{r_1 r_2 r_3}} \Upsilon(v) \sqrt{Q}\right] \Upsilon(h).$$
(2.29)

In summary, from the expression of D in (2.29), the elements inside the square brackets determine the metric. So, up to isometry, we have

$$g_{(r_1,r_2,r_3,r_4)} = \frac{1}{r_1^2} (e^1)^2 + \frac{1}{r_2^2} (e^2)^2 + \frac{1}{r_3^2} (e^3)^2 + \sqrt[3]{\frac{r_1 r_2 r_3}{r_4^2}} \Big((e^4)^2 + (e^5)^2 + (e^6)^2 + (e^7)^2 \Big).$$
(2.30)

The isometric family of G₂-structures $\varphi := \varphi_{(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4)}$ is

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{r_1 r_2 r_3} e^{123} + \left(\sqrt[3]{\frac{r_2 r_3}{r_4^2 r_1^2}} (h_0^2 + h_1^2 - h_2^2 - h_3^2) e^1 + 2 \sqrt[3]{\frac{r_1 r_3}{r_4^2 r_2^2}} (h_1 h_2 - h_0 h_3) e^2 + 2 \sqrt[3]{\frac{r_1 r_2}{r_4^2 r_3^2}} (h_1 h_3 + h_0 h_2) e^3 \right) \wedge \omega_1 + \left(2 \sqrt[3]{\frac{r_2 r_3}{r_4^2 r_1^2}} (h_1 h_2 + h_0 h_3) e^1 + \sqrt[3]{\frac{r_1 r_3}{r_4^2 r_2^2}} (h_0^2 - h_1^2 + h_2^2 - h_3^2) e^2 + 2 \sqrt[3]{\frac{r_1 r_2}{r_4^2 r_3^2}} (h_2 h_3 - h_0 h_1) e^3 \right) \wedge \omega_2 + \left(2 \sqrt[3]{\frac{r_2 r_3}{r_4^2 r_1^2}} (h_1 h_3 - h_0 h_2) e^1 + 2 \sqrt[3]{\frac{r_1 r_3}{r_4^2 r_2^2}} (h_2 h_3 - h_0 h_1) e^2 + \sqrt[3]{\frac{r_1 r_2}{r_4^2 r_3^2}} (h_0^2 - h_1^2 - h_2^2 + h_3^2) e^3 \right) \wedge \omega_3,$$

$$(2.31)$$

According to the reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{sp}(2) = \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{p}$, where $\operatorname{Ad}(\operatorname{Sp}(1))\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{p}$, the tangent space of \mathbb{S}^7 at the identity class $o = 1_{\operatorname{Sp}(2)}\operatorname{Sp}(1)$ is identified with the $\operatorname{Ad}(\operatorname{Sp}(1))$ -invariant complement vector space \mathfrak{p} . Moreover, each $\operatorname{Sp}(2)$ -invariant G_2 -structure on \mathbb{S}^7 is determined by a G_2 -structure on $\mathfrak{p} \simeq T_o \mathbb{S}^7$ which is invariant by the $\operatorname{Ad}(\operatorname{Sp}(1))$ -action, i.e. $\Omega^3_+(\mathbb{S}^7)^{\operatorname{Sp}(2)} \simeq \Lambda^3_+(\mathfrak{p}^*)^{\operatorname{Ad}(\operatorname{Sp}(1))}$. From the identification $\Omega^3_+(\mathbb{S}^7)^{\operatorname{Sp}(2)} \simeq \mathbb{R}^+ \times \operatorname{GL}^+(3,\mathbb{R})$, each such isometric class is described by a $\operatorname{SO}(3)$ -orbit (Proposition 2.24):

$$\Omega^3_+(\mathbb{S}^7)^{\operatorname{Sp}(2)} \ni \varphi \longleftrightarrow (a, D) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \operatorname{GL}^+(3, \mathbb{R}), \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{B}_{\varphi} \simeq (a, D \cdot \operatorname{SO}(3)),$$

for each $g_{\varphi} \in \operatorname{Sym}^2_+(T^*\mathbb{S}^7)^{\operatorname{Sp}(2)}$.

2.4 G₂-structures in different isometric classes

We now propose an approach to explicitly parametrise and study different isometric classes of Sp(2)-invariant G₂-structures on \mathbb{S}^7 . By considering Sp(2)-metrics only up to isometries, we describe the reduced space $Sym^2_+(\mathfrak{p})^{Ad(Sp(1))}$ in terms of just 3 parameters.

Set $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, r_2, r_3)$, with $r_1, r_2, r_3 > 0$, and consider the G₂-structure

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1}{(r_1 r_2 r_3)^3} e^{123} + \frac{r_2 r_3}{r_1^2} e^1 \wedge \omega_1 + \frac{r_1 r_3}{r_2^2} e^2 \wedge \omega_2 + \frac{r_1 r_2}{r_3^2} e^3 \wedge \omega_3, \qquad (2.32)$$

with dual 4-form

$$\psi_{\mathbf{r}} := *\varphi_{\mathbf{r}} = (r_1 r_2 r_3)^2 \frac{\omega_1^2}{2} + \frac{r_3}{r_1^2 r_2^2} e^{12} \wedge \omega_3 - \frac{r_2}{r_1^2 r_3^2} e^{13} \wedge \omega_2 + \frac{r_1}{r_2^2 r_3^2} e^{23} \wedge \omega_1,$$

and induced G_2 -metric

$$g_{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1}{r_1^6} (e^1)^2 + \frac{1}{r_2^6} (e^2)^2 + \frac{1}{r_3^6} (e^3)^2 + r_1 r_2 r_3 \Big((e^4)^2 + (e^5)^2 + (e^6)^2 + (e^7)^2 \Big).$$
(2.33)

Proposition 2.34. [9, Eq. 3.6] Let M^7 be a manifold with G₂-structure such that φ induces the Riemannian metric g. Then all the other G₂-structure on M inducing the same metric g can be parametrized by a pair $(f, X) \in C^{\infty}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M)$ where f is a function and X is a vector field satisfying $f^2 + |X|^2 = 1$. The explicit formula for the G₂-structure $\varphi_{(f,X)}$ corresponding to the pair (f, X) is

$$\varphi_{(f,X)} = (f^2 - |X|^2)\varphi - 2f(X \lrcorner \psi) + 2X^{\flat} \land (X \lrcorner \varphi).$$
(2.35)

where $\psi = *\varphi$ and the norm of X is taken with respect to g.

Remark 2.36. In [35, §2, Lemma 2.1], was shown that the G₂-structures of the form (2.52) can be expressed according to Bryant's description of isometric G₂-structures as sections of a $\mathbb{R}P^7$ -bundle for Sp(2)-invariant G₂-structures. Then, if the isometric G₂-structures $\varphi_{(f,X)}$ and φ in (2.35) are Sp(2)-invariant, then f must be a constant function and X is a Sp(2)-invariant vector field. In particular, the G₂-structure (2.31) has the form

$$\varphi := \varphi_{(h_0,X)} = (h_0^2 - |X|^2)\varphi_{\mathbf{r}} - 2h_0(X \lrcorner \psi_{\mathbf{r}}) + 2X^{\flat} \land (X \lrcorner \varphi_{\mathbf{r}}) \quad \text{for} \quad h_0^2 + |X|^2 = 1,$$

where $X = r_1^3 h_1 e_1 + r_2^3 h_2 e_2 + r_3^3 h_3 e_3 \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{S}^7)^{\mathrm{Sp}(2)}$ is an $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{sp}(1))$ -invariant vector of \mathfrak{p} , and $|X|^2 = g_{\mathbf{r}}(X, X) = 1 - h_0^2$.

In particular, back on $M = \mathbb{S}^7$, we can compute the torsion forms of the G₂-structures $\varphi_{\mathbf{r}}$ given by (2.32) using the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential

$$de^i(e_j, e_k) = -\frac{1}{2}e^i([e_j, e_k]_{\mathfrak{p}}),$$

and the Lie bracket in terms of the basis (2.9) given by

$[\cdot, \cdot]$	v_1	v_2	v_3	e_1	e_2	e_3	e_4	e_5	e_6	e_7
v_1	0	$2v_3$	$-2v_2$	0	0	0	$-e_{7}$	e_6	$-e_{5}$	e_4
v_2	$-2v_{3}$	0	$2v_1$	0	0	0	$-e_{6}$	$-e_{7}$	e_4	e_5
v_3	$2v_2$	$2v_1$	0	0	0	0	e_5	$-e_4$	$-e_{7}$	e_6
e_1	0	0	0	0	$2e_3$	$-2e_{2}$	e_7	e_6	$-e_{5}$	$-e_4$
e_2	0	0	0	$-2e_{3}$	0	$2e_1$	$-e_{6}$	e_7	e_4	$-e_{5}$
e_3	0	0	0	$2e_2$	$-2e_1$	0	e_5	$-e_4$	e_7	$-e_6$
e_4	e_7	e_6	$-e_5$	$-e_{7}$	e_6	$-e_5$	0	$v_3 + e_3$	$-v_2 - e_2$	$-v_1 + e_1$
e_5	$-e_6$	e_7	e_4	$-e_6$	$-e_{7}$	e_4	$-v_3 - e_3$	0	$v_1 + e_1$	$-v_2 + e_2$
e_6	e_5	$-e_4$	e_7	e_5	$-e_4$	$-e_{7}$	$v_2 + e_2$	$-v_1 - e_1$	0	$-v_3 + e_3$
e_7	$-e_4$	$-e_5$	$-e_6$	e_4	e_5	e_6	$v_1 - e_1$	$v_2 - e_2$	$v_3 - e_3$	0
							•	•	•	(2.3

The differentials of the dual basis are

$$de^{1} = -2e^{23} - \omega_{1}, \qquad de^{4} = e^{17} - e^{26} + e^{35}, \qquad de^{7} = -e^{14} - e^{25} - e^{36},$$

$$de^{2} = 2e^{13} + \omega_{2}, \qquad de^{5} = e^{16} + e^{27} - e^{34},$$

$$de^{3} = -2e^{12} - \omega_{3}, \qquad de^{6} = -e^{15} + e^{24} + e^{37},$$

(2.38)

and, by the Leibniz rule,

$$d\omega_1 = -2e^2 \wedge \omega_3 - 2e^3 \wedge \omega_2, \quad d\omega_2 = -2e^1 \wedge \omega_3 + 2e^3 \wedge \omega_1, \quad d\omega_3 = 2e^1 \wedge \omega_2 + 2e^2 \wedge \omega_1.$$
(2.39)

The Levi-Civita connection ∇ induced by the corresponding left-invariant metric [3] acts on $\mathfrak{p} \simeq T_o \mathbb{S}^7$ in the following way:

$$\nabla_{p}Y_{m} = g_{\mathbf{r}}(\nabla_{p}Y, e_{m}) = \frac{1}{2}g_{\mathbf{r}}([e_{p}, Y]_{\mathfrak{p}}, e_{m}) + g_{\mathbf{r}}(U(e_{p}, Y), e_{m})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}g_{\mathbf{r}}([e_{p}, Y]_{\mathfrak{p}}, e_{m}) + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mathbf{r}}([e_{m}, e_{p}]_{\mathfrak{p}}, Y) + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mathbf{r}}([e_{m}, Y]_{\mathfrak{p}}, e_{p}),$$
(2.40)

where $U: \mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{p} \to \mathfrak{p}$ is defined by

$$2g_{\mathbf{r}}(U(X,Y),Z) = g_{\mathbf{r}}([Z,X]|_{\mathfrak{p}},Y) + g_{\mathbf{r}}(X,[Z,Y]|_{\mathfrak{p}}),$$
(2.41)

and X, Y, Z Killing vector fields in \mathfrak{p} , note that U is symmetric tensor. We now can introduce the divergence of a (p, 0)-tensor ϑ as the (p - 1, 0)-tensor

$$(\operatorname{div}\vartheta)(Y_1,...,Y_{p-1}) := \operatorname{tr} \left(Z \mapsto (\nabla_Z \vartheta)(\cdot,Y_1,...,Y_{p-1}) \right)$$

The full torsion tensor (1.32) of $\varphi_{(h_0,X)}$, as well as its divergence, can be expressed in terms of (h_0, X) and $(\varphi_{\mathbf{r}}, \psi_{\mathbf{r}}, T_{\mathbf{r}})$ [14]. Let us consider, from now on, the Ansatz $r_1 = r_2 = r_3 = r^{-1/3}$, so the metric prescribed in (2.33) is

$$g_r(u,v) = r^2 \langle u,v \rangle, \quad \forall u,v \in \mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_3 \quad \text{and} \quad g_r(u,v) = \frac{1}{r} \langle u,v \rangle, \quad \forall u,v \in \mathfrak{p}_4.$$
 (2.42)

The corresponding isometric family is

$$\varphi_r = r^3 e^{123} + \left((h_0^2 + h_1^2 - h_2^2 - h_3^2) e^1 + 2(h_1 h_2 - h_0 h_3) e^2 + 2(h_1 h_3 + h_0 h_2) e^3 \right) \wedge \omega_1$$

+ $\left(2(h_1 h_2 + h_0 h_3) e^1 + (h_0^2 - h_1^2 + h_2^2 - h_3^2) e^2 + 2(h_2 h_3 - h_0 h_1) e^3 \right) \wedge \omega_2$ (2.43)
+ $\left(2(h_1 h_3 - h_0 h_2) e^1 + 2(h_2 h_3 + h_0 h_1) e^2 + (h_0^2 - h_1^2 - h_2^2 + h_3^2) e^3 \right) \wedge \omega_3.$

According to the equation (2.29), we have that $\varphi_r = \Theta(r.\Upsilon(\bar{h}))$ where Υ is the double cover homomorphism of SO(3), cf. (2.21). Moreover, the induced dual 4-form is

$$\psi_{r} = \frac{1}{2r^{2}}\omega_{1}^{2} + r\left((h_{0}^{2} + h_{1}^{2} - h_{2}^{2} - h_{3}^{2})e^{23} - 2(h_{1}h_{2} - h_{0}h_{3})e^{13} + 2(h_{1}h_{3} + h_{0}h_{2})e^{12}\right) \wedge \omega_{1}$$

$$+ r\left(2(h_{1}h_{2} + h_{0}h_{3})e^{23} - (h_{0}^{2} - h_{1}^{2} + h_{2}^{2} - h_{3}^{2})e^{13} + 2(h_{2}h_{3} - h_{0}h_{1})e^{12}\right) \wedge \omega_{2}$$

$$+ r\left(2(h_{1}h_{3} - h_{0}h_{2})e^{23} - 2(h_{2}h_{3} + h_{0}h_{1})e^{13} + (h_{0}^{2} - h_{1}^{2} - h_{2}^{2} + h_{3}^{2})e^{12}\right) \wedge \omega_{3}.$$

$$(2.44)$$

To compute the terms $d\varphi_r$ and $d\psi_r$, we use the exterior derivatives (2.38) and (2.39):

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{d}(e^{123}) &= -e^{23} \wedge \omega_1 - e^{13} \wedge \omega_2 - e^{12} \wedge \omega_1, \\ \mathbf{d}(e^1 \wedge \omega_1) &= -2e^{23} \wedge \omega_1 + 2e^{13} \wedge \omega_2 + 2e^{12} \wedge \omega_3 - \omega_1^2, \\ \mathbf{d}(e^2 \wedge \omega_1) &= 2e^{13} \wedge \omega_1 + 2e^{23} \wedge \omega_2, \\ \mathbf{d}(e^3 \wedge \omega_1) &= -2e^{12} \wedge \omega_1 - 2e^{23} \wedge \omega_3, \\ \mathbf{d}(e^1 \wedge \omega_2) &= -2e^{23} \wedge \omega_2 - 2e^{13} \wedge \omega_1, \\ \mathbf{d}(e^2 \wedge \omega_2) &= -2e^{23} \wedge \omega_1 + 2e^{13} \wedge \omega_2 - 2e^{12} \wedge \omega_3 + \omega_2^2, \\ \mathbf{d}(e^3 \wedge \omega_2) &= -2e^{12} \wedge \omega_2 - 2e^{13} \wedge \omega_3, \\ \mathbf{d}(e^1 \wedge \omega_3) &= -2e^{23} \wedge \omega_3 - 2e^{12} \wedge \omega_1, \\ \mathbf{d}(e^2 \wedge \omega_3) &= 2e^{13} \wedge \omega_3 + 2e^{12} \wedge \omega_2, \\ \mathbf{d}(e^3 \wedge \omega_3) &= 2e^{23} \wedge \omega_1 + 2e^{13} \wedge \omega_2 - 2e^{12} \wedge \omega_3 - \omega_3^2. \end{split}$$

Similarly,

$$d(e^{23} \wedge \omega_{1}) = 0, \qquad d(e^{13} \wedge \omega_{1}) = -e^{3} \wedge \omega_{1}^{2} + 2e^{123} \wedge \omega_{3}, d(e^{12} \wedge \omega_{1}) = -e^{2} \wedge \omega_{1}^{2} - 2e^{123} \wedge \omega_{2}, \qquad d(e^{23} \wedge \omega_{2}) = e^{3} \wedge \omega_{1}^{2} - 2e^{123} \wedge \omega_{3}, d(e^{13} \wedge \omega_{2}) = 0, \qquad d(e^{12} \wedge \omega_{2}) = -e^{1} \wedge \omega_{2}^{2} + 2e^{123} \wedge \omega_{1}, \qquad (2.45) d(e^{23} \wedge \omega_{3}) = e^{2} \wedge \omega_{3}^{2} + 2e^{123} \wedge \omega_{2}, \qquad d(e^{13} \wedge \omega_{3}) = e^{1} \wedge \omega_{3}^{2} - 2e^{123} \wedge \omega_{1}, \\d(e^{12} \wedge \omega_{3}) = 0.$$

For the G₂-structure φ_r from (2.43), with associated 4-form $\psi_r = *\varphi_r$, substituting the above equations into $d\varphi_r$ and $d\psi_r$ yields:

$$d\varphi_{r} = -\left(8h_{1}h_{3}e^{12} + 8h_{0}h_{3}e^{13} + (r^{3} - 8h_{3}^{2} + 2)e^{23}\right) \wedge \omega_{1} \\ + \left(8h_{0}h_{1}e^{12} - (r^{3} - 8h_{0}^{2} + 2)e^{13} - 8h_{0}h_{3}e^{23}\right) \wedge \omega_{2}$$

$$-\left((r^{3} - 8h_{1}^{2} + 2)e^{12} - 8h_{0}h_{1}e^{13} + 8h_{1}h_{3}e^{23}\right) \wedge \omega_{3} - (1 - 4h_{2}^{2})\omega_{1}^{2},$$

$$d\psi_{r} = -8h_{2}r\left(e^{123} \wedge (h_{1}\omega_{3} + h_{0}\omega_{2} - h_{3}\omega_{1}) + (h_{3}e^{1} + h_{0}e^{2} - h_{1}e^{3}) \wedge \frac{\omega_{1}^{2}}{2}\right).$$
(2.46)

Furthermore, we deduce that

$$*d\varphi_{r} = -2r^{5}(1-4h_{2}^{2})e^{123} - \frac{1}{r}\left((r^{3}-8h_{3}^{2}+2)e^{1}-8h_{0}h_{3}e^{2}+8h_{1}h_{3}e^{3}\right)\wedge\omega_{1} - \frac{1}{r}\left(8h_{0}h_{3}e^{1}-(r^{3}-8h_{0}^{2}+2)e^{2}-8h_{0}h_{1}e^{3}\right)\wedge\omega_{2} - \frac{1}{r}\left(8h_{1}h_{3}e^{1}+8h_{0}h_{1}e^{2}+(r^{3}-8h_{1}^{2}+2)e^{3}\right)\wedge\omega_{3}, *d\psi_{r} = 8h_{2}\left(r^{4}h_{1}e^{12}+r^{4}h_{0}e^{13}-r^{4}h_{3}e^{23}+\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(h_{3}\omega_{1}-h_{0}\omega_{2}-h_{1}\omega_{3}\right)\right).$$
(2.48)

We are now in position to compute the torsion forms of these G₂-structures.

