



UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE CAMPINAS SISTEMA DE BIBLIOTECAS DA UNICAMP REPOSITÓRIO DA PRODUÇÃO CIENTIFICA E INTELECTUAL DA UNICAMP

Versão do arquivo anexado / Version of attached file:

Versão do Editor / Published Version

Mais informações no site da editora / Further information on publisher's website: https://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0103-05822014000100055

DOI: 10.1590/S0103-05822014000100010

Direitos autorais / Publisher's copyright statement:

©2014 by Sociedade de Pediatria de São Paulo. All rights reserved.

DIRETORIA DE TRATAMENTO DA INFORMAÇÃO

Cidade Universitária Zeferino Vaz Barão Geraldo CEP 13083-970 – Campinas SP Fone: (19) 3521-6493 http://www.repositorio.unicamp.br

Metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance in obese adolescents

Síndrome metabólica e resistência à insulina em adolescentes obesos

Síndrome metabólico y resistencia a la insulina en adolescentes obesos

Amanda Oliva Gobato¹, Ana Carolina J. Vasques¹, Mariana Porto Zambon¹, Antonio de Azevedo Barros Filho¹, Gabriel Hessel¹

ABSTRACT

Objective: To verify the prevalence of metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance in obese adolescents and its relationship with different body composition indicators.

Methods: A cross-sectional study comprising 79 adolescents aged ten to 18 years old. The assessed body composition indicators were: body mass index (BMI), body fat percentage, abdominal circumference, and subcutaneous fat. The metabolic syndrome was diagnosed according to the criteria proposed by Cook *et al*. The insulin resistance was determined by the *Homeostasis Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance* (HOMA-IR) index for values above 3.16. The analysis of ROC curves was used to assess the BMI and the abdominal circumference, aiming to identify the subjects with metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance. The cutoff point corresponded to the percentage above the reference value used to diagnose obesity.

Results: The metabolic syndrome was diagnosed in 45.5% of the patients and insulin resistance, in 29.1%. Insulin resistance showed association with HDL-cholesterol (p=0.032) and with metabolic syndrome (p=0.006). All body composition indicators were correlated with insulin resistance (p<0.01). In relation to the cutoff point evaluation, the values of 23.5 and 36.3% above the BMI reference point allowed the identification of insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome. The best cutoff point for abdominal circumference to identify insulin resistance was 40%.

Conclusions: All body composition indicators, HDL-cholesterol and metabolic syndrome showed correla-

tion with insulin resistance. The BMI was the most effective anthropometric indicator to identify insulin resistance.

Keywords: metabolic syndrome x; insulin resistance; obesity; adolescent; body composition; anthropometry.

RESUMO

Objetivo: Verificar a prevalência da síndrome metabólica e da resistência à insulina em adolescentes obesos e sua relação com diferentes indicadores de composição corporal.

Métodos: Estudo transversal com 79 adolescentes de dez a 18 anos. Os indicadores de composição corporal foram: índice de massa corpórea (IMC), porcentagem de gordura corporal, circunferência abdominal e gordura subcutânea. A síndrome metabólica foi diagnosticada segundo os critérios de Cook *et al*. A resistência à insulina foi determinada pelo índice de *Homeostasis Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance* (HOMA-IR) para valores acima de 3,16. Utilizou-se a análise de curvas ROC para avaliar o IMC e a circunferência abdominal, identificando-se os indivíduos com resistência à insulina e síndrome metabólica. O ponto de corte correspondeu ao percentual acima do valor de referência para o diagnóstico de obesidade.

Resultados: A síndrome metabólica foi diagnosticada em 45,5% dos pacientes e a resistência à insulina, em 29,1%. A resistência à insulina apresentou associação com o HDL-colesterol (p=0,032) e com a síndrome metabólica (p=0,006). Todos os indicadores de composição corporal avaliados apresentaram correlação com a resistência à insulina (p<0,01). Na avaliação dos pontos de corte, os valores de 23,5

Instituição: Departamento de Pediatria da Faculdade de Ciências Médicas da Universidade Estadual de Campinas (Unicamp), Campinas, SP, Brasil	Endereço para correspondência: Amanda Oliva Gobato
¹ Faculdade de Ciências Médicas da Unicamp, Campinas, SP, Brasil	Estrada do Jequitibá, 1.750, casa 117 – Condomínio Moinho de Vento – Veneza CEP 13274-610 – Valinhos/SP
	E-mail: nutricionista.amanda@hotmail.com
	Fonte financiadora: Fundo de Apoio ao Ensino, à Pesquisa e à Extensão (Faepex) – processos nº 146365-11, 57256-11 e 57257-11 Conflito de interesse: nada a declarar
	Recebido em: 3/4/2013 Aprovado em: 10/7/2013

e 36,3% acima do valor de referência do IMC permitiram identificar a resistência à insulina e a síndrome metabólica. O melhor ponto de corte da circunferência abdominal para identificar a resistência à insulina foi de 40%.

