Self-assembly of NiTPP on Cu(111): a transition from disordered 1D wires to 2D chiral domains
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The growth and self-assembling properties of nickel-tetraphenyl porphyrins (NiTPP) on the Cu(111) surface are analysed via scanning tunnelling microscopy (STM), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and density functional theory (DFT). For low coverage, STM results show that NiTPP molecules diffuse on the terrace until they reach the step edge of the copper surface forming a 1D system with disordered orientation along the step edges. The nucleation process into a 2D superstructure was observed to occur via the interaction of molecules attached to the already nucleated 1D structure, reorienting molecules. For monolayer range coverage a 2D nearly squared self-assembled array with the emergence of chiral domains was observed. The XPS results of the Ni 2p3/2 core levels exhibit a 2.6 eV chemical shift between the mono- and multilayer configuration of NiTPP. DFT calculations show that the observed chemical shifts of Ni 2p3/2 occur due to the interaction of 3d orbitals of Ni with the Cu(111) substrate.

Introduction

Understanding supramolecular organization is a key step towards the development of devices from the bottom-up perspective.1 This approach could lead to the tailoring of different properties of nanostructured materials and presents itself as useful for application in different fields, for example, heterogeneous catalysis,2 optoelectronics3 and spintronics.4,5 Besides applications in different devices, the elucidation of how porphyrins adsorb and assemble on surfaces is helpful to gain an understanding of how more complex porphirinoid systems behave, such as hemoglobin,6 chlorophyll7 as well as enzymes.7,8 Porphyrins also present interesting magnetic properties, due to the interaction of the central metallic atom with the organic frame that enhances some properties, for example, its magnetic signal,9,10 and the possibility of different on-surface chemical reactions.11,12

Structural and electronic studies on different metalloc tetraphenylporphyrins and 2H-tetraphenylporphyrins and their self-assembling properties on different metal substrates have been extensively investigated in recent years,13–18 but Nickel-tetraphenylporphyrin (NiTPP) was never explored on Cu(111). Also, in most of these studies, the main interest has been on how porphyrin properties relate to different substrates in the monolayer regime and not with respect to the multilayer phase.

In this study, the growth behaviour of NiTPP on the Cu(111) surface for coverage ranging from submonolayer to multilayer was investigated experimentally combining STM and XPS measurements as well as theoretically by performing DFT calculations. All experiments were performed via STM and XPS at room temperature (RT) in UHV. DFT simulations corroborate our findings and provide additional understanding on the molecular conformation, molecule–molecule and molecule–substrate interactions. It is shown by STM that Cu(111) enables the assembly of different kinds of arrays, both in one and two dimensions. The structural properties explored with STM reveal that for a low concentration of molecules, NiTPP arranges itself in a disordered chain structure whereas in monolayer coverage, the achiral molecule rearranges in a 2D chiral structure. STM images also exhibit the conformation of NiTPP in the saddle shape, an observation supported by our DFT simulations. Chemical information obtained via XPS spectra reveal chemical shifts for different elements when compared between mono- and multilayers of NiTPP. DFT results support the nearly squared lattice assembly and provide evidence for the hybridization between Ni 3d orbitals and the substrate.

Experimental section

All experiments were performed in two connected ultra-high vacuum (UHV) chambers. One chamber was equipped with a STM and the other one with standard cleaning facilities, XPS
and a Knudsen cell for molecule sublimation. The pressure in the XPS chamber was in the low 10^{-10} mbar range and in the STM in the middle 10^{-11} mbar range. The STM microscope used was a SPECS Aarhus 150 equipped with a SPECS SPC 260 Controller. The STM measurements were performed in the constant current mode with a W tip cleaned in situ by Ar^+ sputtering. All STM images were taken at room temperature (RT), plane corrected and Gaussian smoothed with WsXM.\(^{19}\) The calibration of measured distances was performed using the Cu–Cu atomic distances of clean Cu(111) as reference. The photons used in XPS were provided by a Mg-K\(_a\) anode (with a small Al-K\(_a\) contribution due to crosstalk with Al anode) and the photoelectrons were analysed with a SPECS Phoibos 150 hemispherical analyzer with multi channeltron detection. The XPS peak position was calibrated by comparing with the Au 4f peak.