Proposition 2.49. The torsion forms of the G_2 -structure φ_r defined by (2.43) are:

$$\begin{split} \tau_0 &= -\frac{4}{7r} \Big(r^3 (1 - 4h_2^2) + (5 - 8h_2^2) \Big), \\ \tau_1 &= -\frac{2(r^3 + 2)h_2}{3} \Big(h_3 e^1 + h_0 e^2 - h_1 e^3 \Big), \\ \tau_2 &= -\frac{8(r^3 - 1)h_2}{3} \Big(h_1 (2re^{12} + \frac{1}{r^2}\omega_3) + h_0 (2re^{13} + \frac{1}{r^2}\omega_2) - h_3 (2re^{23} + \frac{1}{r^2}\omega_1) \Big) \\ \tau_3 &= \mathbf{i}_{\varphi_r} ((\tau_{27})_{ij}) = (\tau_{27})_{ij} (g_r)^{jl} dx^i \wedge (e_l \lrcorner \varphi_r). \end{split}$$

where the components $(\tau_{27})_{ij} = \frac{1}{4} j_{\varphi}(\tau_3)_{ij}$ define the matrix

with $p_k(r) = 7(r^3 - 2)h_k^2 + (9r^3 - 10)h_2^2 - 4(r^3 - 2)$, k = 0, 1, 3., $s(r) = 7r^3(r^3 - 2)$ and $\mathbf{n}(r) = \frac{5}{4}(r^3 - 2).$

Proof. Taking the induced metric g_r induced by (2.43), substituting the equations (2.46) and (2.47) into the formula (1.30), and using the identities $\omega_1^2 = \omega_2^2 = \omega_3^2 = 2e^{4567}$ and $\omega_l \wedge \omega_m = 0$ $(l \neq m)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_0 &= -\frac{4}{7} (r^3 (1 - 4h_2^2) + (5 - 8h_2^2)) * \left(e^{123} \wedge \frac{\omega_1^2}{2} \right) = -\frac{4}{7r} \left(r^3 (1 - 4h_2^2) + (5 - 8h_2^2) \right), \\ \tau_1 &= \frac{1}{12} * \left[\frac{8(r^3 + 2)}{r} \left(h_1 h_2 e^{12} - h_0 h_2 e^{13} - h_3 h_2 e^{23} \right) \wedge \frac{\omega_1^2}{2} \right] \\ &= -\frac{2(r^3 + 2)h_2}{3} \left(h_3 e^1 + h_0 e^2 - h_1 e^3 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, having in mind the torsion identity for τ_2 in (1.30), we use the above expression for τ_1 to compute:

$$*(\tau_1 \wedge \psi_r) = \frac{2(r^3 + 2)h_2}{3} * \left(\left(r(h_3\omega_1 - h_0\omega_2 - h_1\omega_3) \wedge e^{123} + \frac{1}{r^2} \left(-h_3e^1 - h_0e^2 + h_1e^3 \right) \wedge \frac{\omega^2}{2} \right) \right)$$

= $\frac{2(r^3 + 2)h_2}{3} \left(-rh_3e^{23} + rh_0e^{13} + rh_1e^{12} + \frac{1}{r^2}h_3\omega_1 - \frac{1}{r^2}h_0\omega_2 - \frac{1}{r^2}h_1\omega_3 \right).$

On the other hand, we already have an expression for $*d\psi_r$ in (2.48), so we obtain

$$\tau_2 = -\frac{8(r^3 - 1)h_2}{3} \Big(h_1(2re^{12} + \frac{1}{r^2}\omega_3) + h_0(2re^{13} + \frac{1}{r^2}\omega_2) - h_3(2re^{23} + \frac{1}{r^2}\omega_1) \Big).$$

Finally, the coefficients of the traceless symmetric 2-tensor τ_{27} are

$$(\tau_{27})_{ab} := \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{j}_{\varphi}(\tau_3)_{ab} = \frac{1}{4} * (e_a \lrcorner \varphi_r \land e_b \lrcorner \varphi_r \land \tau_3),$$

48

where $\tau_3 = *d\varphi_r - \tau_0\varphi_r - 3*(\tau_1 \wedge \varphi_r)$ is the torsion 3-form. In terms of the dual basis to (2.9), the torsion 3-form is

$$\tau_3 = \gamma_{123}e^{123} + \sum_{c,d=1}^3 \gamma_{cd}e^c \wedge \omega_d,$$

where the coefficients γ_{123} and γ_{cd} , for c, d = 1, 2, 3, are obtained from (2.47) and the previous torsion forms τ_0, τ_1 . The explicit computation of $(\tau_{27})_{ab}$ involves polynomial operations for $h = (h_0, h_1, h_2, h_3)$, subject to the unitary condition $h\bar{h} = 1$, which quickly get out of hand. We resorted to the MAPLE computer algebra system to obtain the expression of τ_{27} . However, the computation can be carried out by systematically applying the operator j_{φ} on the basis elements involved in τ_3 (cf. Lemma 2.50).

Lemma 2.50. [35, §2, Lemma 2.6] Given $h \in Sp(1)$, consider the linear map defined by

$$A(x) = hxh$$
, with $x \in \mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_3$,

and denote by (A_{ab}) its induced matrix, in the basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ from (2.9). Thus, the image of $e^{123} \in \Lambda^3(\mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_3)^*$ and $e^m \wedge \omega_n \in \Lambda^3 \mathfrak{p}^*$ for m, n = 1, 2, 3, under the operator j_{φ} are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{j}_{\varphi}(e^{123})_{ab} &= \frac{1}{r}g_{r}(e_{a},e_{b}), \quad for \quad a,b=1,2,3, \\ \mathbf{j}_{\varphi}(e^{m}\wedge\omega_{n})_{ab} &= 2r(A_{bn}\delta_{ma}+A_{an}\delta_{nb}), \quad for \quad a,b=1,2,3, \\ \mathbf{j}_{\varphi}(e^{m}\wedge\omega_{n})_{ab} &= -r^{2}A_{pq}A_{uv}\varepsilon_{mpu}\varepsilon_{nqv}g_{r}(e_{a},e_{b}), \quad for \quad a,b=4,5,6,7, \\ \mathbf{j}_{\varphi}(e^{123})_{ab} &= \mathbf{j}_{\varphi}(e^{m}\wedge\omega_{n})_{ab} &= 0 \quad otherwise, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon_{pqn} = \pm 1$ is the sign of the permutation $(p,q,n) \sim (1,2,3)$.

We know from [43] that, up to isometry, any Sp(2)-invariant metric is a multiple of the inner product expressed in terms of an oriented left-invariant coframe $e^1, \ldots, e^7 \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{S}^7)^{\operatorname{Sp}(2)}$ by

$$g_{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1}{r_1^6} (e^1)^2 + \frac{1}{r_2^6} (e^2)^2 + \frac{1}{r_3^6} (e^3)^2 + r_1 r_2 r_3 \Big((e^4)^2 + (e^5)^2 + (e^6)^2 + (e^7)^2 \Big), \tag{2.51}$$

with $r_1, \ldots, r_3 > 0$ and $\mathbf{r} := (r_1, r_2, r_3)$. The corresponding isometric class of G₂-structures is parametrised by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{(\mathbf{r},h)} &= \frac{1}{(r_1 r_2 r_3)^3} e^{123} \\ &+ \left(\frac{r_2 r_3}{r_1^2} (h_0^2 + h_1^2 - h_2^2 - h_3^2) e^1 + 2 \frac{r_1 r_3}{r_2^2} (h_1 h_2 - h_0 h_3) e^2 + 2 \frac{r_1 r_2}{r_3^2} (h_1 h_3 + h_0 h_2) e^3 \right) \wedge \omega_1 \\ &+ \left(2 \frac{r_2 r_3}{r_1^2} (h_1 h_2 + h_0 h_3) e^1 + \frac{r_1 r_3}{r_2^2} (h_0^2 - h_1^2 + h_2^2 - h_3^2) e^2 + 2 \frac{r_1 r_2}{r_3^2} (h_2 h_3 - h_0 h_1) e^3 \right) \wedge \omega_2 \\ &+ \left(2 \frac{r_2 r_3}{r_1^2} (h_1 h_3 - h_0 h_2) e^1 + 2 \frac{r_1 r_3}{r_2^2} (h_2 h_3 + h_0 h_1) e^2 + \frac{r_1 r_2}{r_3^2} (h_0^2 - h_1^2 - h_2^2 + h_3^2) e^3 \right) \wedge \omega_3, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.52)$$

with $(h_0, ..., h_3) \in \mathbb{H}$ a unit quaternion parametrising a SO(3)-transformation and $\omega_1, ..., \omega_3 \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{S}^7)^{\operatorname{Sp}(2)}$ as in (2.31) below.

Remark 2.53. The curvature formula [3]

$$\begin{split} \langle R(X,Y)Y,X\rangle &= -\frac{3}{4} |[X,Y]_{\mathfrak{p}}|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \langle [X,[X,Y]]_{\mathfrak{p}},Y\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle [Y,[Y,X]]_{\mathfrak{p}},X\rangle \\ &+ |U(X,Y)|^2 - \langle U(X,X),U(Y,Y)\rangle \end{split}$$

implies that the invariant metric induced by the isometric family $(\sqrt[3]{2}, h_0, h_1, 0, h_3)$ has constant sectional curvature $K(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}$. On the other hand, Friedrich et al. prove in Lemma 1.51 and Proposition 1.52 that, on a given 3-Sasakian manifold (M^7, g) , the Berger metric g^s , obtained from g by conformal deformation along the 3-dimensional foliation, is Einstein if, and only if, s = 1 or $s = 1/\sqrt{5}$. Moreover, it is nearly-parallel for $s = 1/\sqrt{5}$. Somewhat similarly, the Einstein metrics $g_{\sqrt[3]{2}}$ and $g_{\sqrt[3]{2/5}}$ induced by the G₂-structures

$$(\sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{5}}, 0, 0, \pm 1, 0)$$
 and $(\sqrt[3]{2}, h_0, h_1, 0, h_3)$

are homothetic to the metrics g^1 and $g^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}}$ of [17, Lemma 5.3], respectively:

$$g^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{4}} g_{\sqrt[3]{2}}$$
 and $g^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{20}} g_{\sqrt[3]{2/5}}$

Theorem 2.54. Let $r_1 = r_2 = r_3 = r^{-1/3}$ in (2.52). Then each S³-family of G₂-structures

$$\mathcal{B}_r := \Theta(\{r\} \times \mathrm{SO}(3)) = B^{-1}(g_r)^{\mathrm{Sp}(2)} \simeq \mathbb{S}^3$$

determines a distinct isometric class. Moreover, in terms of the equator and poles

$$\mathbb{S}^2 \simeq \{(h_0, h_1, 0, h_3)\}$$
 and $NS \simeq \{(0, 0, \pm 1, 0)\},\$

we characterise the following torsion regimes in each isometric class \mathcal{B}_r , up to the diffeomorphism Θ :

- 1. The coclosed G₂-structures correspond to $\{r\} \times \mathbb{S}^2$ and $\{r\} \times NS$.
- 2. The nearly parallel G₂-structures correspond to $\{\sqrt[3]{2}\} \times \mathbb{S}^2$ (round) and $\{\sqrt[3]{2/5}\} \times \mathrm{NS}$ (squashed).
- 3. The locally conformal coclosed G_2 -structures correspond to $\{1\} \times \mathbb{S}^3$.

Furthermore, there are no locally conformal closed or purely coclosed structures in \mathcal{B}_r .

Proof. Any $D \in SO(3)$ is described by $h \in S^3$ using the double cover homomorphism $D = \Upsilon(h)$ from (2.21). Also recall that the torsion forms in the present case are explicitly computed in Proposition 2.49.

Claim (i) follows immediately by solving the equations $\tau_1 = 0$ and $\tau_2 = 0$, (i.e. $d\varphi_r = 0$), from which we get

$$h_2 = 0$$
 or $h_0 = h_1 = h_3 = 0$.

For claim (ii), we further impose $\tau_{27} = 0$ (i.e. $d\varphi_r = \tau_0 \psi_r$ and $d\psi_r = 0$), which implies $r^3 = 2$, for the case $h_2 = 0$, and $r^3 = 2/5$, for the case $h_0 = h_1 = h_3 = 0$. Finally, claim (iii) stems from the fact that $d\psi_r = 4\tau_1 \wedge \psi_r$ implies $d\tau_1 \wedge \psi_r = 0$.

To conclude the proof, we use (2.38) to check that $d\tau_1 = 0$ implies $\tau_1 = 0$. Now, in the coclosed class, the torsion 0-form can only take the following values:

$$\tau_0 = \begin{cases} -\frac{4(r^3+5)}{7r} \neq 0, & \text{if } h_2 = 0\\ \frac{12(r^3+1)}{7r} \neq 0, & \text{if } h_0 = h_1 = h_3 = 0 \end{cases} \square$$

Proposition 2.55. The norm of the full torsion tensor (1.32) of φ_r is

$$|T(r)|^{2} = \frac{1}{r^{2}} \Big(4r^{6} - 14r^{3} + 19 + 8(r^{3} + 2)(r^{3} - 1)h_{2}^{2} \Big).$$
(2.56)

Proof. Since each term of the full torsion tensor $T(r) = \frac{\tau_0}{4}g_r - (\tau_1)^{\sharp} \Box \varphi_r - \frac{1}{2}\tau_2 - \tau_{27}$ belongs to an irreducible component of $\mathfrak{p} \otimes \mathfrak{p}^* = W_1 \oplus W_7 \oplus W_{14} \oplus W_{27}$, respectively, we have

$$|T(r)|^{2} = \frac{\tau_{0}^{2}}{16}|g_{r}|^{2} + |\tau_{27}|^{2} + |(\tau_{1})^{\sharp} \lrcorner \varphi_{r}|^{2} + \frac{1}{4}|\tau_{2}|^{2}.$$

Using the expressions for the torsion forms found in Proposition 2.49, we have

$$\frac{\tau_0^2}{16}|g_r|^2 = \frac{1}{7r^2} \left(r^3(1-4h_2^2) + (5-8h_2^2)\right)^2 = \frac{1}{7r^2} \left(r^3+5-4(r^3+2)h_2^2\right)^2.$$

For the next term, since τ_{27} is symmetric, we have

$$\begin{split} \tau_{27}|^2 &= \frac{4}{49r^2} \Big(p_3(r)^2 + 49(r^3 - 2)^2 h_0^2 h_3^2 + 49(r^3 - 2)^2 h_1^2 h_3^2 + 49(r^3 - 2)^2 h_0^2 h_3^2 + p_0(r)^2 \\ &\quad + 49(r^3 - 2)^2 h_0^2 h_1^2 + 49(r^3 - 2)^2 h_1^2 h_3^2 + 49(r^3 - 2)^2 h_0^2 h_1^2 + p_1(r)^2 + \frac{25}{16}(r^3 - 2)^2 \\ &\quad + (5r^3 - 4)^2 h_2^4 - \frac{5}{2}(r^3 - 2)(5r^3 - 4)h_2^2 \Big) \\ &= \frac{1}{7r^2} \Big((152r^6 - 288r^3 + 160)(1 - h_2^2)^2 - (200r^6 - 240r^3 + 64)(1 - h_2^2) \\ &\quad + 75r^6 - 60r^3 + 12 \Big). \end{split}$$

For the skew-symmetric part of T(r), we use the identity $\varphi_{ajk}\varphi_b^{jk} = 6g_{ab}$:

$$\begin{split} |(\tau_1)^{\sharp} \lrcorner \varphi_r|^2 + \frac{1}{4} |\tau_2|^2 &= 6 |(\tau_1)^{\sharp}|^2 + \frac{16}{9} (r^3 - 1)^2 h_2^2 (1 - h_2^2) |2re^{12} + \frac{1}{r^2} \omega_3|^2 \\ &= \frac{8}{3r^2} (r^3 + 2)^2 h_2^2 (1 - h_2^2) + \frac{64}{3r^2} (r^3 - 1)^2 h_2^2 (1 - h_2^2) \\ &= \frac{8}{r^2} (3r^6 - 4r^3 + 4) h_2^2 (1 - h_2^2). \end{split}$$

Finally, a simple computation yields the norm of the symmetric part of T(r):

$$\frac{\tau_0^2}{16}|g_r|^2 + |\tau_{27}|^2 = \frac{1}{r^2} \Big((24r^6 - 32r^3 + 32)h_2^4 - (16r^6 - 40r^3 + 48)h_2^2 + 4r^6 - 14r^3 + 19 \Big). \quad \Box$$

2.5 A gradient flow of isometric G_2 -structures

In [24], Dwivedi, Gianniotis and Karigiannis studied the gradient flow of isometric G_2 -structures. This isometric flow is the negative gradient of an energy functional. Also, it was shown that at a finite time singularity, the torsion must blow up, so the flow will exist as long as torsion remains bounded. Moreover, in this flow was proved a Cheeger-Gromov type compactness theorem.

Other authors studied this flow in a differently such as Grigorian [21], who regarded this as a flow of octonion sections and Loubeau and Sá Earp [36], who used a more general concept of a harmonic geometric structure, which is the G₂ case reduced to critical points of the energy functional E, that is, G₂-structures with divergence free torsion. This fact was used in [35], where was analysed this flow for a particular example of homogeneous space, which is $\mathbb{S}^7 = \mathrm{Sp}(2)/\mathrm{Sp}(1)$. Moreover, in this section we give important results of the gradient flow of isometric Sp(2)-invariant G₂-structures on sphere $\mathbb{S}^7 = \mathrm{Sp}(2)/\mathrm{Sp}(1)$.

Definition 2.57. Define the energy E on the set $[\varphi]$ by

$$E(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{M} |T_{\varphi}|^2 \operatorname{vol}_{\varphi}$$
(2.58)

where $[\varphi]$ denote the space of G₂-structures that are isometric to a given G₂-structure φ and where T_{φ} is the torsion of φ .

Remark 2.59. The energy E on homogeneous space for invariant G_2 -structures is given by

$$E(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} |T_{\varphi}|^2 \text{vol}$$
(2.60)

Definition 2.61. Let M^7 be a compact manifold with a G₂-structure φ_0 . Consider the negative gradient flow of the functional 4E restricted to the class $[\varphi]$. This evolution is given by

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial t} = (\operatorname{div} T_t)^{\sharp} \, _{\lrcorner} \psi_t \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(0) = \varphi_r, \qquad (2.62)$$

We call (2.62) isometric flow of G₂-structures.

The existence of critical points of (2.58), and specifically of minimisers, has been studied using the associated gradient flow [14, 20, 36]. In [35], the principal aim was to examine the behavior of the critical points of the energy functional (2.58), restricted to a Sp(2)-invariant isometric class of φ_r . Its critical points are *harmonic* G₂-structures, characterised by a divergence-free torsion [19]

$$\operatorname{div} T(r) = 0.$$

known as the *isometric flow*. In [24], Dwivedi, Gianniotis and Karigiannis shown that the isometric flow preserves isometric classes of G_2 -structures which is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \langle X, (\operatorname{div} T_t)^{\sharp} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{2} f (\operatorname{div} T_t)^{\sharp} + \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{div} T_t)^{\sharp} \times X, \tag{2.63}$$

where $\{\varphi_t = \varphi_{(f,X)}\}$ is a family of isometric G₂-structures defined by (2.35).

2.5.1 Harmonic G₂-structures on the 7-sphere

The aim of this section is to compute the divergence of the full torsion tensor for isometric G_2 -structures (2.43) with metric g_r , and then find critical points of the energy functional E from (2.58). We first show that, under our Ansatz, the divergence of the symmetric part of the full torsion tensor will automatically vanish.

Lemma 2.64. Any Sp(2)-invariant symmetric 2-tensor is divergence-free, with respect to the metric g_r induced by the G₂-structure φ_r f rom (2.43).