Conclusões: Todos os indicadores de composição corporal, o HDL-colesterol e a síndrome metabólica apresentaram correlação com a resistência à insulina. O IMC mostrou-se o indicador antropométrico mais eficaz para identificar a resistência à insulina.

Palavras-chave: síndrome x metabólica; resistência à insulina; obesidade; adolescente; composição corporal; antropometria.

RESUMEN

Objetivo: Verificar la prevalencia del síndrome metabólico y de la resistencia a la insulina en adolescentes obesos y su relación con distintos indicadores de composición corporal.

Métodos: Estudio transversal con 79 adolescentes de 10 a 18 años. Los indicadores de composición corporal fueron: índice de masa corporal (IMC), porcentaje de grasa corporal, circunferencia abdominal y grasa subcutánea. El síndrome metabólico fue diagnosticado conforme a los criterios de Cook *et al.* La resistencia a la insulina fue determinada por el índice de *Homeostasis Model Assessment for Insulin Resistence* (HOMA-IR) para valores superiores a 3,16. Se utilizó el análisis de curvas ROC para evaluar el IMC y la circunferencia abdominal, identificándose los individuos con resistencia a la insulina y síndrome metabólico. El punto de corte correspondió al porcentaje superior al valor de referencia para el diagnóstico de obesidad.

Resultados: El síndrome metabólico fue diagnosticado en 45,5% de los pacientes y la resistencia a la insulina, en 29,1%. La resistencia a la insulina presentó asociación con el HDL-colesterol (p=0,032) y con el síndrome metabólico (p=0,006). Todos los indicadores de composición corporal evaluados presentaron correlación con la resistencia a la insulina (p<0,01). En la evaluación de los puntos de corte, los valores de 23,5 y 36,3% por encima del valor de referencia del IMC permitieron identificar la resistencia a la insulina y el síndrome metabólico. El mejor punto de corte de la circunferencia abdominal para identificar la resistencia a la insulina fue de 40%.

Conclusiones: Todos los indicadores de composición corporal, el HDL-colesterol y el síndrome metabólico presentaron correlación con la resistencia a la insulina.

El IMC se mostró el indicador antropométrico más eficaz para identificar la resistencia a la insulina.

Palabras clave: síndrome x metabólico; resistencia a la insulina; obesidad; adolescente; composición corporal; antropometría.

Introduction

Recent data obtained from the Household Budget Survey (HBS) from 2008 to 2009, confirmed the sharp increase in obesity in adolescents over the last 34 years in Brazil. The prevalence increased from 0.4% (1974–1975) to 5.9% (2008–2009) in boys and from 0.7 to 4.0% in girls⁽¹⁾.

The concern with the occurrence of obesity is related to the development of comorbidities and complications caused by excess weight. The fat accumulation is associated with the presence of hypertension and metabolic changes, such as increased triglyceride and blood glucose levels and low HDL-cholesterol⁽²⁾. Metabolic syndrome (MS) is a disorder represented by a set of risk factors for cardiovascular disease and, in children and adolescents, the initial changes of each of the factors of MS may occur in varied associations, which, even with little expression, determine an unfavorable cardiovascular profile for these young people⁽³⁾.

The level of risk for cardiovascular disease in children rises with increasing obesity⁽²⁾. The result is early deposition of fatty plaques in the coronary arteries of adolescents⁽⁴⁾; and the outcome in adulthood is the high incidence of premature mortality from cardiovascular disease in individuals who were obese adolescents^{(5).}

Insulin resistance means a reduced ability of insulin to stimulate glucose utilization. Pancreatic β -cells increase the production and secretion of insulin as a compensatory mechanism (hyperinsulinemia), while glucose tolerance remains normal⁽⁶⁾. Insulin resistance has been identified as a problem of collective health and affects even children and adolescents.

