Suppression of Anderson localization of light and Brewster anomalies in disordered superlattices containing a dispersive metamaterial
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Light propagation through one-dimensional disordered structures composed of alternating layers, with random thicknesses, of air and a dispersive metamaterial is theoretically investigated. We have established that Anderson localization of light may be suppressed: (i) in the long-wavelength limit, for a finite angle of incidence which depends on the parameters of the dispersive metamaterial; (ii) for isolated frequencies and for specific angles of incidence, corresponding to Brewster anomalies in both positive- and negative-refraction regimes of the dispersive metamaterial. On the other hand, in the long-wavelength limit, the localization length tends to a constant value for sufficiently large angles of incidence, in contrast to what is generally expected for disordered systems. We also find that delocalization at the very edge of a band gap is possible, a result which could be explored to observe slow light propagation in disordered photonic structures.
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Advances in metamaterials have allowed the development of unusual optical properties, with no counterpart in natural media, opening up new frontiers in photonics. For instance, metamaterials exhibit negative refraction,1 resolve images beyond the diffraction limit,2 exhibit optical magnetism,3,4 act as an electromagnetic cloak,5,6 and yield slow light propagation.7 Notwithstanding the wide range of new physical phenomena unveiled so far, there are fundamental issues that are still not fully understood. Anderson localization (AL) of light in disordered metamaterials is certainly one of them. The concept of AL was originally conceived in the context of condensed-matter physics as the vanishing of electronic diffusion in disordered systems.8 Being an interference wave phenomenon, this concept has been extended to light, acoustic waves, and even Bose-Einstein condensed-matter waves.9 In the case of light, its vector character, together with the recent development of metamaterials with unusual electromagnetic properties such as the possibility of optical magnetism, should lead to interesting particularities in AL. On the one hand, the vector character of light is at the origin of a polarization-induced anomalous delocalization effect in one-dimensional (1D) disordered systems (for which the vast majority of states is localized10) without metamaterials.11 On the other hand, the presence of dispersionless metamaterials in a 1D disordered superlattice is predicted to lead to an anomalous λd dependence for the localization length in the long-wavelength λ limit, in contrast to the well-known λ2 dependence generally expected for conventional disordered systems, resulting in a suppression of Anderson localization.12 Here we show that when one allows for both dispersion of the metamaterial and oblique incidence on these disordered superlattices, a wealth of unexpected features of AL in 1D arises. We find extended states at Brewster angles for both transverse magnetic (TM) and transverse electrical (TE) modes, a high transmission peak at the very edge of a band gap, and unusual behavior of the localization length in the long-wavelength limit, which can even be constant for finite incidence angles, in contrast to what is generally expected for conventional disordered systems. Therefore, taking into account dispersion is not only crucial to ensure a positive electromagnetic energy density13,14 but it actually leads to unusual features of AL.

We consider light propagation through randomly perturbed 1D photonic heterostructures composed of alternating layers of nondispersive right-handed (RH) materials (labeled A) and dispersive left-handed (LH) metamaterials (M). We model our system as a stack of alternating layers of air (εA = μA = 1) and of a Drude-type metamaterial, whose responses for the dielectric permittivity and magnetic permeability are given by

\begin{equation}
\epsilon_M(\omega) = \epsilon_0 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2}, \quad \mu_M(\omega) = \mu_0 - \frac{\omega_m^2}{\omega^2},
\end{equation}

such that \( \nu_p = \omega_p/(2\pi\epsilon_0) \) and \( \nu_m = \omega_m/(2\pi\mu_0) \) are the frequencies associated with the electric and magnetic plasmon modes, respectively. We have followed previous work15 and use \( \epsilon_0 = 1.21 \) and \( \mu_0 = 1.0 \) in Eq. (1). Disorder is introduced by allowing the widths of the A and M components at the jth layer to fluctuate around their respective mean values, \( a_j \) and \( b_j \), where the random variables \( \delta_j^A, \delta_j^M \) are homogeneously distributed in the interval \([-\Delta/2, \Delta/2]\). The localization length \( \xi \) is calculated numerically using the standard definition,10

\begin{equation}
\xi^{-1} = -\lim_{L \to \infty} \left( \frac{\ln[|T|]}{L} \right),
\end{equation}

where \( T \) is the transmission coefficient and \( L \) is the total stack length, \( L = \sum_{j=1}^{N} (a_j + b_j) \), with \( N \) being the total number of double layers; \( \langle \cdots \rangle \) denotes configurational average.