Proof. The divergence of a 2-tensor S is, by definition, the 1-tensor with coefficients $(\operatorname{div} S)_j = \nabla_i S_{ij}$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection (2.40) of g_r . The basis (2.9) in \mathfrak{p} is identified with a frame in $T\mathbb{S}^7$ generated by the one-parameter subgroups $\exp(te_i) \subset \operatorname{Sp}(2)$. Thus, the symmetric operator U from (2.41) at $o \in \mathbb{S}^7$ is

$$2g_r(U(e_i, e_j), e_k) = g_r([e_i, e_k]_{\mathfrak{p}}, e_j) + g_r([e_j, e_k]_{\mathfrak{p}}, e_i),$$

using the Lie bracket (2.37) and the inner product (2.42), we get

$2U(\cdot, \cdot)$	e_1	e_2	e_3	e_4	e_5	e_6	e_7
e_1	0	0	0	$(1-r^3)e_7$	$(1-r^3)e_6$	$(r^3 - 1)e_5$	$(r^3 - 1)e_4$
e_2	0	0	0	$(r^3 - 1)e_6$	$(1-r^3)e_7$	$(1-r^3)e_4$	$(r^3 - 1)e_5$
e_3	0	0	0	$(1-r^3)e_5$	$(r^3 - 1)e_4$	$(1-r^3)e_7$	$(r^3 - 1)e_6$
e_4	$(1-r^3)e_7$	$(r^3 - 1)e_6$	$(1-r^3)e_5$	0	0	0	0
e_5	$(1-r^3)e_6$	$(1-r^3)e_7$	$(r^3 - 1)e_4$	0	0	0	0
e_6	$(r^3 - 1)e_5$	$(1-r^3)e_4$	$(1-r^3)e_7$	0	0	0	0
e_7	$(r^3 - 1)e_4$	$(r^3 - 1)e_5$	$(r^3 - 1)e_6$	0	0	0	0
			•				(2.6)

We can now compute the divergence of a Sp(2)-invariant 2-tensor S:

$$(\operatorname{div} S)_j = \nabla_i S_{ij} = (\nabla_i S)(e_i, e_j) = e_i(S_{ij}) - S(\nabla_i e_i, e_j) - S(e_i, \nabla_i e_j) = -S(e_i, \nabla_i e_j),$$

as $U(e_i, e_i) = 0$, for any i = 1, ..., 7. Now, since S is symmetric,

$$\sum_{i} S(e_{i}, [e_{1}, e_{i}]_{\mathfrak{p}}) = -2S_{32} + 2S_{23} + S_{47} + S_{56} - S_{65} - S_{74} = 0$$

$$\sum_{i} S(e_{i}, [e_{2}, e_{i}]_{\mathfrak{p}}) = -2S_{13} + 2S_{31} - S_{46} + S_{57} + S_{64} - S_{75} = 0$$

$$\sum_{i} S(e_{i}, [e_{3}, e_{i}]_{\mathfrak{p}}) = -2S_{21} + 2S_{12} + S_{45} - S_{54} + S_{67} - S_{76} = 0$$

$$\sum_{i} S(e_{i}, [e_{4}, e_{i}]_{\mathfrak{p}}) = -S_{17} + S_{26} - S_{35} + S_{53} - S_{62} + S_{71} = 0$$

$$\sum_{i} S(e_{i}, [e_{5}, e_{i}]_{\mathfrak{p}}) = -S_{16} - S_{27} + S_{34} - S_{43} + S_{61} + S_{72} = 0$$

$$\sum_{i} S(e_{i}, [e_{6}, e_{i}]_{\mathfrak{p}}) = -S_{24} + S_{15} - S_{37} + S_{42} - S_{51} + S_{73} = 0$$

$$\sum_{i} S(e_{i}, [e_{7}, e_{i}]_{\mathfrak{p}}) = -S_{41} - S_{52} - S_{63} + S_{14} + S_{25} + S_{36} = 0.$$

Note that $\sum_{i} S(e_i, [e_j, e_i]_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ implies that $(\operatorname{div} S)_j = -S(e_i, U(e_i, e_j))$. Referring back to table (2.65), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\operatorname{div} S)_1 &= -\frac{(1-r^3)}{2} \Big(S_{47} + S_{56} - S_{65} - S_{74} \Big) = 0, \quad (\operatorname{div} S)_4 = -\frac{(1-r^3)}{2} \Big(S_{17} - S_{26} + S_{35} \Big), \\ (\operatorname{div} S)_2 &= -\frac{(1-r^3)}{2} \Big(S_{57} - S_{46} + S_{64} - S_{75} \Big) = 0, \quad (\operatorname{div} S)_5 = -\frac{(1-r^3)}{2} \Big(S_{16} - S_{27} - S_{34} \Big), \\ (\operatorname{div} S)_3 &= -\frac{(1-r^3)}{2} \Big(S_{45} - S_{54} + S_{67} - S_{76} \Big) = 0, \quad (\operatorname{div} S)_6 = -\frac{(1-r^3)}{2} \Big(S_{24} - S_{15} + S_{37} \Big), \\ (\operatorname{div} S)_7 &= -\frac{(1-r^3)}{2} \Big(S_{14} + S_{25} + S_{36} \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Since S is an Sp(2)-invariant symmetric 2-tensor, it defines a g_r -selfadjoint linear operator $\beta_r : \mathfrak{p} \to \mathfrak{p}$, which commutes with Ad(h), for all $h \in \text{Sp}(1)$:

$$S(X,Y) =: g_r(\beta_r(X),Y), \text{ for } X,Y \in \mathfrak{p}.$$

Let $\chi : \mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_3 \to \mathfrak{p}_4$ be the linear map defined by $\chi = \pi_4 \circ \beta_r \circ \mathcal{I}$, where $\pi_4 : \mathfrak{p} \to \mathfrak{p}_4$ denotes the projection onto \mathfrak{p}_4 and \mathcal{I} is the inclusion $\mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_3 \subset \mathfrak{p}$. It is easy to see that $\operatorname{Ad}(h) \circ \chi = \chi \circ \operatorname{Ad}(h)$, for all $h \in \operatorname{Sp}(1)$, so ker $\chi \subset \mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_3$ and im $\chi \subset \mathfrak{p}_4$ are $\operatorname{Ad}(h)$ -invariant subspaces, for all $h \in \operatorname{Sp}(1)$. Since \mathfrak{p}_4 is an irreducible $\operatorname{Sp}(1)$ -representation, either im $\chi = 0$ or im $\chi = \mathfrak{p}_4$. Yet, by dimensional reasons, the latter cannot hold:

$$\dim(\operatorname{im} \chi) \leq \dim(\mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_3) = 3 < 4 = \dim(\mathfrak{p}_4).$$

Therefore $\beta_r(\mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_3) \subset \mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_3$. One may check analogously that $\beta_r(\mathfrak{p}_4) \subset \mathfrak{p}_4$. Hence

$$S(X,Y) = g_r(\beta_r(X),Y) = 0$$
, for $X \in \mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_3$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{p}_4$,

so, $S_{ij} = 0$, for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $j \in \{4, 5, 6, 7\}$. In particular, the remaining components $(\text{div}S)_k$, k = 4, 5, 6, 7, are also zero.

Proposition 2.66. The full torsion tensor T(r) of the G₂-structure (2.43) has divergence

$$\operatorname{div}T(r) = \frac{4(r^3 + 2)(r^3 - 1)h_2}{r} \left(h_3 e^1 + h_0 e^2 - h_1 e^3\right)$$

In particular, in each of the isometric classes \mathcal{B}_r (see Theorem 2.54), the families $\{1\} \times \mathbb{S}^3$, $\{r\} \times \mathbb{S}^2$ and $\{r\} \times NS$ are exactly the critical points of the energy functional (2.58).

Proof. Since the full torsion tensor (1.32) of φ_r is Ad(Sp(1))-invariant, we know from Lemma 2.64 that its symmetric part (i.e. τ_0 and τ_3) is divergence-free. Hence

$$divT = -d^* * (\tau_1 \wedge \psi_r) - \frac{1}{2}d^*\tau_2 = - * d(\tau_1 \wedge \psi_r) + \frac{1}{2} * d(\tau_2 \wedge \varphi_r)$$
$$= \frac{4(r^3 + 2)(r^3 - 1)}{3r} \left(h_2h_3e^1 + h_0h_2e^2 - h_1h_2e^3\right)$$
$$+ \frac{8(r^3 + 2)(r^3 - 1)}{3r} \left(h_2h_3e^1 + h_0h_2e^2 - h_1h_2e^3\right)$$
$$= \frac{4(r^3 + 2)(r^3 - 1)h_2}{r} \left(h_3e^1 + h_0e^2 - h_1e^3\right),$$

where d^{*} is the operator which is adjoint to d such that d^{*} : $\Omega^p(M) \to \Omega^{p-1}$ is defined by $d^* = (-1)^{d(p+1)+1} * d^*$ and the critical points of the energy functional (2.58) are parametrised by solutions of

$$|\operatorname{div}T(r)|^2 = \frac{16(r^3+2)^2(r^3-1)^3}{r^4}h_2^2(h_0^2+h_1^2+h_3^2) = 0$$

 $\Leftrightarrow r=1 \text{ or } h_2 = 0 \text{ or } h_0 = h_1 = h_3 = 0.$

These cases correspond to the three families in the second part of the statement.

Remark 2.67. In the context of Theorem 2.54, for a given r > 0, the set $\operatorname{Crit}(E|_{\mathcal{B}_r})$ is described as follows:

 $\underline{r \neq 1}$: the harmonic G₂-structures in the isometric class \mathcal{B}_r are precisely those parametrised by the equator $\{r\} \times \mathbb{S}^2$ or the poles $\{r\} \times \mathrm{NS}$.

<u>r=1</u>: all the G₂-structures $\varphi_{(1,h)}$, with $h \in \mathbb{S}^3$, are harmonic.

2.5.2 The Ad(Sp(1))-invariant gradient flow of E

In this section we give the main results where the previous theorems and propositions were used in the isoemtric flow specializing to the case of Sp(2)-invariant G₂-structures, the pointwise norm $|T(r)|^2$ was computed with respect to the Sp(2)-invariant metric of \mathbb{S}^7 in Proposition 2.55. It is therefore everywhere constant and equal to the norm of the torsion of the Ad(Sp(1))-invariant G₂-structure $\varphi_r \in \Lambda^3(\mathfrak{p})^*$, hence

$$E(\varphi_r) = \frac{1}{2} |T(r)|^2 \operatorname{vol}_{\varphi_r}(\mathbb{S}^7).$$

Moreover, the divergence of the full torsion tensor is an Sp(2)-invariant 1-tensor:

$$(\operatorname{div} T \lrcorner \psi)(p) = L_x^*((dL_{x^{-1}})_x(\operatorname{div} T_p \lrcorner \psi)(o)) = L_x^*(\operatorname{div} T(r) \lrcorner \psi)(o),$$

where $p = x \cdot \text{Sp}(1) \in \mathbb{S}^7$, in particular $o = 1_{\text{Sp}(2)}\text{Sp}(1)$ is the orbit of the identity, and $\text{div}T(r) := \text{div}T_o \in (\mathfrak{p}^*)^{\text{Ad}(\text{Sp}(1))}.$

Let $\{\varphi_t\}_{t\in(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)}$ be a solution of the gradient flow (2.62) of the Dirichlet energy functional (2.58). By Proposition 2.24, a solution with initial condition φ_r , as in the Ansatz (2.43), is parametrised by

$$\varphi_t = \Theta(r, \overline{k(t)h}), \text{ with } h, k(t) \in \operatorname{Sp}(1) \text{ and } k(0) = (1, 0, 0, 0).$$

Letting

$$m(t) := k(t)h = (m_0, m_1, m_2, m_3) \in Sp(1),$$

the divergence of the full torsion tensor of φ_t is

$$\operatorname{div} T_t := \operatorname{div} T_{m(t)} = \frac{4(r^3 + 2)(r^3 - 1)m_2}{r} \Big(m_3 e^1 + m_0 e^2 - m_1 e^3 \Big).$$

Applying Remark 2.36 to the equations in (2.63), we find the explicit evolution of each component of m(t):

$$\frac{dm_0}{dt} = \frac{2(r^3 + 2)(r^3 - 1)m_2}{r^2}(m_0m_2),$$

$$\frac{dm_1}{dt} = \frac{2(r^3 + 2)(r^3 - 1)m_2}{r^2}(m_1m_2),$$

$$\frac{dm_2}{dt} = \frac{2(r^3 + 2)(r^3 - 1)m_2}{r^2}(-m_0^2 - m_1^2 - m_3^2),$$

$$\frac{dm_3}{dt} = \frac{2(r^3 + 2)(r^3 - 1)m_2}{r^2}(m_3m_2).$$
(2.68)

Remark 2.69. In matrix form, the system (2.68) is a first-order non-linear ODE,

$$\frac{dm(t)}{dt} = \frac{2(r^3 + 2)(r^3 - 1)m_2}{r^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & m_0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & m_1 & 0\\ -m_0 & -m_1 & 0 & -m_3\\ 0 & 0 & m_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} m(t) = \Psi(m(t)).$$
(2.70)

The short-time existence and uniqueness of solutions of (2.70), with any initial value $m(0) = h \in \text{Sp}(1)$, follows from the Picard-Lindelöf Theorem, since $\Psi : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$ is locally Lipschitz (polynomial, de facto). Namely,

$$m(t) = h + \int_0^t \Psi(m(s)) ds, \quad -\varepsilon < t < \varepsilon$$

This agrees with the short-time existence results in [14] and [36].

Proposition 2.71. [35, §3, Proposition 3.7] Given any initial value $m(0) = h = (h_0, h_1, h_2, h_3) \in$ Sp(1), the ODE (2.70) admits the following unique solution, defined for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$m_2(t) = \frac{h_2}{\sqrt{(1 - h_2^2)e^{\frac{4(r^3 + 2)(r^3 - 1)t}{r^2}} + h_2^2}},$$
(2.72a)

$$m_k(t) = \frac{h_k e^{\frac{2(r^3+2)(r^3-1)t}{r^2}}}{\sqrt{(1-h_2^2)e^{\frac{4(r^3+2)(r^3-1)t}{r^2}} + h_2^2}}, \quad for \quad k = 0, 1, 3.$$
(2.72b)

In the following Remark describes the landscape of limiting harmonic homogeneous G_2 -structures for the flow (2.68) at infinity. This can be seen as a concrete instance of the general theory [36, Theorem 3 & Remark 22], which predicts subsequential convergence to a harmonic limit, under uniformly bounded torsion, hence long-time existence for homogeneous structures. However, in the present context, we get to be much more precise. Since we're ultimately studying a gradient flow, solutions are expected to flow, forwards and backwards, between critical regions, in this case the equator and the poles of the 3-sphere. This Remark tells us exactly how such gradient flow lines behave:

Remark 2.73. [35, §3, Theorem 6] Given an initial condition $m(0) \in \text{Sp}(1)$, let m(t) be the alltime solution of (2.70) from Proposition 2.71, and let $\varphi(t) = \Theta(r, \Upsilon(\overline{m(t)}))$ be the corresponding solution of the isometric flow (2.62). Then there exist subsequences $t_{n_k^{\pm}} \to \pm \infty$ such that $m(t_{n_k^{\pm}}) \to m_{\pm \infty} \in \text{Sp}(1)$, and

$$\varphi_{\pm\infty} = \Phi(r, \Upsilon(\overline{m}_{\pm\infty}))$$

are harmonic Ad(Sp(1))-invariant coclosed G₂-structures. According to the parameter r > 0 and the hemisphere determined by the initial sign $\sigma_2 := \text{sign}(m_2(0)) = \pm 1$, the flow on the 3-sphere behaves asymptotically as follows:

$$r < 1$$
: $m(-\infty) \in \{r\} \times \mathbb{S}^2$ and $m(\infty) = (0, 0, \sigma_2, 0) \in \{r\} \times NS$.

 $\underline{r > 1:} \quad m(\infty) \in \{r\} \times \mathbb{S}^2 \text{ and } m(-\infty) = (0, 0, \sigma_2, 0) \in \{r\} \times \text{NS}.$

3 The Laplacian coflow on contact Calabi-Yau 7 – manifolds

The main problem is that it is not known whether solutions to the Laplacian coflow of G_2 structures actually exist in general, even for an arbitrarily short time. There is a modification of the coflow (3.11) which does have guaranteed short-time existence, but the critical points are no longer closed and coclosed 4-forms, so it does not currently appear to be useful as a tool for studying key problems in G_2 geometry.

Trying to analyse the Laplacian coflow on contact Calabi-Yau manifolds, we find interesting results that will be explained below. We give an introduction about important results for the Laplacian coflow [18, 28] which are going to be very useful in the analysis of the Laplacian coflow of G₂-structures. Then, we show a new example of a solution to the Laplacian coflow of G₂-structures on contact Calabi-Yau manifolds in Theorem 3.22. This solution has a singularity at t = -1/10. In particular, in Theorem 12 was shown that it is volume collapsing and collapsing with respect to the normalized metric at -1/10. On the other hand, we analyse solitons on a contact Calabi-Yau manifold M given in Proposition 3.96 using the important Theorem 3.75 where we show that for a coclosed G₂-structure φ with associated metric g, dual 4-form ψ and a vector field X on M, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_X \psi = 0$$
 if and only if $\mathcal{L}_X g = 0$ and $\operatorname{Curl}(X) = 0$.

We start with the following definition.

Definition 3.1. A time-dependent G₂-structure φ_t on a 7-manifold M^7 , defined for t in some interval [a, b), satisfies the Laplacian coflow if for all $t \in [a, b)$ we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_t = \Delta_t \psi_t,\tag{3.2}$$

where $\psi_t = *_t \varphi_t$ is the Hodge dual 4-form of φ_t and $\Delta_t = dd^{*t} + d^{*t}d$ is the Hodge Laplacian with respect to the metric $g_t = g_{\varphi_t}$ and fixed orientation given by φ_0 . We will always restrict to solutions so that φ_t is a coclosed G₂-structure for all t, i.e. $d\psi_t = 0$ for all $t \in [a, b]$.

If M^7 is compact then the volume of M determined by the G₂-structure φ on M is:

$$\mathcal{H}(\varphi) := \operatorname{Vol}(M, \varphi) = \frac{1}{7} \int_{M} \phi \wedge \psi.$$
(3.3)

Proposition 3.4. [18, Proposition 3.4 and §4] The flow (3.2) for coclosed G₂-structures $*_{\varphi}\varphi$ is the gradient flow of the volume functional in (3.3) restricted to $[*_{\varphi}\varphi]$ and the critical points are strict local maxima for the volume functional (modulo diffeomorphisms).

Therefore the evolution of the metric, the inverse metric and volume form are given in the following proposition:

Proposition 3.5. [18, §3, Proposition 3.1] Under the flow (3.2), the evolution equations are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} &= 2\operatorname{Curl} T + T \circ T + 2T^2 = -2\operatorname{Ric} + T \circ T + 2(\operatorname{tr} T)T, \\ \frac{\partial \operatorname{vol}}{\partial t} &= \frac{1}{2}(|T|^2 + (\operatorname{tr} T)^2)\operatorname{vol}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}T &= \Delta T - 2\nabla(\operatorname{div} T) + Rm \circledast T + (\nabla T) \circledast T + T \circledast T \circledast T, \end{aligned}$$

where \circledast is some multilinear operator involving g, φ, ψ and Δ denotes the Lichnerowicz Laplacian.

This follows from [21, Lemma 3.1], Lemma 1.56 and the fact that T is symmetric, so Curl(T) is traceless and

$$\operatorname{Curl}(\operatorname{Curl} T) = -\Delta T + \nabla(\operatorname{div} T) + Rm \circledast T + (\nabla T) \circledast + T \circledast T \circledast T.$$
(3.6)

Note that, the $\nabla(\operatorname{div} T)$ term in the above equation is due to the negative sign of divT. As it was shown in [18], the sign of divT also causes problems at a much more fundamental level: It prevents the flow (3.2) from being parabolic even along closed 4-forms. The following proposition gives the linearization of Δ_{ψ} . It is easy to see that for closed 4-forms, the symbol will be negative in the Λ_7^4 direction, but non-negative in Λ_{27}^4 .

Proposition 3.7. [18, §4, Proposition 4.4] The linearization of Δ_{ψ} at ψ is given by

$$\pi_{7}(D_{\psi}\Delta_{\psi})(\chi) = \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{div}X) \wedge \varphi + l.o.t$$

$$\pi_{1\oplus 27}(D_{\psi}\Delta_{\psi})(\chi) = \frac{3}{2} * \mathrm{i}_{\varphi} \Big(\Delta h + \frac{1}{4}\mathrm{Hess}(\mathrm{tr}\,h) - \frac{1}{2}(\Delta\,\mathrm{tr}\,h)g$$

$$-\operatorname{sym}(\nabla\mathrm{div}h + \mathrm{Curl}(\nabla X)^{t}) + l.o.t\Big)$$
(3.8)

where $\chi = *(X \lrcorner \psi + i_{\varphi}(h))$. Moreover, if χ is closed, we can write $D_{\psi}\Delta_{\psi}$ as

$$D_{\psi}\Delta_{\psi}(\chi) = -\Delta_{\psi}\chi - \mathcal{L}_{V(\chi)}\psi + 2\mathrm{d}((\mathrm{div}X)\varphi) + \mathrm{d}F(\chi)$$
(3.9)

where

$$V(\chi) = \frac{3}{4} \nabla \operatorname{tr} h - 2 \operatorname{Curl} X \tag{3.10}$$

and $F(\chi)$ is a 3-form-valued algebraic function of χ .