Indicators of body composition are strongly associated with metabolic changes, and it is important to verify their relationship with components of metabolic syndrome^(7,8) and with insulin resistance^(2,9,10).

The assessment of cardiovascular risk by different body composition indexes in adolescents is poorly studied, and the definition of which anthropometric measure correlates better with the components of MS and insulin resistance remains controversial. The identification of anthropometric measures that are associated to cardiovascular risk factors in adolescents may be useful for preventing cardiovascular diseases in the future. Thus, this study examined the prevalence of metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance in obese adolescents, correlating them to different indicators of body composition.

Method

Cross-sectional study with adolescents in the Child and Adolescent Obesity Outpatient Clinic at Hospital de Clínicas da Faculdade de Ciências Médicas (School of Medical Sciences), Universidade Estadual de Campinas (Unicamp). All adolescents treated from April 2011 to May 2012 who presented body mass index (BMI) $\geq P_{97}$ for age and sex, according to the charts of the World Health Organization⁽¹¹⁾ were invited to participate. We analyzed the clinical, laboratory, and ultrasonographic characteristics of 79 patients of both sexes, aged from 10 to 18 years, diagnosed with obesity and whose parents/guardians signed an informed consent form.

The anthropometric techniques used to measure weight and height were recommended by Lohman *et al*⁽¹²⁾. BMI was calculated by the Quetelet index (BMI=weight/height²). Waist circumference was measured with tape measure (Sanny[®]), at the midpoint between the last rib and the upper border of the iliac crest. The values obtained were evaluated using the data proposed by Fernández *et al*⁽¹³⁾, which consider risk measures of waist circumference $\geq P_{75}$, according to gender and age.

The percentage of body fat was measured by dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA), with Hologic[®] appliance, model Discovery QDR series n. 1005-75. The reference criterion for increased fat percentage suggested by Williams *et al*⁽¹⁴⁾ is >25% for boys and >30% for girls, being used as a cutoff point for classification.

Subcutaneous fat was measured by abdominal ultrasound, with a Toshiba[®] appliance, Model Power Vision 6000 and 7.5MHz linear transducer. All examinations were performed by the same examiner, with the patient in supine position after fasting for 12 hours. The transducer was positioned on the midline 1cm above the umbilicus, without exerting any pressure on the abdomen, in order not to underestimate the measurement. Subcutaneous fat was measured as the distance (cm) between the skin and the outer face of the fascia of the rectus abdominis muscle.

Blood pressure was evaluated with mercury sphygmomanometer (Unitec[®]), using cuff sizes appropriate to the patient's arm circumference, according to the procedures recommended by The Brazilian Society of Hypertension. Hypertension was defined from blood pressure values $\ge P_{95}$ for age, sex, and height percentile.

For laboratory analysis of biochemical tests, blood samples were collected by venipuncture in the morning after fasting for 12 hours. Analysis of fasting blood glucose and lipid profile was performed by enzymatic colorimetric with reagent kit from Roche Diagnostics Lab[®]. To quantitate basal insulin, we used reagent kit by Lab Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics® and chemiluminescence method. Diagnosis of diabetes mellitus was established in patients with fasting glucose ≥ 126 mg/dL. We considered an abnormal lipid profile when the following values were obtained: total cholesterol $\geq 150 \text{ mg/dL}$, LDL-cholesterol ≥100mg/dL, HDL-cholesterol <45mg/dL, and triglycerides ≥100mg/dL. The diagnosis of insulin resistance was determined by Homeostasis Model Assessment index for Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR), which is the product of fasting insulin (mIU/mL) and fasting glucose (mmol/L) divided by 22.5. Insulin resistance was defined when values were above 3.16(15).

MS was diagnosed according to data from Cook *et al*⁽³⁾, considering the presence of three or more of the following criteria: triglycerides ≥ 100 mg/dL, fasting glucose ≥ 110 mg/dL, HDL-cholesterol ≤ 40 mg/dL, systolic or diastolic blood pressure $\geq P_{90}$ for age, sex, and height percentile, and waist circumference $\geq P_{90}$ for age and sex.

Data were analyzed in the IBM Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version 20.0. A descriptive analysis of continuous variables included the calculation of means and their respective standard deviations, whereas categorical variables had their percentages calculated. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to assess normality of distribution of variables. For variables with normal distribution, Pearson's correlation test was used and, for those who did not present normal distribution, Spearman's correlation test was used. The comparison between two independent groups was performed by Student's t test for variables that passed the normality test; for variables with n<30 or without normal distribution, we used the Mann-Whitney's test. The Kruskall-Wallis test was used to compare the distribution of variables by tertiles of the HOMA-IR. We used the Bonferroni's post-hoc test for comparison between groups.