The Cu(111) crystal was prepared with repeated cycles of sputtering with Ar^+ ions (1 keV) and annealing (840 K) in UHV. Prior to molecular deposition, XPS, LEED and STM measurements confirm the substrate surface ordering and cleanliness. NiTPP was purchased from Sigma Aldrich (purity \(>95\%\)) and deposited using a homemade Knudsen cell from a quartz-crucible. To assure high purity, NiTPP was heated and outgassed for 24 hours at 500 K. The calculated coverage of NiTPP on the copper substrate was determined by the decrease in the XPS Cu 2p\(_{3/2}\) peak area, and was supported by STM images.

### Computational section

The calculations were performed within the DFT approach, as implemented in the Quantum-Espresso package.\(^{20}\) The Kohn–Sham orbitals were expanded in a plane-wave basis set, with an energy cutoff of 28 Ry. We made a set of convergence tests, by considering an energy cutoff of up to 35 Ry, where we found that our results for the NiTPP/Cu(111) adsorption energy and equilibrium geometry are converged within an accuracy of around 5%. The Cu(111) surface was described by using the slab method, considering three monolayers (MLs) of Cu. The topmost two MLs were allowed to relax (force convergence of 260 meV nm\(^{-1}\)). To simulate a single NiTPP molecule adsorbed on the Cu(111) surface, we used a large surface unit cell (composed of 270 Cu atoms, with 90 atoms per ML). In this case, the periodic boundary conditions minimized the NiTPP-NiTPP interaction, as the lateral distance between a given NiTPP (adsorbed) molecule and its nearest neighbour image is equal to 2.2 nm. Whereas, to describe the periodic array of NiTPP on the Cu(111), we have considered a monoclinic cell, with 96 atoms (32 per ML), and lattice vectors of 1.35 nm forming an angle of 82\(^\circ\), and a vacuum region of 1.5 nm. The total charge density was obtained using the \(\Gamma\) point. The convergence with respect to the number of \(k\)-points was verified considering up to four \(k\)-points. The electronic properties were calculated by considering a set of ten \(k\)-points. The NiTPP–Cu(111) interaction was calculated by using the self-consistent vdW-DF approach as described in the literature.\(^{21–23}\)

### Results and discussion

#### NiTPP disordered nanochain formation

In the NiTPP/Cu(111) system, for submonolayer coverage (0.3 ML) we observed that molecules anchor at the step edges of the substrate. One of the STM images of submonolayer coverages of NiTPP on Cu(111), is shown in Fig. 1A. The rounded spots represent the molecules deposited on the substrate. NiTPP has such an appearance because of the low magnification used for this image size and the response on the STM feedback due to the difference in height at the step edge. At coverage higher than 0.1 ML, NiTPP also presents a mobile phase at room temperature, which is evident from the streaky features in the STM images (bottom of Fig. 2A, for example). Due to the Ehrlich–Schwoebel barrier,\(^{24}\) we observe that molecules adsorb in the lower part of the step edges, which are electron rich. This implies that NiTPP act as an electron acceptor at such coverage.