We see that the term $d((\operatorname{div} X)\varphi)$ appears in the linearization (3.9) for exactly the same reason as the term $-\nabla(\operatorname{div} T)$ in Proposition 3.5, namely, the wrong sign of the π_7 component of $\Delta_{\psi}\psi$. In [18] is fixed in a *modified Laplacian coflow* has been proposed:

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \Delta_{\psi} \psi + 2d((A - \operatorname{tr} T)\varphi), \qquad (3.11)$$

where A is some constant. Since for coclosed G₂-structures $\nabla \operatorname{tr} T = \operatorname{div} T$, the leading term in the modification precisely reverses the sign of the Λ_7^4 component of the original flow (3.2). The additional term in (3.11) also allows to prove short-time existence and uniqueness, hence completing the requirement for (3.11) to be a Ricci-like flow. The proof is given in [18], follows a procedure similar to the approach taken by Bryant and Xu [7] for the proof of short-time existence and uniqueness for the Laplacian flow (1.74).

3.1 New solutions of the Laplacian coflow

Motivated by [22], we consider a contact Calabi-Yau manifold $(M^7, \eta, \Phi, \Upsilon)$ (see Appendix A.4), where (M, η, ξ, Φ, g) is a Sasakian manifold with contact form η and transverse Kähler form $\omega = d\eta$, and Υ is a nowhere vanishing transversal form on $\mathcal{D} = \ker \eta$ of type (3,0) satisfying

$$\Upsilon \wedge \overline{\Upsilon} = -\mathrm{i}\omega^3$$
 and $\mathrm{d}\Upsilon = 0.$

In this section, we find a new solution to the Laplacian coflow of G₂-structures on contact Calabi-Yau 7-manifolds having singularity at t = -1/10. We shall analyse this solution in Section 3.3.

Proposition 3.12. [22, §6, Corollary 6.8] Let $(M, \eta, \Phi, \Upsilon)$ be a 7-dimensional contact Calabi-Yau manifold. Then M carries a coclosed G₂-structure defined by

$$\varphi = \eta \wedge \omega + \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon, \tag{3.13}$$

where $\omega = d\eta$. Furthermore, $d\varphi = \omega \wedge \omega$ and its corresponding dual 4-form is given by

$$\psi = *_{\varphi}\varphi = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 - \eta \wedge \operatorname{Im}\Upsilon.$$
(3.14)

We want to consider the Laplacian coflow starting at the natural coclosed G₂-structure on a contact Calabi–Yau 7-manifold $(M^7, \eta_0, \Phi_0, \Upsilon_0)$

$$\varphi_0 = \eta_0 \wedge \omega_0 + \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon_0, \tag{3.15}$$

so that

$$\psi_0 = \frac{1}{2}\omega_0^2 - \eta_0 \wedge \operatorname{Im} \Upsilon_0.$$
(3.16)

To this end, we consider the family of G_2 -structures given by

$$\varphi_t = f_t h_t^2 \eta_0 \wedge \omega_0 + h_t^3 \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon_0, \qquad (3.17)$$

for functions f_t, h_t of time only, with

$$f_0 = h_0 = 1. (3.18)$$

Also, we have the induced metric given by

$$g_t = f_t^2 \eta^2 + h_t^2 g_{\mathcal{D}},$$

and its associated volume form

$$\operatorname{vol}_t = f_t h_t^6 \eta \wedge \operatorname{vol}_{\mathcal{D}},$$

where

$$\operatorname{vol}_{\mathcal{D}_0} = \frac{1}{3!}\omega_0^3 = \frac{i}{8}\Upsilon_0 \wedge \overline{\Upsilon}_0 = \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{Re}(\Upsilon_0) \wedge \operatorname{Im}(\overline{\Upsilon}_0)$$

so that evaluating (3.17) at t = 0 yields (3.15). We easily see from our calculations above that

$$\psi_t = \frac{1}{2} h_t^4 \omega_0^2 - f_t h_t^3 \eta_0 \wedge \operatorname{Im} \Upsilon_0, \qquad (3.19)$$

and observe that t = 0 in (3.19) yields (3.16). Therefore, we can calculate the torsion forms of the G₂-structure φ_t :

$$(\tau_0)_t = \frac{1}{7} *_t (\varphi_t \wedge d\varphi_t)$$

$$= \frac{1}{7} *_t ((f_t h_t^2 \eta_0 \wedge \omega_0 + h_t^3 \operatorname{Re}(\Upsilon)) \wedge f_t h_t^2 \omega_0^2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{7} *_t f_t^2 h_t^4 \eta_0 \wedge \omega_0^3$$

$$= \frac{6f_t}{7h_t^2}.$$
(3.20)

Besides this, we have $\tau_1 = \frac{1}{12}(\varphi \wedge d\varphi) = 0$ and $\tau_2 = *(d\psi) - 4 * (\tau_1 \wedge \psi) = 0$, thus φ_t is coclosed. Furthermore,

$$(\tau_3)_t = *_t d\varphi_t - (\tau_0)_t \varphi_t$$

= $2f_t^2 \eta_0 \wedge \omega_0 - \frac{6f_t}{7h_t^2} (f_t h_t^2 \eta_0 \wedge \omega_0 + h_t^3 \operatorname{Re}(\Upsilon))$
= $\frac{8}{7} f_t^2 \eta_0 \wedge \omega_0 - \frac{6}{7} f_t h_t \operatorname{Re}(\Upsilon_0).$ (3.21)

We now show a solution of the Laplacian coflow (3.2) on a contact Calabi-Yau manifold given in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.22. Let $(M^7, \eta_0, \Phi_0, \Upsilon_0)$ be a contact Calabi-Yau 7-manifold. The family of coclosed G₂-structures φ_t on M^7 given by

$$\varphi_t = \mathbf{p}(t)^{-1/10} \eta_0 \wedge \omega_0 + \mathbf{p}(t)^{3/10} \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon_0;$$
(3.23)

$$\psi_t = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{p}(t)^{2/5} \omega_0^2 - \eta_0 \wedge \operatorname{Im} \Upsilon_0, \qquad (3.24)$$

where p(t) = 10t + 1 and $t \in (-1/10, \infty)$, solves the Laplacian coflow (3.2) with initial data determined by $\varphi_0 = \eta_0 \wedge \omega_0 + \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon_0$.

Proof. Let $(M, \eta_0, \Phi_0, \Upsilon_0)$ be a contact Calabi-Yau manifold, $\{\varphi_t\}$ the family of G₂-structures given by (3.17) and $\psi_t = *_t \varphi_t$. We may compute the Laplacian of ψ_t :

$$\Delta_t \psi_t = \mathbf{d} *_t \mathbf{d} \varphi_t$$

= $\mathbf{d} *_t (f_t h_t^2 \omega_0 \wedge \omega_0)$
= $\mathbf{d} *_t (2f_t h_t^{-2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} h_t^4 \omega_0^2)$
= $\mathbf{d} (2f_t h_t^{-2} \cdot f_t h_t^2 \eta_0 \wedge \omega_0)$
= $\mathbf{d} (2f_t^2 \eta_0 \wedge \omega_0)$
= $2f_t^2 \omega_0 \wedge \omega_0.$ (3.25)

Differentiating (3.19) with respect to t and using (3.25), we can compute $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \Delta_t \psi_t$ and then equate the coefficients of $\eta_0 \wedge \operatorname{Im} \varepsilon_0$ and ω_0^2 to obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}h_t^4 = 4f_t^2, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}f_t h_t^3 = 0.$$
(3.26)

From the second equation in (3.26) and (3.18) we obtain

$$f_t = h_t^{-3}.$$
 (3.27)

Substituting (3.27) into (3.26), we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}h_t^4 = 4h_t^{-6}. (3.28)$$

The ODE (3.28) can be easily solved and, together with (3.18) and (3.27), we find that

$$h_t = (10t+1)^{1/10}$$
 and $f_t = (10t+1)^{-3/10}$. (3.29)

In conclusion, we have found a solution to the Laplacian coflow (3.2) with initial condition (3.15) (or (3.16)), which has data:

$$\varphi_t = (10t+1)^{-1/10} \eta_0 \wedge \omega_0 + (10t+1)^{3/10} \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon_0;$$
(3.30)

$$\psi_t = \frac{1}{2} (10t+1)^{2/5} \omega_0^2 - \eta_0 \wedge \operatorname{Im} \Upsilon_0;$$
(3.31)

$$g_t = (10t+1)^{-3/5} \eta_0^2 + (10t+1)^{1/5} g_{\mathcal{D}_0};$$
(3.32)

$$\operatorname{vol}_{t} = (10t+1)^{3/10} \eta_{0} \wedge \operatorname{vol}_{\mathcal{D}_{0}}, \tag{3.33}$$

where $\mathcal{D}_0 = \ker \eta_0$, defined for all $t \in (-1/10, \infty)$.

We notice that this solution to the coflow is *immortal* (i.e. exists for all positive time), but it is not eternal, since it fails to exists for $t \leq -1/10$. If M is compact, this will be the unique solution of the general form (3.17) and (3.18), and we see from (3.33) that the volume is indeed strictly increasing in time, tending to infinity, i.e.,

$$\operatorname{Vol}(M, g_t) \to \infty \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

Definition 3.34. We say that a family of metrics g_t for $t \in [0, T)$ is uniformly equivalent to the metric $g_0 = g(x, 0)$ if there exist a constant $C < \infty$ such that

$$\frac{1}{C}g(x,0) \le g(x,t) \le Cg(x,0),$$

for all $x \in M$ and $t \in [0, T)$.

Definition 3.35. Let g_t be a family of metrics $t \in [0, T)$. Then, g_t is uniformly continuous if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\delta > 0$ such that for any $0 < t_0 < t < T$ with $t - t_0 \leq \delta$ we have

$$|g_t - g_{t_0}|_{g_{t_0}} \le \varepsilon,$$

which implies that as symmetric 2-tensor, we have

$$(1-\varepsilon)g_{t_0} \le g_t \le (1+\varepsilon)g_{t_0}. \tag{3.36}$$

Proposition 3.37. Let φ_t be the solution to the Laplacian coflow given by (3.30) with associated metric (3.32). Then, g_t is uniformly continuous (in t) on any compact interval contained in $(-1/10, \infty)$.

Proof. Consider the family of metrics $\{g_t\}$ given by (3.32) where

$$g_{t} = \alpha_{t}g_{0} + \beta_{t}\eta_{0} \otimes \eta_{0} = \alpha_{t}g_{\mathcal{D}_{0}} + (\alpha_{t} + \beta_{t})\eta_{0} \otimes \eta_{0}$$

= $p(t)^{1/5}g_{\mathcal{D}_{0}} + p(t)^{-3/5}\eta_{0} \otimes \eta_{0}$ (3.38)

with p(t) = 10t + 1. We define

$$F_t = g_t - g_{t_0} = s_1 g_0 + s_2 \eta_0 \otimes \eta_0,$$

where $s_1 = \alpha_t - \alpha_{t_0}$ and $s_2 = \beta_t - \beta_{t_0}$. Therefore

$$|F_t|^2_{g_{t_0}} = 6p(t_0)^{6/5} (p(t)^{-3/5} - p(t_0)^{-3/5})^2 + p(t_0)^{-2/5} (p(t)^{1/5} - p(t_0)^{1/5})^2,$$
(3.39)

which implies that g_t is uniformly continuous on any compact interval contained in $(-1/10, \infty)$ as required.

Proposition 3.40. [33, §3.2] Let φ_t be the solution to the Laplacian coflow given by (3.30) with associated metric g_t as in (3.32). Let Rm_t denote the Riemann curvature tensor of g_t and let $Rm_0^{\mathcal{D}_0}$ denote the curvature of the transverse connection on \mathcal{D}_0 induced by the Levi-Civita connection of g_0 . Then

$$|Rm_t|_{g_t}^2 = (1+10t)^{-2/5} |Rm_0^{\mathcal{D}_0}|_{g_0}^2 + c_0(1+10t)^{-2}$$

for some constant $c_0 > 0$.

3.2 Full torsion tensor

For convenience, we denote p(t) = 10t + 1. Observe that the full torsion tensor for (3.30) is given by $T_t = \frac{\tau_0}{4}g_t - (\tau_{27})_t$, where $4(\tau_{27})_t = j_{\varphi_t}(\tau_3)$. Thus,

$$(\tau_0)_t = \frac{6}{7} \mathbf{p}(t)^{-5/10}, \qquad (3.41)$$

$$(\tau_3)_t = \frac{8}{7} \mathbf{p}(t)^{-6/10} \eta_0 \wedge \omega_0 - \frac{6}{7} \mathbf{p}(t)^{-2/10} \operatorname{Re}(\Upsilon_0).$$

Let ξ_0 be the dual vector field of η_0 , $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}_0$ and consider φ_t as in (3.30). Since (ω_0, Υ_0) defines an SU(3)-structure on \mathcal{D}_0 , we have that $\omega_0 \wedge \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon_0 = 0$ and

$$(X \lrcorner \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon_0) \land (Y \lrcorner \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon_0) \land \omega_0 = 2g_{\mathcal{D}_0}(X, Y) \operatorname{vol}_{\mathcal{D}_0} (X \lrcorner \omega_0) \land (Y \lrcorner \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon_0) \land \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon_0 = -2g_{\mathcal{D}_0}(X, Y) \operatorname{vol}_{\mathcal{D}_0}.$$
(3.42)

Therefore

$$j_{\varphi_t}((\tau_3)_t)(\xi_0,\xi_0) = p(t)^{-2/5} *_t (\omega_0 \wedge \omega_0 \wedge \tau_3) = \frac{8}{7} p(t)^{-4/5} *_t (\omega_0^3 \wedge \eta_0) = \frac{(3!)(8)}{7} p(t)^{-11/10}, \qquad (3.43)$$
$$j_{\varphi_t}((\tau_3)_t)(\xi_0,X) = 0,$$

$$j_{\varphi_t}((\tau_3)_t)(X,Y) = -p(t)^{-1/10} *_t (\eta_0 \wedge X \lrcorner \omega_0 \wedge Y \lrcorner \varphi_t \wedge \tau_3) + p(t)^{3/10} *_t (X \lrcorner \operatorname{Re}(\Upsilon_0) \wedge Y \lrcorner \varphi_t \wedge \tau_3).$$
(3.44)

Substituting (3.42) into (3.44), we obtain

$$j_{\varphi_t}(\tau_3)(X,Y) = -\frac{8}{7}p(t)^{-3/10}g_{\mathcal{D}}(X,Y).$$
(3.45)

Thus, using (3.41), (3.43) and (3.45) we have

$$T_{t} = \frac{(\tau_{0})_{t}}{4}g_{t} - (\tau_{27})_{t}$$

$$= \frac{3}{14}p(t)^{-5/10} \left(p(t)^{-3/5}\eta_{0} \otimes \eta_{0} + p(t)^{1/5}g_{\mathcal{D}}\right)$$

$$- \frac{12}{7}p(t)^{-11/10}\eta_{0} \otimes \eta_{0} + \frac{2}{7}p(t)^{-3/10}g_{\mathcal{D}}$$

$$= -\frac{3}{2}p(t)^{-11/10}\eta_{0} \otimes \eta_{0} + \frac{1}{2}p(t)^{-3/10}g_{\mathcal{D}}.$$
(3.46)

In order to understand the tensor T_t in (3.46), we use as well as some important properties of K-contact manifolds. Since $(M, \eta_0, \Phi_0, \Upsilon_0)$ is contact Calabi-Yau, it is in particular Sasakian and, therefore, a K-contact manifold (see Appendix A). It will be useful to find the full torsion tensor norm.

Proposition 3.47. Let $(M, \eta_0, \Phi_0, \Upsilon_0)$ be a contact Calabi-Yau manifold and let $\{\varphi_t\}$ be the solution to the Laplacian coflow given by (3.30) with associated metric (3.32) and torsion T_t . Then, we have

$$|T(p,t)|_t^2 = \frac{15}{4} \mathbf{p}(t)^{-1}.$$
(3.48)

Proof. Note that $T_t = r_1(t)g + r_2(t)\eta^2$, where

$$r_1(t) = \frac{1}{2}p(t)^{-3/10},$$

$$r_1(t) + r_2(t) = -\frac{3}{2}p(t)^{-11/10}$$

Using Lemma A.21 and $g_t = \alpha g + \beta \eta^2$ given by (3.38), we compute $|T_t|_t^2$

$$\begin{aligned} |T(p,t)|_t^2 &= T_t(e_i, e_j) T_t(e_m, e_n) g_t^{im} g_t^{jn} \\ &= 6 \left(\mathbf{p}(t)^{1/5} \right)^{-2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{p}(t)^{-3/10} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{-3}{2} \mathbf{p}(t)^{11/10} \right)^2 \left(\mathbf{p}(t)^{-3/5} \right)^{-2} \\ &= \frac{15}{4} \mathbf{p}(t)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\lim_{t \to \infty} |T_t|_t^2 = 0.$

Proposition 3.49. Let $(M, \eta_0, \Phi_0, \Upsilon_0)$ be a contact Calabi-Yau 7-manifold and let $\{\varphi_t\}$ be the solution to the Laplacian coflow given by (3.30) and initial data determined by $\varphi_0 = \eta_0 \wedge \omega_0 + \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon_0$, with associated metric (3.32) and torsion T_t . Then $\operatorname{div} T_t = 0$.

Proof. Let $\{g_t = \alpha_t g_0 + \beta_t \eta^2 = \alpha_t g_{\mathcal{D}} + (\alpha_t + \beta_t) \eta^2\}$ be the family of metrics given by (3.32) where $\alpha_t = p(t)^{-3/5}$ and $\alpha_t + \beta_t = p(t)^{1/5}$. Using (3.46), we obtain

$$T_t = -2\mathbf{p}(t)^{-11/10}\eta^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}(t)^{-1/2}g_t.$$
(3.50)

Therefore, from Remark A.27, we have, for each k, that

$$(\operatorname{div}T_t)_k = \nabla_i^{g_t} (T_t)_{ij} = \nabla_i^{g_t} (-2\mathbf{p}(t)\eta^2)_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\nabla_i^{g_t}\mathbf{p}(t)^{-1/2} (g_t)_{ij}$$

= $-2\mathbf{p}(t)^{11/10} \nabla_i^{g_t} (\eta_i \eta_j) = -2\mathbf{p}(t)^{11/10} (\eta_i \nabla_i^{g_t} \eta_j + \eta_j \nabla_i^{g_t} \eta_i)$
= $-2\mathbf{p}(t)^{11/10} (\eta_i \omega_{ij} + \eta_j \omega_{ij}) = 0.$

Proposition 3.51. Let $\{\varphi_t\}$ be the solution to the Laplacian coflow given by (3.30) and initial data determined by $\varphi_0 = \eta_0 \wedge \omega_0 + \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon_0$, with associated metric (3.32) and torsion T_t . Then

$$\nabla T_t|_t^2 = c_0 \mathbf{p}(t)^{-2} \tag{3.52}$$

where $c_0 > 0$ is a constant.

Proof. We know that $\nabla_{\xi_0}^{g_t} g_t = 0$. On the other hand, by (A.23), we have that $\nabla_{\xi_0}^{g_t} \xi_0 = \nabla_{\xi_0}^{g_0} \xi_0 + A(\xi_0, \xi_0) = 0$. Also, from Proposition 3.49, we know that $\operatorname{div} T_t = 0$, concluding that the only non-zero terms in $\nabla^{g_t} T_t$ arise from $\nabla_X^{g_t} \eta^2$, $X \in \mathcal{D}_0$. Moreover, from Remark A.27, we obtain that $(\nabla_X^{g_t} \eta)^{\sharp} \in \mathcal{D}_0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_X^{g_t}(\mathbf{p}(t))^{-3/5}\eta^2)|_t^2 = |\mathbf{p}(t)^{-3/5}\nabla_X^{g_0}(\eta^2)|_t^2 = |\mathbf{p}(t)^{-3/5}\omega(X,\cdot)\eta(\cdot)|_t^2 \\ = c_0\mathbf{p}(t)^{-3/5}(\mathbf{p}(t))^{-2/5} = c_0\mathbf{p}(t)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

with $c_0 > 0$ constant and $g_t(X, X) = 1$. Hence, using (3.50), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_X^{g_t} T_t|_t^2 &= |-2\mathbf{p}(t)^{-11/10} \nabla_X^t \eta^2|_t^2 \\ &= |-2\mathbf{p}(t)^{-1/2} \nabla_X^{g_t} (\mathbf{p}(t)^{-3/5} \eta^2)|_t^2 \\ &= 4c_0 \mathbf{p}(t)^{-1} c \mathbf{p}(t)^{-1} = 4c_0 \mathbf{p}(t)^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

3.3 Collapsing contact Calabi-Yau manifold

In this section, we show that the family of G₂-structures given by (3.30) is volume collapsing and collapsing with respect to the normalized metric at -1/10. Inspired by the work of Chen [11], we use the quantity $\Lambda(x, t)$ (see Eq. (1.80)) to show that the volume is collapsed.