The hypothesis of dependence between categorical variables was analyzed by chi-square or Fisher's exact test, when appropriate. Values for *Odds Ratio* (OR) and their respective confidence intervals of 95% (95%CI) were calculated to assess the strength of dependence between the categorical variables.

The analysis of the ROC (receiver operating characteristic curve) curves, performed with MedCalc 9.3., was used to evaluate the performance of BMI and waist circumference, identifying, among obese individuals, those with insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome. As this is a population of adolescents with cutoffs for classification of BMI and waist circumference that vary according to sex and age, we used the increased percentage of BMI and waist circumference regarding the reference value for the diagnosis of obesity (P_{75} for waist circumference and P_{97} for BMI) in determined age and sex. The areas under the ROC curves were calculated to evaluate the discriminating power of the variables (BMI to identify MS and insulin resistance, and waist circumference to identify insulin resistance). We used 95%CI. We calculated the sensitivity and specificity values for all percentages of increased BMI and waist circumference in the sample. The cutoff point with the highest sum of sensitivity and specificity was chosen to optimize the relationship between these two parameters, reflecting greater accuracy in the diagnosis. The level of significance established was less than 5% (p<0.05) for all tests.

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the School of Medical Sciences at Unicamp, in December 2010, under number 872/2010. (mean 12.8 years), treated at the Child and Adolescent Obesity Outpatient Clinic at Hospital de Clínicas da Faculdade de Ciências Médicas, Unicamp.

The percentage of body fat differed significantly between males and females being higher in girls. Insulin resistance measured by HOMA-IR, as well as serum insulin, also differed significantly; likewise, the values were higher in girls (Table 1).

MS was diagnosed in 36 patients (45.5%), 19 (52.8%) females and 17 (47.2%) females. The mean HOMA-IR was significantly higher in patients with SM, as well as BMI and LDL-cholesterol.

Among the analyzed patients, 23 (29.1%) presented insulin resistance, with 13 (56.5%) females and 10 (43.5%) males. The means for waist circumference, BMI, subcutaneous fat, and percentage of body fat were greater for patients with insulin resistance. Mean HDL-cholesterol was significantly lower in the patients with insulin resistance(Table 2).

When the association test was applied, insulin resistance was significantly associated with HDL-cholesterol that was below the amount considered appropriate by the Brazilian Society of Cardiology in 19 (82.6%) patients (p=0.032; OR=3.56; 95%CI 1.07–11.84) — and with MS (p=0.006; OR=4.11; 95%CI 1.45–11.67).

Results

In the present study 79 patients were evaluated, among which 39 (49.3%) were female, aged from 10 to 18 years

All indicators of body composition were positively correlated with insulin resistance. Regarding the components of MS, only the systolic and diastolic pressures were significantly correlated with BMI, waist circumference, and

Table 1 - Clinical and biochemical characteristics of the analyzed adolescents, according to sex

	S	Sex	Tetel	p-value*	
	Female	Male			
	(n=39)	(n=40)	(n=79)	-	
Age (years)	12.9±2.7	12.7±1.9	12.8 ±2.3	0.750	
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	111.0±12.0	116.0±17.0	114.0±15.0	0.194	
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	73.0±10.0	75.0±11.0	74.0±11.0	0.393	
Waist circumference (cm)	100.6±10.7	102.8±14.3	101.7±12.6	0.372	
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	32.2±4.9	31.8±5.6	32.0±5.2	0.610	
Body fat (%)	43.3±3.8	39.9±5.7	41.6±5.1	0.003	
Subcutaneous fat (cm)	4.2±0.8	4.2±1.2	4.2±1.0	0.600	
Fasting glucose (mg/dL)	81.8±7.6	84.6±5.9	83.2±6.9	0.037	
Fasting insulin (uUI/mL)	14.3±8.4	9.9±8.1	12.1±8.5	0.009	
HOMA-IR	2.9±2.0	2.0±1.6	2.5±1.9	0.020	
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	162.0±46.0	163.0±34.0	163.0±40.0	0.750	
LDL-cholesterol (mg/dL)	101.0±42.0	101.0±30.0	101.0±36.0	0.638	
HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL)	42.0±9.0	42.0±9.0	42.0±9.0	0.795	
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	99.0±45.0	102.0±61.0	101.0±54.0	0.875	