The transmission coefficient is given by16
\[ T = \frac{2Z}{Z(M_{22} + M_{11}) - Z^2M_{12} - M_{21}}, \]

where \( Z = \cos \theta \), with \( \theta \) being the angle of incidence, and the elements of the transfer matrix \( M_{ij} \) are defined as

\[ M = \begin{bmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad M = \prod_{j=1}^{N} M_j^{(A)}M_j^{(M)}, \]

with

\[ M_j^{(x)} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos q_x^x & f_x^{-1} \sin q_x^x \\ f_x \sin q_x^x & \cos q_x^x \end{bmatrix}, \quad x = A, M, \]

\[ q_x = (\omega/c)u_x(\omega, \theta), \quad u_\alpha(\omega, \theta) = \sqrt{\varepsilon_\alpha(\omega)}\mu_\alpha(\omega) - \sin^2 \theta ; \quad \text{for incident TE and TM waves, the coefficients} \quad f_x = \begin{cases} f_x^{TE} = u_x(\omega, \theta)/\mu_x(\omega), & f_x^{TM} = u_x(\omega, \theta)/\varepsilon_x(\omega). \end{cases} \]

For an infinitely periodic structure without disorder, Eqs. (5) and (6) lead to the dispersion relation

\[ \cos(kd) = \cos(q_A^a)\cos(q_M^b) - \frac{F_x^2}{2} \sin(q_A^a)\sin(q_M^b), \]

where \( F_x = (f_M/f_A) \pm (f_A/f_M) \), with \( f_x \) corresponding to TM or TE waves; \( d = a + b \) is the period of the system and \( k \) is the Bloch wave vector along the direction of the axis of the periodic photonic crystal.

The numerical simulations are supplemented by a generalization (to the case of oblique incidence) of an analytic expression for \( \xi \) derived by Izrailev and Makarov (IM) for bilayered photonic structures,\(^{17}\) valid for weak disorder (small fluctuating widths in our case),

\[ \xi^{-1} = \frac{F_x^2}{8d^2 \sin^2(kd)} \left[ q_A^2\sigma_A^2 \sin^2(q_M^b) + q_M^2\sigma_M^2 \sin^2(q_A^a) \right], \]

where \( \sigma^2 = \langle \delta^2 \rangle \). For homogeneous random perturbations with the same amplitude on both layers, one has \( \sigma_A^2 = \sigma_M^2 = \Delta^2/12 \).

Let us first examine the band diagram for a perfectly periodic structure, as given by Eq. (7), and shown in Fig. 1(a) for TE waves. Dispersion leads to the appearance of two non-Bragg gaps: one at a frequency \( \omega = 4\pi \) GHz, corresponding to the vanishing of the average refraction index of the structure (\( n=0 \)), and the other, at \( \omega = 6\pi \) GHz, which only occurs for oblique incidence, \( \theta \neq 0 \), and is a consequence of the excitation of electric and magnetic (for incident TM and TE waves, respectively) plasmon polaritons.\(^{18}\)

Figure 1(a) also shows the usual Bragg gap at \( \omega = 15\pi \) GHz. Figure 1(b) shows the localization length \( \xi \) for TE waves as a function of frequency, for \( \theta = \pi/6 \). Before discussing the actual results, two comments are in order: first, we have confirmed that the system is self-averaging, i.e., the behavior of \( \xi \) calculated from a single realization of disorder, for a system made up of a sufficiently large number of layers, does not differ significantly from that obtained through Eq. (2), considering many disorder realizations for a system not so large; second, the overall agreement between

\[ \xi \rightarrow \infty \text{ when } L \rightarrow \infty, \]

hence leading to light delocalization. This is a manifestation of the “Brewster anomaly,”\(^{11}\) corresponding to the situation in which no reflection occurs in 1D disordered optical systems at specific incident angles (Brewster angles, \( \theta_B \)). To see how this comes about, one first considers nonmagnetic materials (\( \mu_M = 1 \)) and a TM wave incident on an interface at an angle \( \theta_B \); there is no reflected component since the induced electric field cannot radiate along its own axis. Multiple reflections are therefore eliminated along the medium and lo-
localization is suppressed. For the metamaterials considered here ($\mu_M, \epsilon_M \neq 1$), the reflected field is a result of radiation from both electric and magnetic dipoles, thus explaining the presence of sharp peaks in $g$ both for TM and TE waves; Brewster angles for TM and TE waves have been observed at RH-LH interfaces for perfectly ordered metamaterials.\textsuperscript{19} It is important to emphasize that the Brewster anomaly may occur at the vicinity of the $\pi=0$ band gap; this result holds for a wide range of angles of incidence. Therefore, the combination of a Brewster anomaly with vanishing group velocity at the gap edges might be explored to experimentally achieve slow light pulse propagation\textsuperscript{20} in a metamaterial superlattice.