---

**Fig. 1** (A) STM image (48 × 48 nm\(^2\)) showing NiTPP wire formation along the step edges of Cu(111) (\(V_T = 1.2\) V and \(I_T = 0.5\) nA). The coverage is lower than 0.1 ML. (B) Histogram showing the molecular separation distribution measured parallel to the step edges at a bias voltage of 1.2 V. The distribution indicates a disordered alignment relative to the step.
By measuring the apparent length of the molecules parallel to the step edge, it is possible to correlate this measurement with the molecule orientation angle at the step edge. We perform this analysis by acquiring a line scan profile across the molecules at the step edge and measuring the distance between adjacent protrusions of NiTPP, at the same bias voltage, thus obtaining molecular separation. We claim from the counting of different molecular separations (histogram shown in Fig. 1B) that our distribution is random. Although the molecular separation should vary from 1.2 to 1.8 nm, molecules packed either via their smallest dimension or via their diagonals, in the histogram it is also possible to see measurements lower than 1.2 nm and greater than 1.8 nm. This could be due to the superposition of molecules in the images or some molecules might be adsorbed on top of step defects, causing the over- or underestimation of the molecular separation distance. Since there is no prominent, well defined value in the histogram, we conclude that our molecular separation distribution can be assumed as uniform, meaning that molecules are randomly oriented across the step edges. When chemical elements such as carbon, sulphur or oxygen are present at the metallic surface, they tailor the properties of the step edges of the substrate and serve as anchoring sites for the molecules, but in our case XPS measurements show the absence of impurities on the copper surface. Therefore, our proposed explanation is that NiTPP can diffuse onto the surface until it finds the step edges which act as trapping potential with an energy barrier higher than the energy associated with room temperature. This result differs from the one obtained by Rojas et al. for the unmetallated tetraphenyl porphyrin (H2TPP) on Cu(111), where, at low coverage, the H2TPP does not present step decoration. On the other hand, different tetraphenyl porphyrins such as CoTPP also show step decoration on metallic substrates such as Au(111) and Ag(111), but on Cu(111) there are also molecules adsorbed in the middle of terraces at room temperature. Therefore, not only the choice of substrate but also of metal center of the TPP influences the adsorption behavior of molecules, especially in the low coverage regime.

**Transition between disordered one-dimensional and ordered two-dimensional adsorption**

The behavior of NiTPP transition to 2D nucleation was analyzed in our experiments. During the transition between 0.1 ML and 1.0 ML, the nucleation of the NiTPP was found to start at the step edge. No free-islands of NiTPP were observed on the Cu(111) terraces during measurements. Other porphyrinic systems, such as the tetra butyl phenyl porphyrin (H2TBPP) on Cu(100) present the formation of 2D islands in the middle of terraces at room temperature. For the NiTPP/Cu(111) system the molecular adsorption mechanism consists first of step edge decoration followed by the disordered 1D molecular chain starting to align with other NiTPP that adsorbs near these chains. When the terraces have a diatomic height, it was observed that NiTPP prefers to nucleate on the step edge and create a double molecular chain, as indicated in Fig. 2C. In this case the random behavior of the
distances between molecules is replaced by an ordered regime. The metal atom of the TPP molecule is indicated in Fig. 2D in the line profile of the double nanochain as a depression at its center. The nickel center is measured as a depression due to the lower tunneling probability in the center, because of the electronic filling of the d_{z^2} orbital as concluded by Lu and Hipps.\(^{15}\) The average distance measured between molecules in these observed nanochains shows that they possess a periodic intermolecular distance of \((1.35 \pm 0.03)\) nm. This implies that when there is a higher density of molecules, their interaction starts to guide molecules in a specific orientation, moving towards a regular assembly regime. Therefore, we conclude that the condition for the orientation of molecules in the submonolayer coverage regime is that incoming molecules interact with the molecules at the step edge and this interaction orients the molecules. By losing mobility of the reported mobile phase of NiTPP, more molecules begin to interact with the chain, thus forming a 2D closed packed arrangement. This behavior is shown in Fig. 2A and B, for a coverage of approximately 0.2 ML, with the nucleated molecules being visible near the step edges.

Although molecules were not observed to nucleate in islands in the middle of the terrace, single molecules were observed in these regions (see Fig. 2A). We attribute such behaviour to two possibilities: (1) A foreign molecule. Since we have used a commercial molecule source, they contain a small percentage of impurities. Some of these impurities might be present at the time when molecules were deposited. The unusual electronic corrugation shown in Fig. 2E, where it is not possible to image the lobes, supports this idea. (2) It is a TPP molecule trapped in a terrace defect.