Let φ_t be the solution to the Laplacian coflow (4) given by (3.30) on $(M_0, \eta_0, \Phi_0, \Upsilon_0)$ a contact Calabi-Yau manifold. In what follows, we will give proofs for both Theorem 10 and 12.

Proof Theorem 10. Using Proposition 3.40, Proposition 3.47 and Proposition 3.51, we obtain

$$\Lambda(x,t) := \sup_{M} (|Rm(y,t)|_{g_{t}}^{2} + |T(y,t)|_{g_{t}}^{4} + |\nabla T(y,t)|_{g_{t}}^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \sup_{M} (p(t)^{-2/5} |Rm_{0}^{\mathcal{D}}|_{0}^{2} + c_{0}p(t)^{-2} + \frac{15}{4}p(t)^{-2} + c_{1}p(t)^{-2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \sup_{M} (p(t)^{-2/5} |Rm_{0}^{\mathcal{D}}|_{0}^{2} + kp(t)^{-2})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad (3.53)$$

whit k > 0 constant. Therefore, if $\sup_{M} |Rm|_0 \leq C$, we have

$$\Lambda(t) := \sup_{M} \Lambda(x, t) = \sup_{M} \left(p(t)^{-1/5} \left(|Rm_0^{\mathcal{D}}|_0^2 + kp(t)^{-8/5} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

$$\leq kp(t)^{-1/5} (1 + cp(t)^{-4/5}), \qquad (3.54)$$

where k, c are constant.

Definition 3.55. Let $(M, \varphi(t)), t \in (T, b)$ be a complete solution to the Laplacian coflow, where $T \in [-\infty, 0), b \in [0, \infty]$ and $0 \in (a, b]$. The solution $\varphi(t)$ with associated metric g(t) is called *locally collapsing* at T if there exists a sequence of points $x_k \in M$, times $t_k \to T$ and radii $r_k \in (0, \infty)$ with r_k^2/t_k uniformly bounded such that the balls $B_{g(t_k)}(x_k, r_k)$ satisfy:

• (curvature bound comparable to the radius of the ball)

$$|Rm(g(t_k))| \le r_k^{-2}$$
 in $B_{g(t_k)}(x_k, r_k)$,

• (volume collapsed of the ball)

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{vol}_{g(t_k)} B_{g(t_k)}(x_k, r_k)}{r_k^7} = 0$$

Proof of Theorem 12. We define $\Lambda(t) = \sup_{x \in M} \Lambda(x, t)$. Note that it satisfies $\lim \Lambda(t) = \infty$ as $t \to 1/10$. We have that the solution ψ_t of the Laplacian coflow has a maximal interval $(-1/10, \infty)$. Choose a sequence of points (x_i, t_i) such that $t_i \to -1/10$ and let κ_i be a sequence such that $\kappa_i \to \infty$ we have

$$\Lambda(x_i, t_i) = \sup_{x \in M, t \in [t_i, \kappa_i]} \left(|Rm(x, t)|^2_{g(t)} + |T(x, t)|^4_{g(t)} + |\nabla T(x, t)|^2_{g(t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(3.56)

where T and Rm are the torsion and curvature as usual. We consider a sequence of dilations of the Laplacian coflow

$$\psi_i(t) = *_t \varphi_i = \Lambda(x_i, t_i)^2 \psi(t_i + \Lambda(x_i, t_i)^{-1} t).$$
(3.57)

Therefore

$$\varphi_i(t) = \Lambda(x_i, t_i)^{3/2} \varphi(t_i + \Lambda(x_i, t_i)^{-1} t).$$
(3.58)

and the associated metric $g_i(t)$ of $\varphi_i(t)$ is

$$g_i(t) = \Lambda(x_i, t_i)g(t_i + \Lambda(x_i, t_i)^{-1}t).$$
(3.59)

From the conformal property for the 3-form we have

$$\tilde{\psi} = \lambda \psi \Rightarrow \tilde{\varphi} = \lambda^{3/4} \varphi \Rightarrow \tilde{\Delta} \psi = \lambda^{1/2} \Delta \psi.$$

Thus, for each i, $(M, \psi_i(t))$ is a solution of the Laplacian coflow (4) on the time interval

$$t \in \left((-1/10 - t_i)\Lambda(x_i, t_i), (\kappa_i - t_i)\Lambda(x_i, t_i) \right]$$
(3.60)

Given that the torsion forms of a conformal G₂-structure are

$$\tilde{\tau_0} = \lambda^{-1/2} \tau_0, \qquad \tilde{\tau_3} = \lambda \tau_3$$

Then, the full torsion tensor is equal to $\tilde{T} = \lambda^{1/2} T$. Furthermore, we define

$$\Lambda_{\psi_i}(x,t) = \left(|\nabla_{g_i(t)} T_i(x,t)|^2_{g_i(t)} + |Rm_i(x,t)|^2_{g_i(t)} + |T_i(x,t)|^4_{g_i(t)} \right)^{1/2}.$$
(3.61)

Satisfying $\Lambda_{\psi_i}(x_i, 0) = 1$ so that if $\tilde{t}_i(t) = t_i + \Lambda^{-1}(x_i, t_i)t$

$$\begin{aligned} |Rm_i(x,t)|^2_{g_i(t)} &= \frac{1}{\Lambda^2(x_i,t_i)} |Rm(x,\tilde{t}_i(t))|^2_{g(\tilde{t}_i(t))} \\ |\nabla_{g_i(t)}T_i(x,t)|^2_{g_i(t)} &= \frac{1}{\Lambda^2(x_i,t_i)} |\nabla_{g(\tilde{t}_i(t))}T(x,\tilde{t}_i(t))|^2_{g(\tilde{t}_i(t))} \\ |T_i(x,t)|^2_{g_i(t)} &= \frac{1}{\Lambda(x_i,t_i)} |T(x,\tilde{t}_i(t))|^2_{g(\tilde{t}_i(t))} \end{aligned}$$

and we obtain

$$\Lambda_{\psi_i}(t) = \sup_M |\Lambda_{\psi_i}(x, t)| = \Lambda(x_i, t_i)^{-1} \sup_{x \in M} |\Lambda(x, t_i + \Lambda^{-1}(x_i, t_i)t)| \le 1$$
(3.62)

for $0 \leq t \leq (\kappa_i - t_i)\Lambda(x_i, t_i)$. Since $\sup_M |\Lambda_{\psi_i}(x, 0)| = 1$. By proposition 3.37, g_t is uniformly continuous. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2]$ and $\delta > 0$ be given by the definition of uniformly continuous, then if $t_0 = -1/10 + \delta$ then (3.36) holds for all $-1/10 < t < t_0$. Suppose *i* is sufficient large that $t_i \geq t_0$. From (3.36), for any $x, y \in M$ and $t \in (-1/10, t_0]$, we have

$$(1-\varepsilon)^{1/2}d_{g_{t_0}}(x,y) \le d_{g_t}(x,y) \le (1+\varepsilon)^{1/2}d_{g_{t_0}}(x,y).$$
(3.63)

Therefore, if $B_{g_t}(x,r)$ denotes the geodesic ball of radius r centred at x with respect to the metric g_t , we have

$$B_{g_{t_0}}(x, (1+\varepsilon)^{-1/2}r) \subset B_{g_t}(x, r).$$
(3.64)

Along the Laplacian coflow, the volume form (3.33) increases for $t \in (-1/10, t_0]$, so

$$\operatorname{vol}_{g_t}(B_{g_t}(x,r)) \le \operatorname{vol}_{g_{t_0}}(B_{g_{t_0}}(x,(1+\varepsilon)^{-1/2})r))$$
(3.65)

Then, for $x \in M$ and $r \leq \Lambda(x_i, t_i)^{1/2}$ we have

$$\operatorname{vol}_{g_{i}(0)} \left(B_{g_{i}(0)}(x,r) \right) = \Lambda(x_{i},t_{i})^{7/2} \operatorname{vol}_{g(t_{i})} \left(B_{g(t_{i})} \left(x, \Lambda(x_{i},t_{i})^{-1/2} r) \right) \right. \\ \left. \leq \Lambda(x_{i},t_{i})^{7/2} \operatorname{vol}_{g(t_{0})} \left(B_{g(t_{0})}(x,(1+\varepsilon)^{-1/2} r) \right) \right. \\ \left. \leq c(1+\varepsilon)^{-7/2} r^{7},$$

$$(3.66)$$

for some uniform positive constant c. Hence we have

$$\operatorname{vol}_{g_i(0)} \left(B_{g_i(0)}(x, r) \right) \le cr^7$$
(3.67)

for all $x \in M$ and $r \in [0, \Lambda(x_i, t_i)^{1/2}]$. Note that by the definition of Λ_{ψ_i} , we have

$$|Rm_{g_i}(x,0)| \le \sup_{M \times [0,(\kappa_i - t_i)\Lambda(x_i, t_i)]} |\Lambda_{\psi_i}(x,t)| \le 1$$
(3.68)

on *M*. We define $r_i = \Lambda(x_i, t_i)^{-1/2}$ and using $\Lambda(x_i, t_i) \to \infty$ and $t_i \to -1/10$, we have $r_i^2/t_i \leq C$ and

• (curvature bound comparable to the radius of the ball)

$$|Rm(g(t_i))| \le \Lambda(x_i, t_i) = r_i^{-2},$$

• (volume collapsed of the ball) Using (3.67), we have

$$\frac{\operatorname{vol}_{g_i(0)}\left(B_{g_i(0)}(x_i, r_i)\right)}{r_i^7} \le C$$
$$\frac{\operatorname{vol}_{\Lambda(x_i, t_i)g(t_i)}\left(B_{\Lambda(x_i, t_i)g(t_i)}(x_i, r_i)\right)}{r_i^7} \le C$$

Therefore, if $\tilde{g} = \alpha^2 g$, then $B_g(x, r) = B_{\alpha^2 g}(x, \alpha r)$ and $\operatorname{vol}_{\alpha^2 g} B_{\alpha^2 g}(x, \alpha r) = \alpha^7 \operatorname{vol}_g B_g(x, r)$. So that if $\alpha = \Lambda(x_i, t_i)^{1/2}$, we obtain

$$\frac{\Lambda(x_i, t_i)^{7/2} \mathrm{vol}_{g(t_i)} \left(B_{g(t_i)}(x_i, r_i^2) \right)}{r_i^7} \le \frac{\Lambda(x_i, t_i)^{7/2} \mathrm{vol}_{g(t_i)} \left(B_{g(t_i)}(x_i, r_i) \right)}{r_i^7} \le C$$

Thus, we have

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{vol}_{g(t_i)} B_{g(t_i)}(x_i, r_i)}{r_i^7} = 0.$$

Remark 3.69. Let $(M_0, \eta_0, \Phi_0, \Upsilon_0)$ be a contact Calabi-Yau manifold and $\psi(t), t \in (-1/10, \infty)$ be the solution (3.31) to the Laplacian coflow (4), (x_i, t_i) a sequence of points such that $t_i \to -1/10$ and $\Lambda(x_i, t_i)$ in (3.56) and p(t) = 10t + 1. Taking the family of metrics $g_i(t)$ given by

$$g_i(t) = \Lambda(x_i, t_i) \mathbf{p}_i(t)^{-3/5} \eta_0^2 + \Lambda(x_i, t_i) \mathbf{p}_i(t)^{1/5} g_{\mathcal{D}_0}, \qquad (3.70)$$

where $p_i(t) = p(t_i + \Lambda(x_i, t_i)^{-1}t)$ for $t \in ((-1/10 - t_i)\Lambda(x_i, t_i), (\kappa_i - t_i)\Lambda(x_i, t_i)]$, We can rescale the family $g_i(t)$ and see that

$$\tilde{g}_i = p_i(t)^{1/5} \Lambda(x_i, t_i)^{-1} g_i(t).$$

As $t \to (-1/10 - t_i)\Lambda(x_i, t_i)$ we have that the circle Reeb orbit decrease whilst the transverse geometry is constant.

3.4 The soliton equations on contact Calabi-Yau manifolds

In this section, Theorem 3.75 is one of the main results which will be used in soliton solutions in a particular case of contact Calabi-yau manifolds.

As for many geometric flows, we are interested in considering *self-similar solutions*, (which only evolve diffeomorphisms and scalings) since these are expected to be related to singularities in the flow. Given a 7-manifold M, a *Laplacian soliton* for the Laplacian coflow (4) for coclosed G_2 -structures on M is a triple (ψ, X, λ) satisfying

$$\Delta_{\psi}\psi = \mathcal{L}_{X}\psi + \lambda\psi = \mathbf{d}(X \lrcorner \psi) + \lambda\psi, \qquad (3.71)$$

where $d\psi = 0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and X is a vector field on M. Given a Laplacian soliton (ψ, X, λ) we can define, whenever $1 + \lambda t > 0$, a function $\rho(t)$ and a t-dependent family of vector fields X(t) by

$$\rho(t) = 1 + \lambda t \text{ and } X(t) = \rho(t)^{-1}X.$$
(3.72)

If we let f(t) be the 1-parameter family of diffeomorphisms generated by X(t) such that f(0) is the identity, then we may define

$$\psi_t = \rho(t) f(t)^* \psi \tag{3.73}$$

and see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_t &= f(t)^* (\rho'(t)\psi + \rho(t)\mathcal{L}_{X(t)}\psi) \\ &= f(t)^* (\lambda\psi + \rho(t)\mathrm{d}(\rho(t)^{-1}X \lrcorner \psi)) \\ &= f(t)^* (\Delta_\psi \psi) \\ &= \Delta_{\psi_t}\psi_t, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that

$$\Delta_{\kappa\psi}(\kappa\psi) = \kappa^{1/2} \Delta_{\psi}\psi$$

for any $\kappa > 0$. Hence, ψ_t solves the Laplacian coflow (4) and simply evolves scaling and pullback by diffeomorphisms. From the behaviour of ψ_t , we see that it is natural to call a Laplacian soliton (ψ, X, λ) expanding if $\lambda > 0$; steady if $\lambda = 0$ and shrinking if $\lambda < 0$.

Proposition 3.74. [25, §4, Proposition 4.3] If M^7 is compact, then there are no shrinking or steady soliton solutions of (3.71), other than the trivial case of a torsion free G₂-structure in the steady case.

The following theorem is of key importance in the analysis of soliton solutions and it will be used in Proposition 3.86.

Theorem 3.75. Let φ be a coclosed G₂-structure on a compact manifold M with associated metric g and let X be a vector field on M. Then,

$$\mathcal{L}_X \psi = \frac{4}{7} \mathrm{div}(X) \psi \oplus \mathrm{Curl}(X)^{\flat} \wedge \varphi \oplus *i_{\varphi} \left(\frac{3}{49} \mathrm{div}(X)g - \frac{3}{14}(\mathcal{L}_X g)\right) \in \Omega_1^4 \oplus \Omega_7^4 \oplus \Omega_{27}^4, \quad (3.76)$$

where $i_{\varphi}: S^2T^*M \to \Omega^3_1(M) \oplus \Omega^3_{27}(M)$ is the injective map given by (1.6). In particular, any symmetry X of the coclosed G₂-structure φ must be a Killing vector field of the associated metric g on M.

Proof. Since φ is coclosed, i.e. $d * \varphi = 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_X \psi = \mathrm{d}(X \lrcorner \psi) + X \lrcorner \mathrm{d}\psi = \mathrm{d}(X \lrcorner \psi)$$

Let $\alpha = X \lrcorner \psi$ so that $\alpha_{ijk} = X^l \psi_{lijk}$ and

$$\mathcal{L}_X \psi = \mathrm{d}\alpha = \frac{1}{24} (\nabla_i \alpha_{jkl} - \nabla_j \alpha_{ikl} + \nabla_k \alpha_{jil} - \nabla_l \alpha_{jki}) dx^{ijkl}$$

i.e,

$$(\mathcal{L}_X\psi)_{ijkl} = \nabla_i \alpha_{jkl} - \nabla_j \alpha_{ikl} + \nabla_k \alpha_{jil} - \nabla_l \alpha_{jki}.$$
(3.77)

We decompose $\mathcal{L}_X \psi$ as

$$\mathcal{L}_X \psi = \pi_1^4(\mathcal{L}_x \psi) + \pi_7^4(\mathcal{L}_x \psi) + \pi_{27}^4(\mathcal{L}_X \psi) = a\psi + W^\flat \wedge \varphi + *i_\varphi(h), \qquad (3.78)$$

where $\pi_l^k : \Omega^k(M) \to \Omega_l^k(M)$ denotes the projection onto $\Omega_l^k(M)$, *a* is a function, $W^{\flat} = g_{\varphi}(W, \cdot)$ and *h* is a trace-free symetric 2-tensor on *M*. We compute *a* as follows:

$$a = \frac{1}{7} \langle \mathcal{L}_X \psi, \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{168} (\nabla_i \alpha_{jkl} - \nabla_j \alpha_{ikl} + \nabla_k \alpha_{jil} - \nabla_l \alpha_{jki}) \psi^{ijkl}$$

$$= \frac{1}{42} \nabla_i \alpha_{jkl} \psi^{ijkl} = \frac{1}{42} \nabla_i (\alpha_{jkl} \psi^{ijkl}) - \frac{1}{42} \alpha_{jkl} \nabla_i \psi^{ijkl}$$

$$= \frac{24}{42} \nabla_i (X^m g_{mi}) - \frac{1}{42} X^m \psi_{mjkl} (\nabla_i \psi^{ijkl}) = \frac{4}{7} \nabla_i X_i = \frac{4}{7} \text{div}(X), \qquad (3.79)$$

where we used (1.22) and the fact that T_{ij} is a symmetric tensor. To compute W^{\flat} , note that

$$\langle *((*\mathcal{L}_X\psi) \wedge \varphi), e^m \rangle = 4 \langle W^\flat, e^m \rangle,$$

thus

$$4W^m = *((*\mathcal{L}_X\psi) \wedge \varphi \wedge e^m) = *(\langle \varphi \wedge e^m, \mathcal{L}_X\psi \rangle \mathrm{vol})$$
$$= \frac{1}{3!} (\mathcal{L}_X\psi)^{ijkm} \varphi_{ijk}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$W^{m} = \frac{1}{4!} (\mathcal{L}_{X}\psi)^{ijkm} \varphi_{ijk} = \frac{1}{3!} (g^{si} \nabla_{s} \alpha^{jkm} \varphi_{ijk})$$

$$= \frac{1}{3!} (g^{si} \nabla_{s} (X^{l} \psi_{l}^{jkm} \varphi_{ijk}) - X^{l} \psi_{l}^{jkm} g^{si} \nabla_{s} \varphi_{ijk})$$

$$= \frac{1}{3!} (4g^{si} \nabla_{s} (X^{l} \varphi_{il}^{m}) - X^{l} \psi_{l}^{jkm} g^{si} T_{sa} g^{an} \psi_{nijk})$$

$$= \frac{4}{3!} g^{si} \nabla_{s} (X^{l} \varphi_{il}^{m}) = \frac{4}{3!} g^{si} \nabla_{s} X^{l} \varphi_{il}^{m} + \frac{4}{3!} X^{l} g^{si} \nabla_{s} \varphi_{ir}^{m}$$

$$= \frac{4}{3!} \varphi_{ir}^{m} \nabla^{i} X^{l} + \frac{4}{3!} X^{l} g^{si} \nabla_{s} \varphi_{ir}^{m}$$

$$= \frac{4}{3!} \varphi_{ila} (\nabla^{i} X^{l}) g^{am} + \frac{4}{3!} X^{l} g^{si} T_{sn} g^{nl} \psi_{lirb} g^{bm}$$

$$= \frac{4}{3!} \operatorname{Curl}(X)_{a} g^{am}.$$
(3.80)

Finally, to calculate h observe that

$$(\mathcal{L}_X \psi)_{mnpi} \psi_j^{mnp} + (\mathcal{L}_X \psi)_{mnpj} \psi_i^{mnp} = a(\psi_{mnpi} \psi_j^{mnp} + \psi_{mnpj} \psi_i^{mnp}) + (*i_{\varphi}(h))_{mnpi} \psi_j^{mnp} + (*i_{\varphi}(h))_{mnpj} \psi_i^{mnp},$$
(3.81)

where

$$(*i_{\varphi}(h))_{mnpi} = (i_{\psi}(h))_{mnpi} = h_m^q \psi_{qnpi} - h_n^q \psi_{mqpi} + h_p^q \psi_{mnqi} - h_i^q \psi_{mnpq}$$