*Mann-Whitney's test for all variables; HOMA-IR: Homeostasis Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance

	Insulin r	esistance	_	Metabolic	syndrome	_
_	Absent	Present	<i>p</i> -value*	Absent	Present	<i>p</i> -value**
	(n=56)	(n=23)		(n=43)	(n=36)	
Age (years)	12.81±2.4	12.8±2.1	0.750	12.7±2.4	12.9±2.3	0.644
BMI (kg/m ²)	30.6±4.6	35.3±5.3	0.001	30.5±4.4	33.8±5.6	0.006
BF (%)	40.3±5.1	44.7±3.6	0.001	41.3±4.6	41.9±5.8	0.645
SF (cm)	4.0±0.9	4.8±1.1	0.003	4.1±1.1	4.3±1.0	0.338
TC (mg/dL)	165.0±45.0	158.0±25.0	0.456	155.0±36.0	172.0±43.0	0.061
LDL-cholesterol (mg/dL)	102.0±41.0	98.0±20.0	0.706	93.0±31.0	110.0±40.0	0.036
HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL)	44.0±9.0	38.0±8.0	0.006	_	_	_
TG (mg/dL)	95.0±56.0	114.0±47.0	0.051	_	_	_
SBP (mmHg)	113.0±16.0	114.0±11.0	0.356	_	_	_
DBP (mmHg)	73.0±11.0	76.0±9.0	0.182	_	_	_
WC (cm)	98.7±11.2	109.0±13.1	0.002	_	_	_
Insulin (uUI/mL)	_	_	-	9.6±6.6	15.1±9.6	0.005
HOMA-IR	_	_	_	1.9±1.3	3.1±2.2	0.004

Table 2 - Characteristics of the subjects according to insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome

*Mann-Whitney's test for variables related to insulin resistance; **t test for variables related to metabolic syndrome

BMI: body mass index; BF: body fat; SF: subcutaneous fat; TC: total cholesterol; TG: triglycerides; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; WC: waist circumference; HOMA-IR: Homeostasis Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance

 Table 3 - Correlations between anthropometric and body
 composition indicators with components of metabolic syndrome
 and insulin resistance
 composition
 <thcomposition</th>
 composition
 composi

	%BF	BMI	WC	SF
	r	r	r	r
Systolic blood pressure	0.099	0.501*	0.564*	0.319*
Diastolic blood pressure	0.053	0.471*	0.534*	0.329*
Fasting glucose	-0.025	0.151	0.125	0.165
HDL-cholesterol	0.025	-0.134	-0.071	-0.028
Triglycerides	0.003	0.003	0.162	-0.069
HOMA-IR	0.347*	0.469*	0.428*	0.388*
Number of factors for MS	0.064	0.295*	-	0.196

%BF: percentage of body fat; BMI: body mass index; WC: waist circumference; SF: subcutaneous fat; HOMA-IR: Homeostasis Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance; MS: metabolic syndrome. **p*<0.01

subcutaneous fat. By correlating the number of factors for MS, only BMI was significant. The lipid profile and the glucose levels were not significantly correlated with any of the indicators of body composition (Table 3).

Table 4 shows that the distribution of the indicators of body composition showed significant differences with the progressive increase in insulin resistance. It is noteworthy that, for BMI, the differences between the tertiles were even more pronounced. In the evaluation of cutoffs, the percentage values of 23.5 and 36.3 above the reference value of BMI enabled the identification of insulin resistance and MS, respectively, as they reached the largest sum between the values of sensitivity and specificity. The best cutoff point for waist circumference to identify insulin resistance was 40% higher than P_{75} .

The sensitivity and specificity obtained for the cutoff points of BMI and waist circumference, using as an outcome the presence of metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance, are shown in Figure 1.