Further insight into the frequency dependence of the Brewster angles can be obtained by examining the conditions for their occurrence within the framework of the generalized IM theory. According to Eq. (9), $g$ diverges when $F_\perp \rightarrow 0$, which occurs for values of $\theta$ such that

$$\cos^2 \theta = g(\omega) = \frac{\epsilon_M(\omega)\mu_M(\omega) - 1}{p^2(\omega) - 1}, \quad (9)$$

where $p(\omega) = \mu_M(\omega)$ for TE waves and $p(\omega) = \epsilon_M(\omega)$ for TM waves. To illustrate this condition, Fig. 2 shows the frequency dependence of the Brewster angles, as calculated from Eq. (9), with the dispersive relations given by Eq. (1), for both TM and TE configurations. One sees that, as $\theta$ increases, one goes from a regime in which the anomalies are present for three frequencies (corresponding to two TE and one TM modes, cf. Fig. 2 for $\theta = \pi/6$) to one in which an additional TM mode appears. Equation (9) also puts in evidence that it is crucial to take dispersion into account in order to determine the values of Brewster angles in metamaterials.

Figure 3 shows the simulation results for $g$ for the specified set of parameters; from now on we refer to $2\pi c/\omega$ as the (vacuum) wavelength $\lambda$. First we note that, for normal incidence, $g \approx \lambda^2$, similar to the behavior found in Ref. 12. However, this power-law behavior is already lost for very small angles of incidence (e.g., for $\theta = \pi/100$); nevertheless, $g$ may be larger than the system size in the long-wavelength limit for small angles, $\theta \approx \pi/12$, thus suppressing localization. The long-wavelength delocalization for normal incidence is due to the fact that we assumed $\omega_p = \omega_m$. Indeed, the low-frequency limit of the diverging condition, Eq. (9), yields $\cos \theta - \omega_p/\omega_m$ for TE waves ($\omega_m/\omega_p$ for TM waves) so that $\theta = 0$; by the same token, for $\omega_p \neq \omega_m$, light delocalization in the low-frequency regime occurs at $\theta = \cos^{-1}[(\omega_p/\omega_m)]^{1/2}$, which highlights the importance of allowing for oblique incidence. For larger angles ($\theta \approx \pi/12$), Fig. 3 reveals that the localization length tends to a constant in the low-frequency limit for certain incidence angles. This result, which again emphasizes the importance of considering oblique incidence, is in sharp contrast to what occurs for conventional disordered optical systems, where the behavior $g \approx \lambda^2$ is expected for long wavelengths.\textsuperscript{10} Therefore, for larger angles ($\theta \approx \pi/12$) the only mechanism for suppression of localization corresponds to the Brewster anomalies at well-defined wavelengths: for TE modes and for a given angle of incidence, localization is suppressed at two different wavelengths, one in the negative- and one in the positive-refraction regions of
the metamaterial; for the TM modes, as discussed in connection with Fig. 2, one must reach a critical angle of incidence before a Brewster anomaly emerges in the positive-refraction regime.

Finally, as dispersive metamaterials are intrinsically absorptive, comments regarding losses are in order. The resonant nature of the electromagnetic response of the metamaterial, notably the magnetic one, is the main source of dissipation; other sources of dissipation, such as surface roughness and interface effects, do exist and constitute a major hurdle in the development of metamaterials. In the present Drude-type model, absorption may be introduced phenomenologically through the replacement \( \omega^2 \rightarrow \alpha(\omega + i\gamma_{e,m}) \), where \( \gamma_{e,m} \) are the electric and magnetic loss factors; we have found that the Brewster modes are indeed smeared out when absorption effects are included. However, according to Eq. (9), one may conceive realizing a metamaterial with dielectric and magnetic responses such that Brewster modes would show up in a spectral region in which losses are not too severe; in so doing, they could still lead to observation of light delocalization. Moreover, important progress in mitigating losses in metamaterials has been achieved recently, such as incorporation of gain, and the use of optical-parametric amplification, which has the advantage of being tunable in a wide negative index frequency range. These advances have led to significant attenuation and complete compensation of losses; even lasing in the metamaterial; for the TM modes, as discussed in connection with Fig. 2, one must reach a critical angle of incidence before a Brewster anomaly emerges in the positive-refraction regime.

In conclusion, we have studied light propagation through 1D disordered structures containing dispersive metamaterials. We have found that the inclusion of dispersion, together with the possibility of oblique incidence, lead to alternative routes for suppression of Anderson localization of light. First, for a given choice of dispersive metamaterials, oblique incidence leads to delocalization in the long-wavelength limit. In this limit, the localization length tends to a constant for certain incidence angles, in contrast to what is generally expected for conventional disordered systems. The second route is provided by the Brewster anomalies, corresponding to a diverging localization length at well-defined frequencies. These anomalies can occur for frequencies in ranges such that the metamaterial exhibits either negative or positive refraction; thus, negative refraction is not a necessary condition for delocalization but the use of dispersive materials is. These results indicate that control and fine tuning of multiple scattering of light and Anderson localization could be achieved by a careful combination of angle of incidence and a judicious choice of dispersive metamaterials.
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