Equation (3.81) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} a\psi_{mnpi}\psi_{j}^{mnp} + (h_{m}^{q}\psi_{qnpi} - h_{n}^{q}\psi_{mqpi} + h_{p}^{q}\psi_{mnqi} - h_{i}^{q}\psi_{mnpq})\psi_{j}^{mnp} \\ a\psi_{mnpj}\psi_{i}^{mnp} + (h_{m}^{q}\psi_{qnpj} - h_{n}^{q}\psi_{mqpj} + h_{p}^{q}\psi_{mnqj} - h_{j}^{q}\psi_{mnpq})\psi_{i}^{mnp} \\ &= -48ag_{ij} + h_{m}^{q}(4g_{qj}g_{im} - 4g_{qm}g_{ij} + 4g_{qi}g_{jm} - 4g_{qm}g_{ij}) \\ &- h_{n}^{q}(4g_{qj}g_{in} - 4g_{qn}g_{ij} + 4g_{qi}g_{jn} - 4g_{qn}g_{ij}) + 24h_{i}^{q}g_{qj} \\ &+ h_{p}^{q}(4g_{qj}g_{ip} - 4g_{qp}g_{ji} + 4g_{qi}g_{jp} - 4g_{qp}g_{ij}) + 24h_{j}^{q}g_{qi} \\ &= -48ag_{ij} + 56h_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$
(3.82)

We can calculate the left hand side of (3.82) as follows

$$(\mathcal{L}_{X}\psi)_{mnpi}\psi_{j}^{mnp} + (\mathcal{L}_{X}\psi)_{mnpj}\psi_{i}^{mnp}$$

$$= (\nabla_{m}\alpha_{npi} - \nabla_{n}\alpha_{mpi} + \nabla_{p}\alpha_{nmi} - \nabla_{i}\alpha_{npm})\psi_{j}^{mnp}$$

$$(\nabla_{m}\alpha_{npj} - \nabla_{n}\alpha_{mpj} + \nabla_{p}\alpha_{mmj} - \nabla_{j}\alpha_{npm})\psi_{i}^{mnp}$$

$$= 3(\nabla_{m}\alpha_{npj}\psi_{i}^{mnp} + \nabla_{m}\alpha_{npi}\psi_{j}^{m}np) - \nabla_{i}\alpha_{npm}\psi_{j}^{mnp} - \nabla_{j}\alpha_{npm}\psi_{i}^{mnp}$$

$$= 3\frac{\nabla_{m}(\alpha_{npi}\psi_{j}^{mnp})}{I(i)} - 3\frac{\alpha_{npi}\nabla_{m}\psi_{j}^{mnp}}{II(i)} - \frac{\nabla_{i}(\alpha_{mnp}\psi_{j}^{mnp})}{III(i)} + \frac{\alpha_{mnp}\nabla_{i}\psi_{j}^{mnp}}{IV(i)}$$

$$\frac{3\nabla_{m}(\alpha_{npj}\psi_{i}^{mnp})}{I(j)} - 3\frac{\alpha_{npj}\nabla_{m}\psi_{i}^{mnp}}{II(j)} - \frac{\nabla_{j}(\alpha_{mnp}\psi_{i}^{mnp})}{III(j)} + \frac{\alpha_{mnp}\nabla_{j}\psi_{i}^{mnp}}{IV(j)}$$
(3.83)

Using (1.24), (1.25), (1.34) and the following expression

$$X^{l}T_{i}^{m}\varphi_{ljm} = (X \lrcorner \varphi)_{jm}T_{i}^{m} = (T \cdot (X \lrcorner \varphi))_{ji} = (T \cdot (X \lrcorner \varphi))_{ij}^{T} = -((X \lrcorner \varphi) \cdot T)_{ij}, \qquad (3.84)$$

we obtain that

$$I(i) = \nabla_m(\alpha_{npi}\psi_j^{mnp}) = \nabla_m(X^l\psi_{lnpi}\psi_j^{mnp})$$

= $\nabla_m(X^l(4g_{lj}g_i^m - 4g_l^m g_{ij} + 2\psi_{lij}^m))$
= $4\nabla_i X_j - 4 \text{div} X g_{ij} + 2\nabla_m(X^l\psi_{lij}^m),$
 $I(j) + I(i) = 4\nabla_j X_i - 4 \text{div} X g_{ij} + \nabla_m(X^l\psi_{lji}^m) + 4\nabla_i X_j - 4 \text{div} X g_{ji} + \nabla_m(X^l\psi_{lij}^m)$
= $4(\nabla_i X_j + \nabla_j X_i) - 8 \text{div} X g_{ij}.$

For a coclosed G_2 -structure, we have that T is symmetric. Therefore

$$\begin{split} II(i) + II(j) &= \alpha_{npi} \nabla_m \psi_j^{mnp} + \alpha_{npj} \nabla_m \psi_i^{mnp} = X^l \psi_{lnpi} \nabla_m \psi_j^{mnp} + X^l \psi_{lnpj} \nabla_m \psi_i^{mnp} \\ &= X^l \psi_{lnpi} (-T_{mj} \varphi^{mnp} + T_m^m \varphi_j^{np} - T_m^n \varphi_j^{mp} + T_m^p \varphi_j^{mn}) \\ &+ X^l \psi_{lnpj} (-T_{mi} \varphi^{mnp} + T_m^m \varphi_i^{np} - T_m^n \varphi_i^{mp} + T_m^p \varphi_i^{mn}) \\ &= 4(X \lrcorner \varphi \cdot T)_{ij} - 4(T \cdot X \lrcorner \varphi)_{ij} = 4[X \lrcorner \varphi, T]_{ij}, \\ III(i) + III(j) &= \nabla_i (X^l \psi_{lmnp} \psi_j^{mnp}) + \nabla_j (X^l \psi_{lmnp} \psi_i^{mnp}) \\ &= 24(\nabla_i X_j + \nabla_j X_i), \end{split}$$

$$IV(i) = \alpha_{mnp} \nabla_i \psi_j^{mnp} = X^l \psi_{lmnp} \nabla_i \psi_j^{mnp}$$

= $X^l \psi_{lmnp} (-T_{ij} \varphi^{mnp} + T_i^m \varphi_j^{np} - T_i^n \varphi_j^{mp} + T_i^p \varphi_j^{mn}) = 12(X \lrcorner \varphi \cdot T)_{ij},$
 $IV(i) + IV(j) = 12(X \lrcorner \varphi \cdot T)_{ij} + 12(X \lrcorner \varphi \cdot T)_{ji} = 12[X \lrcorner \varphi, T]_{ij}.$

So, using (3.79), (3.82) and the above expressions, we obtain

$$-48ag_{ij} + 56h_{ij} = -12(\nabla_i X_j + \nabla_j X_i) - 24 \operatorname{div}(X)g_{ij}$$
$$h_{ij} = \frac{3}{49} \operatorname{div}(X)g_{ij} - \frac{3}{14}(\nabla_i X_j + \nabla_j X_i)$$
$$= \frac{3}{49} \operatorname{div}(X)g_{ij} - \frac{3}{14}(\mathcal{L}_X g)$$
(3.85)

Hence, substituting (3.79), (3.80) and (3.85) into (3.78) we obtain (3.76).

Proposition 3.74 was given in [25] with a different and lengthier proof, and with an additional minus sign in the Laplacian operator, so the roles of shrinking and expanding solitons are reversed. On the other hand, in order to understand soliton conditions of coclosed G_2 -structures on contact Calabi-Yau manifolds, we need to understand better the properties of T and the Laplacian coflow.

Proposition 3.86. Let φ be a coclosed G₂-structure on a compact manifold M with associated metric g_{φ} . If (ψ, X, λ) is a soliton of the Laplacian coflow as in (3.71), then it satisfies

Curl
$$X = 0$$
, div $T = 0$, $\nabla \operatorname{tr} T = 0$,
 $\lambda = \frac{2}{3}R + \frac{4}{3}|T|^2 - \frac{4}{7}\operatorname{div}(X)$,
 $0 = -\operatorname{Ric} + \left(\frac{1}{14}R - \frac{1}{7}|T|^2 - \frac{3}{49}\operatorname{div}(X)\right)g + \operatorname{tr}(T)T - 2T^2$
 $-\frac{1}{2}T \circ T + \frac{3}{14}(\mathcal{L}_X g)$.
(3.87)

Proof. Using Lemma 1.56 and Theorem 3.75, we obtain

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \Delta_{\psi}\psi - \lambda\psi - \mathcal{L}_{X}\psi \\ 0 &= \left(\frac{2}{3}R + \frac{4}{3}|T|^{2}\right)\psi + (\operatorname{d}\operatorname{tr} T) \wedge \varphi + *_{\varphi}\mathrm{i}_{\varphi}\left(-\operatorname{Ric} + \frac{1}{14}(R - 2|T|^{2})g + \operatorname{tr}(T)T - 2T^{2} - \frac{1}{2}T \circ T\right) \\ &- \left(\frac{4}{7}\operatorname{div}(X)\psi + \operatorname{Curl}(X)^{\flat} \wedge \varphi + *_{\varphi}\left(\frac{3}{49}\operatorname{div}(X)g - \frac{3}{14}(\mathcal{L}_{X}g)\right)\right) - \lambda\psi \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{3}R + \frac{4}{3}|T|^{2} - \frac{4}{7}\operatorname{div}(X) - \lambda\right)\psi + (\operatorname{d}\operatorname{tr} T - \operatorname{Curl}(X)^{\flat}) \wedge \varphi \\ &+ \operatorname{i}_{\varphi}\left(-\operatorname{Ric} + \frac{1}{14}(R - 2|T|^{2})g + \operatorname{tr}(T)T - 2T^{2} - \frac{1}{2}T \circ T - \frac{3}{49}\operatorname{div}(X)g + \frac{3}{14}(\mathcal{L}_{X}g)\right). \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$0 = \frac{2}{3}R + \frac{4}{3}|T|^2 - \frac{4}{7}\operatorname{div}(X) - \lambda$$

$$0 = \operatorname{d}\operatorname{tr} T - \operatorname{Curl}(X)^{\flat}$$

$$0 = -\operatorname{Ric} + \frac{1}{14}(R - 2|T|^2 - \frac{3}{49}\operatorname{div}(X))g + \operatorname{tr}(T)T - 2T^2 - \frac{1}{2}T \circ T + \frac{3}{14}(\mathcal{L}_X g),$$

and thus we get (3.87).

Moreover, Proposition 3.86 will be useful in the following case which was studied by Lotay and Sá Earp from the perspective of Heterotic string theory. Therefore, let V be a Calabi-Yau 3-orbifold with metric g_V , volume form vol_V , kahler form ω and holomorphic volume form Υ satisfying

$$\operatorname{vol}_V = rac{1}{3!}\omega^3 = rac{1}{4}\operatorname{Re} \Upsilon \wedge \operatorname{Im} \Upsilon$$

Let M that the total space of $\pi : K \to V$ is a contact Calabi-Yau 7-manifold. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, we define a S^1 -invariant G₂-structure on K by

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon \eta \wedge \omega + \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon \tag{3.88}$$

$$\psi_{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 - \varepsilon\eta \wedge \operatorname{Im}\Upsilon.$$
(3.89)

The metric induced from this G₂-structure and its corresponding volume form are

$$g_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^2 \eta^2 + g_V \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{vol}_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon \eta \wedge \operatorname{vol}_V$$

$$(3.90)$$

We have from (3.88), (3.89) and Theorem 3.12 that

$$d\varphi_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon \omega^2 \quad \text{and} \quad d\psi_{\varepsilon} = 0,$$
 (3.91)

Lemma 3.92. [32, §2.1, Lemma 2.4] For each $\varepsilon > 0$, the G₂-structure on M^7 defined by (3.88) has torsion forms

$$au_0 = rac{6}{7}arepsilon$$
 $au_1 = 0,$
 $au_2 = 0$ $au_3 = rac{8}{7}arepsilon^2\eta \wedge \omega - rac{6}{7}arepsilon\operatorname{Re} \Upsilon$

Proposition 3.93. Let φ_{ε} be a G₂-structure given by (3.88). Then, its full torsion tensor is

$$T = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}g_V - \frac{3\varepsilon^3}{2}\eta^2.$$
(3.94)

and the full torsion tensor norm is given by $|T|^2 = \frac{15}{4} \varepsilon^2$.

Proof. Let ξ be the dual to η and $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$ and let j be a linear operator given by (1.6), thus

$$j(\tau_{3})(\xi,\xi) = *_{\varepsilon} \left((\xi \sqcup \varphi_{\varepsilon}) \land (\xi \sqcup \varphi_{\varepsilon}) \land \tau_{3} \right)$$

$$= *_{\varepsilon} (\varepsilon \eta(\xi) \omega \land \varepsilon \eta(\xi) \omega \land \frac{8}{7} \varepsilon^{2} \eta \land \omega)$$

$$= *_{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{8}{7} \varepsilon^{4} \eta \land \omega^{3} \right) = \frac{48}{7} \varepsilon^{3}$$

$$j(\tau_{3})(X,\xi) = 0$$

$$j(\tau_{3})(X,Y) = - *_{\varepsilon} \left(\varepsilon \eta \land (X \sqcup \omega) \land (Y \sqcup \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon) \land (-\frac{6\varepsilon}{7} \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon) \right)$$

$$- *_{\varepsilon} \left((X \sqcup \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon) \land (\varepsilon \eta \land (Y \sqcup \omega)) \land (-\frac{6\varepsilon}{7} \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon) \right)$$

$$+ *_{\varepsilon} \left((X \sqcup \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon) \land (Y \sqcup \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon) \land (\frac{8}{7} \varepsilon^{2} \eta \land \omega) \right)$$

using the identity (3.42), we obtain

$$j(\tau_3)(X,Y) = -\frac{8}{7}\varepsilon *_{\varepsilon} *_{\varepsilon} (\eta \wedge \operatorname{vol}_V) = -\frac{8}{7}\varepsilon g_V(X,Y)$$

Therefore

$$(\tau_{27})_{ij} = \frac{1}{4}j(\tau_3)_{ij} = -\frac{12}{7}\varepsilon^3\eta^2 - \frac{2}{7}\varepsilon g_V$$

thus, we have

$$T = \frac{\tau_0}{4}g_{\varepsilon} - (\tau_{27})_{ij}$$
$$= \frac{\varepsilon}{2}g_V - \frac{3\varepsilon^3}{2}\eta^2$$

Using Lemma A.21, we compute |T| which is given by

$$|T|^{2} = 6\left(\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\right)^{2} + \left(-\frac{3}{2}\varepsilon^{3}\right)^{2}(\varepsilon^{2})^{-2} = \frac{15}{4}\varepsilon^{2}$$
(3.95)

Proposition 3.96. Let $(M, \eta, \Phi, \Upsilon)$ be a closed contact Calabi-Yau 7-manifold, and let $\omega := d\eta$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, a (locally) S^1 -invariant G₂-structure is given by

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon \eta \wedge \omega + \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon \tag{3.97}$$

$$\psi_{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 - \varepsilon\eta \wedge \operatorname{Im}\Upsilon.$$
(3.98)

Then, any solitons $(\psi_{\varepsilon}, X, \lambda)$ for the Laplacian coflow (4) inducing the metric g_{ε} on M must have $X^{\flat} \in \Omega^{1}(M)$ harmonic and

$$\lambda = \varepsilon^2. \tag{3.99}$$

Proof. Let φ_{ε} be a coclosed G₂-structure on a closed contact Calabi-Yau manifold. Then, using (A.26), with $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = \varepsilon^2 - 1$, we obtain $R_{\varepsilon} = R - 6(\varepsilon^2 - 1) = -6\varepsilon^2$. Now if (ψ, X, λ) is a soliton, then Proposition 3.86 implies that

$$\lambda_{\varepsilon} = \frac{2}{3}R_{\varepsilon} + \frac{4}{3}|T|^2 - \frac{4}{7}\operatorname{div}(X),$$
$$= \varepsilon^2 - \frac{4}{7}\operatorname{div}(X).$$

By Stokes' theorem, after integration, we conclude that $\lambda_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^2$, as claimed, which in turn also implies $\operatorname{div}(X) = 0$. But we know from Proposition 3.86 that $\operatorname{Curl}(X) = 0$, so X is actually harmonic, since $\Delta X^{\flat} = \operatorname{dd}^* X^{\flat} + \operatorname{d}^* \operatorname{d} X^{\flat}$, but $\operatorname{d}^* X^{\flat} = -\operatorname{div}(X^{\flat})$ and $\operatorname{d} X^{\flat} = \operatorname{Curl} X$, obtaining $\Delta X^{\flat} = 0$.

4 Concluding remarks

- 1. The Laplacian coflow has so far received rather little attention, so there are also many open problems. Therefore, we would like to conclude the following questions for future work.
 - In view of the relation between Laplacian coflow and modified Laplacian coflow. Then, what are the critical points of the modified Laplacian coflow on Contact Calabi-Yau manifolds?
 - We consider the following family of G₂-structures

$$\varphi_t = f_t h_t^2 \eta_0 \wedge \omega_0 + h_t^3 \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon_0, \qquad (4.1)$$

starting at the natural coclosed G₂-structure on a contact Calabi–Yau 7-manifold and we analyse the Laplacian coflow, finding a solution with a singularity. In the future work, we can consider other family of G₂-structures with deformations which stabilize the characteristic foliation \mathcal{F}_{ξ} .

2. Given a coclosed G₂-structure, the linearization at ψ of the corresponding Hodge Laplacian is an indefinite operator where the term that cause Δ_{ψ} to be indefinite is $\pi_7(\Delta_{\psi}\psi)$, which is the component of $\Delta_{\psi}\psi$ is the 7-dimensional representation Λ_7^4 of G₂. This term is however determined by div*T*- the divergence of the Torsion. Therefore, the condition div*T* = 0 may be thought of as another condition to make $\Delta_{\psi}\psi$ elliptic. Then, the future work will be analyse harmonic *G*-invariant G₂-structures on *G/H* which is div $T_{\varphi} = 0$ (see [36, 24, 21])

Bibliography

- BAGAGLINI, L., AND FINO, A. The Laplacian coflow on almost-abelian Lie groups. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.(1923-) 197, 6 (2018), 1855–1873.
- [2] BERGER, M. Sur les groupes d'holonomie homogène des variétés à connexion affine et des variétés riemanniennes. Bull. Soc. Math. France 83 (1955), 279–330.
- [3] BESSE, A. L. Einstein manifolds. Springer Sci. Rev., 2008.
- [4] BOYER, C., AND GALICKI, K. Sasakian geometry. Oxford Univ. Press., 2008.
- [5] BOYER, C. P., AND GALICKI, K. Sasakian geometry, holonomy, and supersymmetry. Handbook of pseudo-Riemannian geometry and supersymmetry, IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys 16 (2010), 39–83.
- [6] BRYANT, R. Metrics with holonomy G₂ or Spin(7). Lec. Notes in Math., 1111 (1985), 269–277.
- [7] BRYANT, R., AND XU, F. Laplacian flow for closed G₂-structures: Short time behavior. arXiv: 1101.2004 (2011).
- [8] BRYANT, R. L. A survey of Riemannian metrics with special holonomy groups. In Proc. int. pure math. conf. (1986), vol. 1, pp. 505–514.
- [9] BRYANT, R. L. Some remarks on G₂-structures. In Proceedings of Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2005 (2006), Gökova Geometry/Topology Conference (GGT), Gökova, pp. 75–109.
- [10] BRYANT, R. L., AND SALAMON, S. M. On the construction of some complete metrics with exceptional holonomy. *Duke Math. J.* 58, 3 (1989), 829–850.
- [11] CHEN, G. Shi-type estimates and finite time singularities of flows of G₂-structures. Q. J. Math. 69, 3 (2018), 779–797.
- [12] CHEN, X., LEBRUN, C., AND WEBER, B. On conformally Kähler, Einstein manifolds. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 21, 4 (2008), 1137–1168.
- [13] CHOW, B., LU, P., AND NI, L. Hamilton's Ricci flow, vol. 77. J. Am. Math. Soc., 2006.
- [14] DWIVEDI, S., GIANNOTIS, P., AND KARIGIANNIS, S. A gradient flow of isometric G₂structures. arXiv: 1904.10068 (2019).
- [15] DYNKIN, E. B. Maximal subgroups of the classical groups. Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. 1 (1952), 39–166.