Discussion

There was a high percentage of MS in the studied population (45.5%). Published data reported prevalence rates of MS among 28.7 and 44% in obese adolescents^(3,16-18). Possibly, the high frequency found in this study results from the sample coming from a University service that treats the most severe cases of obesity. The diversity of prevalence rates can be explained by the fact that there is no universal criterion available to diagnose metabolic syndrome in children and adolescents regarding its components and cutoff points^(3,19,20). Chen and Berenson⁽²¹⁾ highlighted the limited criteria to characterize MS in children and adolescents and reinforced the importance of a universal definition. However, it remains worrisome that almost 50% of patients, still quite young
 Table 4 - Distribution of components of metabolic syndrome and indicators of body composition according to tertiles of insulin

 resistance in subjects evaluated

		Insulin resistance				
	1st tertile 0.37–1.56	2nd tertile	3rd tertile	<i>p</i> -value		
		1.57-3.05	3.06-10.44			
	n=27	n=26	n=26			
Waist circumference (cm)*	95.7±10.7	101.9±11.6	107.7±13.0	0.007		
Body mass index (kg/m ²)*	29.3±3.7	32.1±5.1	34.7±5.5	0.001		
Body fat (%)*	39.9±6.0	40.9±4.4	44.0±3.9	0.008		
Subcutaneous fat (cm)*	3.8±1.0	4.1±0.9	4.7±1.1	0.012		

*Kruskal-Wallis test: difference between the 1st and the 3rd tertile



WC: waist circumference; HOMA-IR: Homeostasis Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance; BMI: body mass index; MS: metabolic syndrome; AUC: area under the curve; SE: standard error; 95%CI: 95% confidence interval

Figure 1 - Area under the curve, cutoff, sensitivity and specificity for anthropometric indicators in the identification of insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome

(mean age 12.8 years), are referred to the Obesity Clinic with a number of associated comorbidities.

Studies sought to determine the features associated with MS in different age groups. In the present study, there was a higher correlation coefficient between the number of factors for MS and BMI, when compared to other measures of body composition⁽⁸⁾. The presence of risk factors for MS seems to be more frequent in obese children compared to those with normal weight or overweight, demonstrating

that increased values of BMI increase the occurrence of other risk factors for $MS^{(2,8)}$.

Insulin resistance as assessed by HOMA-IR was observed in 29.1% of the population studied. Higher prevalences were published by other authors^(17,22). This variation in the prevalence of insulin resistance in the studies can be explained by the lack of a reference cutoff point to rate the results of the patients^(15,23).

It was observed an association between insulin resistance and decreased HDL-cholesterol in the present study. This relationship was also noted by other authors in adolescents⁽⁹⁾. This finding agrees with the hypothesis that the production of HDL-cholesterol is linked to the degradation of LDL-cholesterol particles, which are impaired by insulin resistance⁽²⁴⁾.

Insulin resistance was significantly correlated with all indicators of body composition and is consistent with other studies^(2,9,10). When adolescences were grouped into tertiles, according to the HOMA-IR to check if the indicators of body composition increase according to insulin resistance, it was observed that all indicators of body composition (BMI, body fat percentage, waist circumference, and subcutaneous fat) raised their means as the values of HOMA- IR increased.

For Sinaiko *et al*⁽²⁵⁾, insulin resistance correlates significantly with body fat. We found similar data in a study conducted in the United States, in which obese, overweight, and normal weight children and adolescents were evaluated, noting that the values of insulin resistance differed according to the degree of obesity, which shows that the interaction of the factors obesity duration and amount of body fat can be the cause of an increased insulin resistance⁽²⁾.

Among girls, the mean percentage of body fat and insulin resistance were significantly higher⁽⁷⁾. The difference in body fat distribution between the sexes may explain this increase in the percentage of body fat in girls, as there is a redistribution of fat from the extremities to the trunk. This distribution differs between the sexes, because these changes are associated with the levels of estrogen and testosterone. The increase in the percentage of body fat in girls may be associated with the significantly higher means of insulin resistance.

Given their peculiarities, prepubertal children have been studied separately from those already in puberty, in which the effects of hormonal changes are already present, possibly contributing to the exacerbation of insulin resistance⁽²⁶⁾. In this regard, it is known that insulin and HOMA-IR increase with the progress of puberty. There is evidence that insulin resistance varies according to pubertal development: it increases significantly between Tanner stages 1 and 2, remains stable in stages 2, 3, and 4, and drops significantly in stage 5⁽²⁷⁾. In the present study, there were no differences in HOMA- IR regarding age group in the groups with and without insulin resistance. This resistance, physiologically induced by puberty, should not be interpreted as a bias in the estimated frequency of its presence. The fact that puberty may have an effect on the prevalence of insulin resistance can be viewed as a major factor in the development of adiposity and in the metabolic complications that can be generated⁽²⁸⁾.