- [16] FERNÁNDEZ, M., AND GRAY, A. Riemannian manifolds with structure group G₂. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 132, 1 (1982), 19–45 (1983).
- [17] FRIEDRICH, T., KATH, I., MOROIANU, A., AND SEMMELMANN, U. On nearly parallel G₂-structures. J. Geom. Phys. 23, 3-4 (1997), 259–286.
- [18] GRIGORIAN, S. Short-time behaviour of a modified Laplacian coflow of G₂-structures. Adv Math (N Y) 248 (2013), 378–415.
- [19] GRIGORIAN, S. G₂-structures and octonion bundles. Adv Math (N Y) 308 (2017), 142–207.
- [20] GRIGORIAN, S. Estimates and monotonicity for a heat flow of isometric G₂-structures. Calc. Var. Partial Differ. 58 (2019), 175.
- [21] GRIGORIAN, S. Flows of co-closed G₂-structures. In Lectures and Surveys on G₂-Manifolds and Related Topics. Springer, 2020, pp. 271–286.
- [22] HABIB, G., AND VEZZONI, L. Some remarks on Calabi-Yau and hyper-Kähler foliations. Differ Geom Appl 41 (2015), 12–32.
- [23] KARIGIANNIS, S. Desingularization of G₂ manifolds with isolated conical singularities. Geom. Topol. 13, 3 (2009), 1583–1655.
- [24] KARIGIANNIS, S., LEUNG, N. C., AND LOTAY, J. D., Eds. Lectures and Surveys on G₂-manifolds and related topics (2020), vol. 84 of Fields Institute Communications, Springer US.
- [25] KARIGIANNIS, S., MCKAY, B., AND TSUI, M.-P. Soliton solutions for the Laplacian coflow of some G₂-structures with symmetry. *Differ Geom Appl 30* (2012), 318–333.
- [26] KAWAI, K. Some associative submanifolds of the squashed 7-sphere. Q. J. Math. 66, 3 (2015), 861–893.
- [27] LAURET, J. Laplacian flow of homogeneous G₂-structures and its solitons. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 114 (2017), 527–560.
- [28] LOTAY, J. Geometric flows of G₂ structures. Lectures and Surveys on G₂-Manifolds and Related Topics, 113–140. *Fields Inst. Commun* 84.
- [29] LOTAY, J., AND WEI, Y. Laplacian flow for Closed G₂-structures: Shi-type Estimates, Uniqueness and Compactness. *Geom Funct Anal* 27 (2017), 165–233.
- [30] LOTAY, J. D. Associative submanifolds of the 7-sphere. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 105, 6 (2012), 1183–1214.
- [31] LOTAY, J. D., AND OLIVEIRA, G. $SU(2)^2$ -invariant G₂-instantons. Math. Ann. 371, 1-2 (2018), 961–1011.
- [32] LOTAY, J. D., AND SÁ EARP, H. N. The heterotic G₂- system on contact calabi-yau 7-manifolds. *arXiv:2101.06767* (2021).

- [33] LOTAY, J. D., SÁ EARP, H. N., AND SAAVEDRA, J. Flows of G₂-structures on contact calabi-yau 7-manifolds. Preprint.
- [34] LOTAY, J. D., AND WEI, Y. Stability of torsion-free G₂-structures along the Laplacian flow. J. Diff. Geom. 111, 3 (2019), 495–526.
- [35] LOUBEAU, E., MORENO, A. J., EARP, H. N. S., AND SAAVEDRA, J. Harmonic Sp(2)invariant G₂-structures on the 7-sphere. arXiv:2103.11552 (2021).
- [36] LOUBEAU, E., AND SÁ EARP, H. N. Harmonic flow of geometric structures. arXiv:1907.06072 (2019).
- [37] MORENO OSPINA, A. J. Algebraic methods in G₂-geometry: Métodos algébricos em G₂-geometria.
- [38] PERELMAN, G. The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications. *arXiv* 0211159 (2002).
- [39] REIDEGELD, F. Spaces admitting homogeneous G₂-structures. Differ Geom Appl 28, 3 (Jan. 2010), 301–312.
- [40] TANNO, S. The topology of contact Riemannian manifolds. Illinois J. Math. 12, 4 (1968), 700–717.
- [41] TOMASSINI, A., AND VEZZONI, L. Contact Calabi-Yau manifolds and special Legendrian submanifolds. Osaka J. Math. 45, 1 (2008), 127–147.
- [42] VAN LE, H., AND MUNIR, M. Classification of compact homogeneous spaces with invariant G₂-structures. Adv. Geom. 12, 2 (2012), 303–328.
- [43] ZILLER, W. Homogeneous Einstein metrics on spheres and projective spaces. Math Ann 259, 3 (1982), 351–358.

APPENDIX A – Contact Manifolds

A.1 Contact manifolds

Before defining Sasakian manifolds it will be necessary to define contact structures. Contact transformations arose in the theory of Analytical Mechanics developed in the 19th century by Hamilton, Jacobi, Lagrange and Legendre. But its first systematic treatment was given by Sephns Lie. Consider \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} with Cartesian coordinates $(x^1, \dots, x^n, y^1, \dots, y^n, z)$ and 1-form η given by

$$\eta = \mathrm{d}z - \sum_{i} y^{i} \mathrm{d}x^{i}. \tag{A.1}$$

It is easy to see that $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n \neq 0$. A 1-form on \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} that satisfies this condition is called a *contact form*. Therefore, locally we have the following result:

Proposition A.2. [4] Let η be a 1-form on \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} that satisfies $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n \neq 0$. Then, there is an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ and local coordinates $(x^1, \ldots, x^n, y^1, \ldots, y^n, z)$ such that η has the form (A.1) in U.

Definition A.3. A 2n + 1-dimensional manifold is a *contact manifold* if there exists a 1-form η , called a *contact* 1-form, on M such that

$$\eta \wedge (\mathrm{d}\eta)^n \neq 0$$

everywhere on M. A contact structure on M is an equivalence class of such 1-forms by the relation $\eta' \sim \eta$ if there is a nowhere vanishing function f on M such that $\eta' = f\eta$.

Definition A.4. An almost contact structure on a differential manifold M^{2n+1} is a quadruple (M, η, ξ, Φ) where Φ is a tensor field of type (1, 1) (i.e., an endomorphism of TM), ξ is a vector field, and η is a 1-form which satisfies

$$\eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \Phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta \circ \Phi = 0 \tag{A.5}$$

$$\Phi \circ \Phi = -\mathrm{id} + \xi \otimes \eta. \tag{A.6}$$

The vector field ξ is called *Characteristic vector field* or the *Reeb vector field*. A smooth manifold with such a structure is called an *almost contact manifold*. A Riemannian metric on M is said to be *compatible* with the almost contact structure if for any fields X, Y on M we have

$$g(\Phi(X), \Phi(Y)) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y).$$
(A.7)

An almost contact structure with a compatible metric is called an *almost contact metric structure*. In case η is a contact form, then (M, η, ξ, Φ, g) is said to be a *contact metric structure* on M and a manifold with such a structure is called *contact metric manifold*. It follows that a contact metric structure (M, η, ξ, Φ, g) satisfies

$$\omega(X,Y) = g(\Phi(X),Y) = \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{d}\eta(X,Y), \quad X,Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$$
(A.8)

For a contact metric manifold (M, η, ξ, Φ, g) we take

$$\operatorname{vol}_{g} = \frac{\eta \wedge \omega^{n}}{n!} = \frac{1}{2^{n} n!} \eta \wedge (\mathrm{d}\eta)^{n}$$
(A.9)

as the Riemannian volume form. A contact metric structure (M, η, ξ, Φ, g) is called *K*-contact if ξ is a Killing vector field of g and we have

$$(\nabla_X \eta)(Y) = \frac{1}{2} d\eta(X, Y)$$
(A.10)

$$r(X, \xi) = (2n)\eta(X),$$

$$g(R(X, \xi)Y, \xi) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$
(A.11)

where $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, ∇ is the covariant differentiation with respect g, r and R are the Ricci curvature tensor and Riemannian curvature tensor respectively.

Proposition A.12. [4] A contact metric structure (ξ, η, Φ, g) is K-contact if and only if $\nabla \xi = -\Phi$

We say that (M^{2n+1}, η, Φ) is called *Sasakian* if the metric cone $(C(M), dr^2 + r^2g, d(r^2\eta))$ is Kähler and it satisfies

$$(\nabla_X \Phi)Y = g(Y,\xi)X - g(X,Y)\xi, \tag{A.13}$$

$$R(X,\xi)Y = g(Y,\xi)X - g(X,Y)\xi \tag{A.14}$$

where $Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. A Sasakian manifold is necessarily a K-contact Riemannian. The vector field ξ is nowhere vanishing, so there is a 1-dimensional foliation \mathcal{F}_{ξ} associated with every Sasakian structure, called the *characteristic foliation*. Each leaf of \mathcal{F}_{ξ} has a holonomy group associated to it. If $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ is a Sasakian structure, then dimension of the closure of the leaves is called the *rank* of \mathcal{S} .

A.2 Transverse Kähler geometry

Let (M, η, ξ, Φ, g) be a Sasakian manifold, and consider the 1-form η called the *characteristic* 1-form, then it defines a 2*n*-dimensional vector bundle \mathcal{D} , called *horizontal subbundle* of TM, where the fiber \mathcal{D}_p of \mathcal{D} is defined $\mathcal{D}_p := \ker \eta_p$ for every p in M. Hence we get a decomposition of the tangent bundle TM given by

$$TM = \mathcal{D} \oplus L_{\xi}$$

and a sequence of vector bundle

$$0 \longrightarrow L_{\xi} \longrightarrow TM \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{F}_{\xi}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where L_{ξ} is the trivial line bundle generated by the Reeb vector field ξ and $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{F}_{\xi}) := TM/L_{\xi}$ which is called the *normal bundle* of the foliation \mathcal{F}_{ξ} . There is a smooth vector bundle isomorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{F}_{\xi}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ such that $\pi \circ \sigma = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{F}_{\xi})}$. It follows that Φ induces a splitting

$$\mathcal{D}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}=\mathcal{D}^{1,0}\oplus\mathcal{D}^{0,0}$$

where $\mathcal{D}^{0,1}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{1,0}$ are eigenspaces of Φ with eigenvalues $\sqrt{-1}$ and $-\sqrt{-1}$ respectively. It is naturally endowed with both a complex structure $J = \Phi|_{\mathcal{D}}$ and a symplectic structure $d\eta$. Hence, $(\mathcal{D}, J, d\eta)$ gives M a transverse Kähler structure with Kähler form $d\eta$ and metric $g_{\mathcal{D}}$ defined by

$$g_{\mathcal{D}}(X,Y) = d\eta(X,JY) \tag{A.15}$$

which is related to the Sasakian metric g by

$$g = g_{\mathcal{D}} + \eta \otimes \eta, \tag{A.16}$$

Beside of that, a smooth *p*-form α on *M* is called *basic* if

$$\xi \lrcorner \alpha = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\xi} \alpha = 0$$

Therefore, let Λ_B^p denote the sheaf of germs of basic *p*-forms, $\Omega_B^p := \Gamma(M, \Lambda_B^p)$ the set of global sections of Λ_B^p is called *basic* on M. Let $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the complexification of \mathcal{D} , and decompose it into its eigenspaces with respect to J, that is $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{D}^{1,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,1}$. Similarly, we get a splitting of the complexification of the sheaf Ω_B^1 of basic one forms on M, namely

$$\Omega^1_B \otimes \mathbb{C} = \Omega^{1,0}_B \oplus \Omega^{0,1}_B.$$

We also denote that $\Lambda_B^{p,q} := \Lambda^p(\Lambda_B^{1,0}) \otimes \Lambda^q(\Lambda_B^{0,1})$; and

$$\Omega_B^{p,q} := \Lambda^p(\Omega_B^{1,0}) \otimes \Lambda^q(\Omega_B^{0,1})$$

Then we have $\Lambda^p_B \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{r+s=p} = \Lambda^{r,s}_B$, and

$$\Omega^p_B \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{r+s=p} \Omega^{r,s}_B.$$

Note that $d\eta \in \Omega_B^{1,1}(\mathcal{D})$ and it determines a non-vanishing cohomology class in $H_B^{1,1}(M)$. Relatively to the Reeb foliation on a Sasakian manifold (M, η, ξ, Φ, g) , the usual Hodge star induced a transverse Hodge operator $\tilde{*}: \Omega_B^k(\mathcal{D}) \to \Omega_B^{2n-k}(\mathcal{D})$ given by

$$\widetilde{*}\gamma := (-1)^{2n-k} * (\gamma \wedge \eta).$$

Therefore, we have

$$*\gamma = \tilde{*}\gamma \wedge \eta$$

Define ∂_B and $\overline{\partial}_B$ by

$$\partial_B: \Lambda^{p,q}_B \to \Lambda^{p+1,q}_B$$

$$\overline{\partial_B}: \Lambda_B^{p,q} \to \Lambda_B^{p,q+1}$$

which is the decomposition of d. Let $d_B = d|_{\Omega_B^p}$. We have $d_B = \partial_B + \overline{\partial_B}$. Let $d_B^c = \frac{1}{2}i(\overline{\partial_B} - \partial_B)$. it is clear that

$$d_B d_B^c = i \partial_B \overline{\partial_B}, \quad d_B^2 = (d_B^c)^2 = 0$$
 (A.17)

Let $d_B^* : \Omega_B^{p+1} \to \Omega_B^p$ be the adjoint operator of $d_B : \Omega_B^p \to \Omega_B^{p+1}$. The basic Laplacian Δ_B is defined by

$$\Delta_B = \mathrm{d}_B^* \mathrm{d}_B + \mathrm{d}_B \mathrm{d}_B^*$$

The Sasakian structure (M, η, ξ, Φ, g) also induces a natural connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{D}}$ on \mathcal{D} given by

$$\nabla_X^{\mathcal{D}} Y = \begin{cases} (\nabla_X Y)^{\mathcal{D}} & \text{if } X \in \mathcal{D} \\ [\xi, Y] & \text{if } X = \xi \end{cases}$$

where the subscript \mathcal{D} denotes the projection onto \mathcal{D} . Therefore, we get

$$\nabla_X^{\mathcal{D}}J = 0, \quad \nabla_X^{\mathcal{D}}g_J = 0, \quad \nabla_X^{\mathcal{D}}d\eta = 0, \quad \nabla_X^{\mathcal{D}}Y - \nabla_Y^{\mathcal{D}}X = [X, Y]^{\mathcal{D}}$$

for any $X, Y \in TM$. Moreover the transverse Ricci tensor Ric^T is defined as

$$\operatorname{Ric}^{T}(X,Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} g(\nabla_{X}^{\mathcal{D}} \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\mathcal{D}} e_{i} - \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\mathcal{D}} \nabla_{X}^{\mathcal{D}} e_{i} - \nabla_{[X,e_{i}]}^{\mathcal{D}} e_{i},Y)$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$, where $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{2n}\}$ is an arbitrary orthonormal frame of \mathcal{D} . By Tommasini and Vezzoni in [41] is know that

$$\operatorname{Ric}^{T}(X,Y) = \operatorname{Ric}(X,Y) + 2g(X,Y)$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$, where Ric denotes the Ricci tensor of the Riemannian metric $g = g_J + \eta \otimes \eta$. Let us denote by ρ^T the Ricci form of Ric^T, i.e.

$$\rho^{T}(X,Y) = \operatorname{Ric}^{T}(JX,Y) = \operatorname{Ric}(Jx,Y) + d\eta(X,Y)$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$. We have that ρ^T is a closed form such that $(\frac{1}{2\pi})\rho$ represents the first Chern class of (\mathcal{D}, J) , this form is called *transverse Ricci form* of (M, η, ξ, Φ, g) .

Definition A.18. The basic cohomology class

$$\mathbf{c}_B^1(M) = \frac{1}{2\pi}[\boldsymbol{\rho}^T] \in \mathbf{H}_B^{1,1}(M)$$

is called the *first basic Chern class* of (M, η, ξ, Φ, g) and if it vanishes, then (M, η, ξ, Φ, g) is said to be *null-Ssakian*.

Furthermore, a Sasakian manifold is called η -Einstein if there exist λ , $\nu \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\operatorname{Ric} = \lambda g + \nu \eta \otimes \eta.$$

A.3 \mathcal{D} -Homothetic deformations

We now address the general framework proposed by Tanno [40] on contact manifold specifically we use properties K-contact manifolds to understand the behavior of the deformation metrics called \mathcal{D} -homothetic deformation. Thus, let (M, η, ξ, Φ, g) be a K-contact manifold and \overline{g} the deformation of the metric g given by

$$\overline{g} = \alpha g + \beta \eta \otimes \eta, \tag{A.19}$$

for constant α and β satisfying $\alpha > 0$ and $\alpha + \beta > 0$. The inverse matrix

$$\overline{g}^{ij} = \alpha^{-1} g^{ij} - \alpha^{-1} \beta (\alpha + \beta)^{-1} \xi^i \xi^j.$$
(A.20)

Lemma A.21. Let (M, η, ξ, Φ, g) be a K-contact manifold and let \overline{g} be a deformation of the metric given by (A.19). If F is a symmetric tensor of the form $F = s_1g + s_2\eta \otimes \eta$, then

$$|F|_{\overline{g}}^2 = F_{ij}F_{mn}\overline{g}^{im}\overline{g}^{jn} = 6\alpha^{-2}s_1^2 + (s_1 + s_2)^2(\alpha + \beta)^{-2}.$$
 (A.22)

Proof. Using (A.20) and $F = s_1 g + s_2 \eta \otimes \eta$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} |F|_{\overline{g}}^{2} = & F_{ij}F_{mn}\overline{g}^{im}\overline{g}^{jn} \\ = & s_{1}^{2}\alpha^{-2}(7 - 2\beta(\alpha + \beta)^{-1} + \beta^{2}(\alpha + \beta)^{-2}) \\ &+ 2s_{1}s_{2}\alpha^{-2}(1 - \beta(\alpha + \beta)^{-1})^{2} + s_{2}^{2}\alpha^{-2}(1 - \beta(\alpha + \beta)^{-1})^{2} \\ = & s_{1}^{2}\alpha^{-2}(6 + (1 - \beta(\alpha + \beta)^{-1})^{2}) \\ &+ 2s_{1}s_{2}\alpha^{-2}(1 - \beta(\alpha + \beta)^{-1})^{2} + s_{2}^{2}\alpha^{-2}(1 - \beta(\alpha + \beta)^{-1})^{2} \\ = & 6\alpha^{-2}s_{1}^{2} + (s_{1} + s_{2})^{2}(\alpha + \beta)^{-2}. \end{split}$$

Denoting by $A = \overline{\nabla} - \nabla$ the difference of the connections associated to \overline{g} and g, which is a tensor. Therefore, the tensor A on a K-contact manifold satisfies

$$A^i_{jk} = -\alpha^{-1}\beta(\Phi^i_j\eta_k + \eta_j\Phi^i_k).$$
(A.23)

Putting this into

$$\overline{R}^{i}_{jkl} = R^{i}_{jkl} + \nabla_l A^{i}_{jk} - \nabla_k A^{i}_{jl} + A^{i}_{rl} A_{jk} - A^{i}_{rk} A^{r}_{jl}$$

we have

$$\overline{R}^{i}_{jkl} = R^{i}_{jkl} + \alpha^{-1}\beta(2\Phi^{i}_{j}\Phi_{kl} + \Phi^{i}_{k}\phi_{jl} - \Phi^{i}_{l}\Phi_{jk}) + \alpha^{-2}\beta^{2}(\delta^{i}_{l}\eta_{j}\eta_{k} - \delta^{i}_{k}\eta_{j}\eta_{l}) + \alpha^{-1}\beta\Big(\nabla_{k}\Phi^{i}_{j}\eta_{l} + \nabla_{k}\Phi^{i}_{l}\eta_{j} - \nabla_{l}\Phi^{i}_{j}\eta_{k} - \nabla_{l}\Phi^{i}_{k}\eta_{j}\Big).$$

In the case of Sasakian manifolds, we obtain

$$\overline{R}^{i}_{jkl} = R^{i}_{jkl} + \alpha^{-1}\beta(\xi^{i}\eta_{l}g_{jk} - \eta_{k}\xi^{i}g_{jl} + \alpha^{-1}(2\alpha + \beta)\delta^{i}_{l}\eta_{j}\eta_{k} - \alpha^{-1}(2\alpha + \beta)\delta^{i}_{k}\eta_{j}\eta_{k}) + \alpha^{-1}\beta(2\Phi^{i}_{j}\Phi_{kl} + \Phi^{i}_{k}\Phi_{jl} - \Phi^{i}_{l}\Phi_{jk}),$$
(A.24)

where we have used (A.13) and (A.14). Contracting with respect to i and l, we have

$$\overline{R}_{jk} = R_{jk} - 2\alpha^{-1}\beta g_{jk} + \alpha^{-2}\beta(2(2n+1)\alpha + (2n+1)\beta - \beta)\eta_j\eta_k,$$
(A.25)

Contracting the last equation with (A.20), we get

$$\overline{R} = \alpha^{-1}R - \alpha^{-2}\beta(2n). \tag{A.26}$$

where \overline{R} is the scalar curvature.

Remark A.27. Note that if $X \in \mathcal{D}$, then $(\overline{\nabla}_X \eta)(Y) = (\nabla_X \eta)(Y) + A(X,Y)$, and using $(\nabla_X \eta)(Y) = \frac{1}{2} d\eta(X,Y)$ and (A.23), we obtain $((\overline{\nabla}_X \eta)(Y))^{\sharp} \in \mathcal{D}_0$.

Lemma A.28. Let (M, η, ξ, Φ, g) be a K-contact manifold and let \overline{g} be a deformation of the metric given by (A.19). If F is a symmetric tensor of the form $F = s_1g + s_2\eta \otimes \eta$, then

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X F)(Y,Z) = s_2(\nabla_X(\eta \otimes \eta))(Y,Z) - s_1g(A(X,Y),Z) - s_1g(A(X,Z),Y).$$
(A.29)

Proof. we know that $\nabla g = 0$ and $\nabla_{\xi} \xi = 0$. Using the Levi-Civita connection of \overline{g} , we have

$$\overline{\nabla}_X F(Y,Z) = X(F(Y,Z)) - F(\overline{\nabla}_X Y,Z) - F(Y,\overline{\nabla}_X Z)$$

substituting $\overline{\nabla} = \nabla + A$ where A is given by (A.23), we obtain that

$$\overline{\nabla}_X F(Y,Z) = X(F(Y,Z)) - F(\nabla_X Y + A(X,Y),Z) - F(Y,\nabla_X Z + A(X,Z)),$$

using $F = s_1 g + s_2 \eta \otimes \eta$, we have

$$\begin{split} \overline{\nabla}_X F(Y,Z) = & X(s_1 g(Y,Z)) + X(s_2 \eta(Y) \eta(Z)) - s_1 g(\nabla_X Y,Z) - s_1 g(A(X,Y),Z) \\ & - s_2 \eta(\nabla_X Y) \eta(Z) - s_1 g(Y,\nabla_X Z) - s_1 g(Y,A(X,Z)) - s_2 \eta(\nabla_X Z) \eta(Y) \\ = & s_1(\nabla_X g)(Y,Z) + s_2 \nabla_X (\eta \otimes \eta)(Y,Z) - s_1 g(A(X,Y),Z) - S_1 g(A(X,Z),Y) \\ = & s_2 \nabla_X (\eta \otimes \eta)(Y,Z) - s_1 g(A(X,Y),Z) - s_1 g(A(X,Z),Y). \end{split}$$

A.4 Contact Calabi-Yau manifold

In this section we discuss several preliminary properties of the contact-Calabi-Yau manifolds which will be important to analyse singularities of Laplacian coflow of G₂-structures on contact Calabi-Yau manifolds.

Definition A.30. A contact Calabi-Yau manifolds is denoted by $(M, \eta, \Phi, \Upsilon)$ such that

• (M, η, ξ, Φ, g) is a 2n + 1-dimensional Sasakian manifold.

• Υ is a nowhere vanishing transversal form on $\mathcal{D} = \ker \eta$ of type (n, 0):

$$\Upsilon \wedge \overline{\Upsilon} = c_n \omega^n, \quad d\Upsilon = 0,$$

where $c_n = (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} i^n$ and $\omega = d\eta$. Furthermore, we have that

$$\operatorname{Re}\Upsilon := rac{\Upsilon + \overline{\Upsilon}}{2}, \quad \operatorname{Im}\Upsilon := rac{\Upsilon - \overline{\Upsilon}}{2\mathrm{i}}$$

Proposition A.31. [41, §3, Proposition 3.4] Let M be a 2n + 1-dimensional compact manifold. Assume that M admits a Contact Calabi-Yau structure, then the following hold

- 1. if n is even, then $b_{n+1}(M) > 0$;
- 2. If n is odd, then

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{b}_n(M) > 2\\ \mathbf{b}_{n+1} \ge 2, \end{cases}$$

where $b_i(M)$ denotes the j^{th} Betti number of M.

Corollary A.32. [41, §3, Corollary 3.5] A 3-dimensional compact manifold M admitting contact Calabi-Yau structure has $b_1(M) > 2$. In particular, there are no compact 3-dimensional simply connected contact Calabi-Yau manifolds. Moreover, the 2n + 1-dimensional sphere has no contact Calabi-Yau structure.

Proposition A.33. [41, §3, Proposition 3.6] Let (M, η, ξ, Φ, g) be a 2n+1-dimensional Sasakian manifold and $\mathcal{D} = \ker \eta$. The following facts are equivalent:

1. $\operatorname{Hol}^0(\nabla^{\mathcal{D}}) \subset \operatorname{SU}(n)$.

2.
$$\operatorname{Ric}^{T} = 0.$$

Corollary A.34. [41, §3, Corollary 3.7] Let $(M, \eta, \Phi, \Upsilon)$ be a contact Calabi-Yau manifold. Then (M, η, ξ, Φ, g) is a null-Sasakian and the metric g induced by (η, Φ) is a η -Einstein with $\lambda = 2$ and $\nu = 2n + 2$. In particular the scalar curvature of the metric g associated to (η, Φ) is equal to 2n - 1.

We consider the following two results arising from section [22] which are the following:

Proposition A.35. [22, §6, Proposition 6.5] Let (M, η, ξ, Φ, g) be a compact simply-connected null Sasakian η -Einstein manifold. Then Hol (∇) is contained in SU(n).

Proposition A.36. [22, §6, Proposition 6.6] Let (M, η, ξ, Φ, g) be a compact simply-connected Sasakian manifold with $c_B^1(M) = 0$. Then there exist a unique Sasakian structure $(M, \eta', \xi', \Phi', g')$ and a basic 1-form ζ on M such that

$$\xi' = \xi, \quad \eta' = \eta + \zeta, \quad \Phi' = \Phi - \xi \otimes \zeta \circ \Phi, \quad g' = d\eta' \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Phi') + \eta' \otimes \eta',$$

and the transverse holonomy group of the metric g' is contained in SU(n).

APPENDIX B – 3-Sasakian manifolds

Definition B.1. Let M be a manifold with a family of almost contact structures $\{\xi(\mathbf{r}), \eta(\mathbf{r}), \Phi(\mathbf{r})\}$ parameterized by points $\mathbf{r} = (\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3) \in S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ on a unit sphere. We say $\{\xi(\mathbf{r}), \eta(\mathbf{r}), \Phi(\mathbf{r})\}_{\mathbf{r} \in S^2}$ is an *almost hypercontact structure* on M if

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}) \circ \Phi(\mathbf{r}') - \eta(\mathbf{r}) \otimes \xi(\mathbf{r}') = -\Phi(\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{r}') - (\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}')\mathbf{1}$$

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r})\xi(\mathbf{r}^{1}) = -\xi(\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{r}'), \quad \eta(\mathbf{r}) \circ \Phi(\mathbf{r}') = -\eta(\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{r}')$$

For any two $\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}' \in S^2$. Furthermore, a Riemannian metric g on M is said to be a *compatible* with (or *associated* to) the almost hypercontact structure if

$$g(\Phi(\mathbf{r})X, \Phi(\mathbf{r})Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(\mathbf{r})(X)\eta(\mathbf{r})(Y)$$

for all $\mathbf{r} \in S^2$. In such a case $\{\xi(\mathbf{r}), \eta(\mathbf{r}), \Phi(\mathbf{r}), g\}_{\mathbf{r} \in S^2}$ is called an *almost hypercontact meteric* structure on M.

We can recover the standard definition of the almost contact (metric) 3-structure via a choice of an arbitrary orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ in \mathbb{R}^3 and setting $\Phi(e_a) = \Phi_a$, $\xi(e_a) = \xi_a$ and $\eta(e_a) = \eta_a$. Hence,

Definition B.2. An almost contact structure (metric) 3-structure $\{\xi_a, \eta_a, \Phi_a, g\}_{a=1}^3$ on M is an almost hypercontact (metric) structure together with a choice of an orthonormal frame on \mathbb{R}^3 .

Proposition B.3. [4, §13, Proposition 14.1.4] There is a one to one correspondence between almost hypercontact metric structure $\{\xi(\mathbf{r}), \eta(\mathbf{r}), \Phi(\mathbf{r}), g\}_{\mathbf{r} \in S^2}$ on M and reductions of the frame bundle to the group $\operatorname{Sp}(n) \times \operatorname{id}_3$

Proposition B.4. [4, §13, Proposition 13.1.6] Let M^{4n+3} be a compact manifold with an almost contact 3-structure. Then the only possible non-vanishing Stiefel-Whitney classes are $w_{4i}(M)$. In particular, M is a spin manifold. Furthermore, all the integral Stiefel-Whitney classes $W_i(M)$ must vanish.

Proposition B.5. [4, §13, Theorem 13.1.7] Let M be a compact smooth 7-manifold and let $SU(2) \subset SU(3) \subset G_2 \subset Spin(7)$, where the subgroup of Spin(7) are the stability subgroup fixing one, two, or three spinors, respectively. Then the following condition are equivalent:

- (i) M admits a reduction of the structure group to SU(2),
- (ii) M admits a reduction of the structure group to SU(3),
- (iii) M admits a reduction of the structure group G_2 ,
- (iv) $w_1(M) = w_2(M) = 0.$ i.e., M is orientable and admits a spin structure.

In particular, every compact oriented spin 7-manifold admits an almost hypercontact structure.

Proposition B.6. [4, §13, Theorem 13.4.6] Let M^{4n+3} be a 3-Sasakian homogeneous space. Then M = G/H is precisely one of the following:

$$\frac{\operatorname{Sp}(n+1)}{\operatorname{Sp}(n)}, \quad \frac{\operatorname{Sp}(n+1)}{\operatorname{Sp}(n) \times \mathbb{Z}_2}, \quad \frac{\operatorname{SU}(m)}{S(\operatorname{U}(m-2) \times \operatorname{U}(1))}, \quad \frac{\operatorname{SO}(k)}{\operatorname{SO}(k-4) \otimes \operatorname{Sp}(1)}$$
$$\frac{\operatorname{G}_2}{\operatorname{Sp}(1)}, \quad \frac{\operatorname{F}_4}{\operatorname{Sp}(3)}, \quad \frac{\operatorname{E}_6}{\operatorname{SU}(6)}, \quad \frac{\operatorname{E}_7}{\operatorname{spin}(12)}, \quad \frac{\operatorname{E}_8}{\operatorname{E}_7}.$$

Here $n \ge 0$, Sp(0) denote the trivial group, $m \ge 3$ and $k \ge 7$. Hence, there is one-to-one correspondence between the simple Lie algebra and the simply connected 3-Sasakian homogeneous manifolds.

APPENDIX C - Collapsing manifolds

The compactness of solutions to geometric and analytic equations, when it is true, is fundamental in the study of geometric analysis. In this chapter, we state Hamilton's compactness theorem for solutions of the Ricci flow assuming Cheeger and Gromov's compactness theorem for Riemannian manifolds with bounded geometry. Throughout this chapter, quantities depending on the metric g_k (or $g_k(t)$) will have a subscript k, for instance ∇_k and Rm_k denote the Riemannian connection and Riemannian curvature tensor of g_k . Quantities without a subscript depend on the background metric g.

Let (M^n, g) be a complete Riemannian manifold

Definition C.1. Given $\rho \in (0, \infty]$ and k > 0, we say that the metric g is k-noncollapsed below the scale ρ if for any metric ball B(x, r) with $r < \rho$ satisfying $|Rm(y)| \le r^{-2}$ for all $y \in B(x, r)$ and

$$\frac{\operatorname{vol} B(x,r)}{r^n} \ge k. \tag{C.2}$$

If g is k-noncollapsed below the scale ∞ , we say that g is k-noncollapsed at all scales.

Definition C.3. We say that g is k-collapsed at the scale r at the point x if $|Rm| \le r^{-2}$ for all $y \in B(x, r)$ and

$$\frac{\operatorname{vol}B(x,r)}{r^n} < k \tag{C.4}$$

The metric g is said to be k-collapsed at the scale r if there exist $x \in M$ such that g is k-collapsed at the scale r at the point x.

Thus g is not k-noncollapsed below the scale ρ if and only if there exists $r < \rho$ and $x \in M$ such that g is k-collapsed at the scale r at the point x.

- Remark C.5. 1. If M is closed and flat, then g cannot be k-noncollapsed at all scales since $|Rm| = 0 \le r^{-2}$ for all r and $\operatorname{vol} B(x, r) \le \operatorname{vol}(M)$ so that $\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{vol} B(x, r)}{r^n} = 0$ for all $x \in M$.
 - 2. If (M, g) is a closed Riemannian manifold, then for any $\rho > 0$ there exist k > 0 such that g is k-noncollapsing below the scale ρ .

we have the following elementary scaling propety for k-noncollased metrics.

Lemma C.6. [13] [Scaling property of k-noncollapsed] If a metric g is k-noncollapsed below the scale ρ , then for any $\alpha > 0$ the metric $\alpha^2 g$ is k-noncollapsed below the scale $\alpha \rho$.

In [13] was showed that k-noncollapsing and a lower bound of a injectivity radius are equivalent.

Lemma C.7. [13] Let (M^n, g) be a complete Riemannian manifold and fix $\rho \in (0, \infty]$.

- 1. If the metric g is not k-collapsed $\delta = \delta(n,k)$ which is independent of ρ and g such that for any $x \in M$ and $r < \rho$, if $|Rm| \le r^{-2}$ in B(x,r), then $inj(x) \ge \delta r$.
- 2. Suppose that for any $x \in M$ and $r < \rho$ with $|Rm| \le r^{-2}$ in B(x,r) we have $inj(x) \ge \delta r$ for some $\delta > 0$. Then there exist a constant $k = k(n, \delta)$, independent of ρ and g, such that g is not k-collapsed below the scale ρ .

The property of being k-noncollapsed below the scale ρ is preserved under pointed Cheeger-Gromov limits. We start giving some definitions of convergence of manifolds and Cheeger-Gromov limits. Then we review the definition of C^{∞} -convergence on compact set in a smooth manifold M^n .

Definition C.8 (C^p -convergence). Let $K \subset M$ be a compact set and $\{g_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, g_{∞} and g be a Riemannian metrics on M. For $p \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ we say that g_k converges in C^p to g_{∞} uniformly on K if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $k_0 = k_0(\varepsilon)$ such that for $k \ge k_0$,

$$\sup_{0 \le \alpha \le p} \sup_{x \in K} |\nabla^{\alpha} (g_k - g_{\infty})|_g < \varepsilon, \tag{C.9}$$

where the covariant derivative ∇ is with respect to g.

Note that since we are on a compact set, the choice of a metric g on K does not affect the convergence. For instance, we may choose $g = g_{\infty}$. In regards to C^{∞} -convergence on mannifolds, with the noncompact case in mind, we have tge following. We say that a sequence of open sets $\{U_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in a manifold M^n is an *exhaustion of* M by open sets if for any compact set $K \subset M$ there exist $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \subset U_k$ for all $k \ge k_0$.

Definition C.10 (C^{∞} -convergence uniformly on compact sets). Suppose $\{U_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an exhaustion of a smooth manifold M^n by open sets and g_k are Riemannian metrics on U_k . We say that (U_k, g_k) converges in C^{∞} to (M, g_{∞}) uniformly on compact sets in M if for any compact set $K \subset M$ and any p > 0 there exist $k_0 = k_0(K, p)$ such that $\{g_k\}_{k \geq k_0}$ converges in C^p to g_{∞} uniformly on K.

Definition C.11. A sequence $\{(M_k^n, g_k, O_k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of complete pointed Riemannian manifold converges to complete pointed Riemannian manifold $\{(M_{\infty}, g_{\infty}, O_{\infty})\}$ if there exist

- 1. An exhaustion $\{U_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of M_{∞} by open sets with $O_{\infty} \in U_k$ and
- 2. a sequence of diffeormorphism $\beta_k : U_k \to V_k := \beta_k(U_k) \subset M_k$ with $\beta(O_\infty) = O_k$

such that $(U_k, \beta_k^*[g_k|_{V_k}])$ converges in C^{∞} to (M_{∞}, g_{∞}) uniformly on compact sets in M_{∞} .

When there is a bound on the curvature (recall that when given a singular solution and a suitable sequence of space-time points, the choice of dilation factors is chosen to guarantee this for the associated sequence of solutions) and an injectivity radius estimate for a sequence of solutions for example in the Ricci flow or our case of G_2 -structures is using for Ricci-like flow, which

we explain later. Then, the following compactness theorem provides a subsequence which will converge in the C^{∞} -Cheeger-Gromov sense. Thus, we give a definition which is related to the assumption of bounded curvature.

Definition C.12 (Bounded gemetry). we say that a sequence or family of Riemannian manifolds has *bounded geometry* if there exist positive constant C_p such that

$$|\nabla^p Rm| \le C_p$$

for all $p \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ and for all metrics in this sequence or family. That is the curvature and their covariant derivatives of each order have uniform bounds.

Let $\operatorname{inj}_g(\mathcal{O})$ denote the injectivity radius of the metric g at the point \mathcal{O} . for sequences of Riemannian manifolds we have the following convergence theorems.

Theorem C.13. [13][Compactness for metrics] Let $\{(M_k^n, g_k, \mathcal{O}_k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of complete pointed Riemannian manifolds that satisfy

1. (uniformly bounded geometry)

$$|
abla_k^p Rm|_k \le C_p \quad on \quad M_k$$

for all $p \leq 0$ and k where $C_p < \infty$ is a sequence of constants independent of k and

2. (injectivity radius estimate)

$$\operatorname{inj}_{q_k}(\mathcal{O}_k) \ge i_0 \tag{C.14}$$

for some constant $i_0 > 0$

Then there exist a subsequence $\{j_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\{(M_{j_k}.g_{j_k},\mathcal{O}_{j_k})\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to a complete pointed Riemannian manifold $(M_{\infty},g_{\infty},\mathcal{O}_{\infty})$ as $k\to\infty$.

So, the next lemma says the property of being k-noncollapsed below the scale ρ is preserved (stable) under pointed Cheeger-Gromov limits.

Lemma C.15. [13] Let $\{(M_k^n, g_k, \mathcal{O}_k)\}$ be a sequence of pointed complete Riemannian manifolds. Suppose that there exist k > 0 and $\rho > 0$ so that each (M_k, g_k) is k-noncollapsed below the scale ρ . Furthermore assume that $(M_k, g_k, \mathcal{O}_k)$ convergence to $(M_\infty, g_\infty, \mathcal{O}_\infty)$ in the pointed Cheeger-Gromov C^2 -topology. Then the limit (M_∞, g_∞) is k-noncollapsed below the scale ρ .

To apply Cheeger-Gromov-type compactness theorem to study time singularities, the no local collapsing theorem is evident useful. Before of that, we first give the following relation between volume ratios and injectivity radius in the presence of a curvature bound

Theorem C.16 (Cheeguer-Gromov-Taylor[13]). For any constant c > 0, $r_0 > 0$, there exist a constant $i_0 > 0$ such that if (M^n, g) a n-dimensional compact manifold is a complete Riemannian manifold with $|\text{sect}| \leq 1$ and if $p \in M$ is a point with

$$\frac{\operatorname{vol}(B(p,r))}{r^n} \ge c$$

for all $r \in (0, r_0]$, then

$$\operatorname{inj}(p) \ge i_0$$

Remark C.17. Since $|\text{sect}| \leq 1$, by the Bishop-Gromov comparison theorem, if $\frac{\text{vol}(B(p, r_0))}{r_0^n} \geq c' > 0$, then $\frac{\text{vol}(B(p, r))}{r_0^n} \geq c$ for all $r \in (0, r_0]$, where c depends only on c' and n (see [13]).

Definition C.18. The Riemannian metric g on M^n is said to be k-non-collapsing relative to upper bound of scalar curvature on the scale ρ if for any $B_g(p,r) \subset M$ with $r < \rho$ such that $\sup_{B_g(p,r)} R_g \leq r^{-2}$, we have $\operatorname{vol}_g B_g(p,r) \geq kr^n$.

Definition C.19. we say that \tilde{g} is k-collapsed at the scale r at the point x if $|Rm(y)| \le r^{-2}$ for all $y \in B(x, r)$ and

$$\frac{vol(B(x,r))}{r^n} \le K$$