As for the association of MS with insulin resistance, it was observed that in the presence of MS, patients presented a 4.1-fold increased chance of developing insulin resistance. The mean insulin resistance also differed positively when patients with and without MS were compared. Thus, it was demonstrated that the prevalence of risk factors for MS rises with the increase of insulin resistance. In other studies with humans, there was also association between insulin resistance and MS^(2,22).

When MS components were correlated with indicators of body composition, it was found that systolic and diastolic blood pressures were positively correlated with $BMI^{(2,9,10)}$, waist circumference^(9,10), and subcutaneous fat. Casonatto *et al*⁽²⁹⁾ observed that adolescents from 10 to 13 years with high waist circumference had mean values of blood pressure significantly higher than those with normal waist circumference, concluding that abdominal obesity is associated with increased blood pressure in adolescents.

In the present study, there was no positive correlation between indicators of body composition with HDLcholesterol, triglycerides, and fasting glucose. These data seem controversial when compared to other studies. Similarly, Weiss *et al*⁽²⁾ found no correlation between BMI and triglycerides, unlike Nasreddine *et al*⁽⁹⁾, who observed a positive correlation of BMI and waist circumference with HDL-cholesterol and triglycerides, but found no correlation between BMI and waist circumference with fasting glucose either.

Anthropometric variables, BMI, and waist circumference were significant predictors of insulin resistance, and BMI presented predictive power for MS. However, BMI appears to be more effective in predicting insulin resistance when compared to waist circumference. It is believed that the use of anthropometric indexes is relevant when it has advantages, such as speed of execution and no need of additional tests.

The cutoff points found in the studied group are applicable only to obese adolescents. Due to the increasing prevalence of childhood obesity, which results in increased morbidity and mortality from cardiovascular disease in adulthood, the establishment of a cutoff point for BMI and waist circumference to identify insulin resistance and MS can be useful to identify children at increased cardiovascular risk.

A possible limitation of this study relates to the use of the measure of subcutaneous fat, because there are no studies in the literature involving obese adolescents and comparing insulin resistance and components of MS with subcutaneous fat, since the measurement of visceral fat is more commonly used due to its higher correlation with cardiovascular diseases.

References

- Brasil Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística. Pesquisa de Orçamentos Familiares 2008-2009: antropometria e estado nutricional de crianças, adolescentes e adultos no Brasil. Rio de Janeiro: IBGE; 2010.
- Weiss R, Dziura J, Burgert TS, Tamborlane WV, Taksali SE, Yeckel CW *et al.* Obesity and the metabolic syndrome in children and adolescents. N Engl J Med 2004;350;2362-74.
- Cook S, Weitzman M, Auinger P, Nguyen M, Dietz WH. Prevalence of a metabolic syndrome phenotype in adolescents: findings from the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988-1994. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2003;157:821-7.
- Berenson GS, Srinivasan SR, Bao W, Newman WP 3rd, Tracy RE, Wattigney WE. Association between multiple cardiovascular risk factors and atherosclerosis in children and young adults: the Bogalusa Heart Study. N Engl J Med 1998;338:1650-6.
- Must A, Jacques PF, Dallal GE, Bajema CJ, Dietz WH. Long-term morbidity and mortality of overweight adolescents. A follow-up of the Harvard Growth Study of 1922 to 1935. N Engl J Med 1992;327:1350-5.
- Oliveira CL, Mello MT, Cintra IP, Fisberg M. Obesity and metabolic syndrome in infancy and adolescence. Rev Nutr 2004;17:237-45.
- Alvarez MM, Vieira AC, Sichieri R, Veiga GV. Association between central body anthropometric measures and metabolic syndrome components in a probabilistic sample of adolescents from public schools. Arq Bras Endrocrinol Metab 2008;52:649-57.
- Ferreira AP, Nóbrega OT, França NM. Association of body mass index and insulin resistance with metabolic syndrome in Brazilian children. Arq Bras Cardiol 2009;93:147-53.
- Nasreddine L, Naja F, Tabet M, Habbal MZ, El-Aily A, Haikal C *et al*. Obesity is associated with insulin resistance and components of the metabolic syndrome in Lebanese adolescents. Ann Hum Biol 2012;39:122-8.
- Reinehr T, Wunsch R. Relationships between cardiovascular risk profile, ultrasonographic measurement of intra-abdominal adipose tissue, and waist circumference in obese children. Clin Nutr 2010;29:24-30.
- 11. World Health Organization [homepage on the Internet]. Growth reference 5-19 years [cited 2013 Jan 10]. Available from: http://www.who.int/growthref/en/
- Lohman TG, Roche AF, Martorell R. Anthropometric standardization reference manual. Champaign: Human Kinetics; 1988.
- Fernández JR, Redden DT, Pietrobelli A, Allison DB. Waist circumference percentiles in nationally representative samples of African-American, European-American, and Mexican-American children and adolescents. J Pediatr 2004;145:439-44.
- 14. Williams DP, Going SB, Lohman TG, Harsha DW, Srinivasan SR, Webber LS *et al.* Body fatness and risk for elevated blood pressure, total cholesterol, and serum lipoprotein ratios in children and adolescents. Am J Public Health 1992;82:358-63.
- 15. Keskin M, Kurtoglu S, Kendirci M, Atabek ME, Yazici C. Homeostasis model assessment is more reliable than the fasting glucose/insulin ratio and quantitative insulin sensitivity check index for assessing insulin resistance among obese children and adolescents. Pediatrics 2005;115:e500-3.

We conclude that the prevalence of metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance in obese adolescents is high. Indicators of body composition (BMI, body fat percentage, waist circumference, and subcutaneous fat) were positively correlated with insulin resistance, but only BMI was correlated with components of MS. Insulin resistance was significantly associated with HDL-cholesterol and with MS, and the BMI was the most effective anthropometric indicator in identifying insulin resistance.

- Cook S, Auinger P, Li C, Ford ES. Metabolic syndrome rates in United States adolescents, from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999-2002. J Pediatr 2008;152:165-70.
- Souza MS, Leme RB, Franco RR, Romaldini CC, Tumas R, Cardoso AL *et al.* Metabolic syndrome in obese and overweight adolescents. Rev Paul Pediatr 2007;25:214-20.
- Stabelini Neto A, Bozza R, Ulbrich A, Mascarenhas LP, Boguszewski MC, Campos W. Metabolic syndrome in adolescents of diferrent nutritional status. Arq Bras Endocrinol Metab 2012;56:104-9.
- De Ferranti SD, Gauvreau K, Ludwig DS, Newfeld EJ, Newburger JW, Rifai N. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in American adolescents: findings from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Circulation 2004;110:2494-7.
- Zimmet P, Alberti KG, Kaufman F, Tajima N, Silink M, Arslanian S *et al.* The metabolic syndrome in children and adolescents – an IDF consensus report. Pediatr Diabetes 2007;8:299-306.
- 21. Chen W, Berenson GS. Metabolic syndrome: definition and prevalence in children. J Pediatr (Rio J) 2007;83:1-3.
- Juárez-López C, Klünder-Klünder M, Medina-Bravo P, Madrigal-Azcárate A, Mass-Díaz E, Flores-Huerta S. Insulin resistance and its association with the components of the metabolic syndrome among obese children and adolescents. BMC Public Health 2010;10:318.
- Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. Diabetologia 1985;28:412-9.
- Reinehr T, de Sousa G, Andler W. Longitudinal analyses among overweight, insulin resistance, and cardiovascular risk factors in children. Obes Res 2005;13:1824-33.
- 25. Sinaiko AR, Steinberger J, Moran A, Prineas RJ, Vessby B, Basu S *et al.* Relation of body mass index and insulin resistance to cardiovascular risk factors, inflammatory factors, and oxidative stress during adolescence. Circulation 2005;111:1985-91.
- 26. Madeira IR, Carvalho CN, Gazolla FM, de Matos HJ, Borges MA, Bordallo MA. Cut-off point for Homeostatic Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR) index established from Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve in the detection of metabolic syndrome in overweight pre-pubertal children. Arq Bras Endocrinol Metabol 2008;52:1466-73.
- 27. Pankow JS, Jacobs DR Jr, Steinberger J, Moran A, Sinaiko AR. Insulin resistance and cardiovascular disease risk factors in children of parents with the insulin resistance (metabolic) syndrome. Diabetes Care 2004; 27:775-80.
- Druet C, Dabbas M, Baltakse V, Payen C, Jouret B, Baud C *et al.* Insulin resistance and the metabolic syndrome in obese French children. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 2006;64:672-8.
- Casonatto J, Ohara D, Christofaro DG, Fernandes RA, Milanez V, Dias DF et al. High blood pressure and abdominal obesity in adolescents. Rev Paul Pediatr 2011;29:567-71.