**Two dimensional assembly and chirality**

The study now focuses on higher coverage, when the intermolecular interaction plays a key role and the molecular self-assembling process occurs. Fig. 3A shows a typical high resolution STM image from a large ordered area on the surface when coverage range \(\sim 1\) ML of NiTPP on Cu(111). The NiTPP assembles in an almost-square lattice, with unit cells of \(a_1 = (1.35 \pm 0.04)\) nm by \(a_2 = (1.34 \pm 0.04)\) nm and their relative angle being \((85 \pm 3)\) degrees. This 2D behavior is similar to the formation of NiTPP/Au(111)\(^{16}\) and CuTPP/Cu(111).\(^{29}\) Different from the study by Teugels et al.,\(^{16}\) no parallelogram structure was found for this system, indicating that due to the higher reactivity of the substrate, molecular arrangements that require lower interaction between molecules and substrates are undermined.

In the diagram of NiTPP in Fig. 3A, the main intermolecular interaction was depicted as being caused by the so called T-type interaction.\(^{17,29,30}\) In the T-type interaction, shown in Fig. 3B, the C–H group of the phenyl structure interacts strongly and attractively with the center of the \(p\)-system of the phenyl structure of an adjacent NiTPP. Another possible intermolecular interaction would be the \(\pi\)-type interaction (Fig. 3B), where the phenyl structure of different molecules is parallel to each other, thus creating an overlap in the final molecular orbital. In the present study there is no evidence for the occurrence of \(\pi\)-type interactions.

In order to evaluate the energetic stability of isolated NiTPP molecules adsorbed on the Cu(111) surface at different sites
and also the stability of the 2D array, we calculate the adsorption energy $E_a$, which can be written as,

$$E_a = E[Cu(111)] + E[NiTPP] - E[NiTPP/Cu(111)].$$

$E[Cu(111)]$ and $E[NiTPP]$ represent the total energies of the isolated components, the Cu(111) clean surface and the isolated NiTPP molecule, respectively, and $E[NiTPP/Cu(111)]$ represents the total energy of the NiTPP/Cu(111) adsorbed system. According to the previous equation, positive values of $E_a$ imply that the adsorption process is exothermic. In this work, considering the geometry of the Cu(111) surface, we investigated four adsorption sites for the isolated NiTPP molecule. All adsorption positions are identified with respect to the Ni atom, as seen in Fig. 4E. The adsorption energies corresponding to these sites are summarized in Table 1.

In our simulations the equilibrium geometry, as well as the vertical distortion of the phenyl-rings of an isolated NiTPP molecule, Fig. 4A, are in agreement with the energetically most stable (S4) configuration obtained by Rush et al. Our results of $E_a$ are close to those obtained by Brede et al. who obtained an $E_a$ of 3.4 eV for TPP on the Au(111) surface. We observed an $E_a$ of ~2.9 eV per molecule for a single molecule adsorbed on the Cu(111) and 3.5 eV per molecule for the 2D self-assembled array. Therefore, DFT calculations indicate that the formation of the 2D array of NiTPP molecules on the Cu(111) surface is exothermic (energetically favorable) with respect to the situation in which the molecules are isolated.

Table 1. Calculated adsorption energy per molecule ($E_a$) and vertical equilibrium distance ($h$) at different adsorption sites in two configurations – single molecule and self-assembled array. Adsorption energies in eV, and the NiTPP–Cu(111) equilibrium vertical distance ($h$) in Å

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>$E_a$ (eV per molecule)</th>
<th>$h$ (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single molecule</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>4.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>3.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollow-fcc</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollow-hep</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>3.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-assembled array</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollow-fcc</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>3.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollow-hep</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>3.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>3.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>3.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since $E_a$ is quite insensitive to the adsorption site and taking into account the large molecule-surface separation (typical for weak van der Waals interactions), as it can be seen in Table 1, NiTPP is not expected to be strongly bound to any special position on the clean Cu(111) surface. In this case, the NiTPP molecule is able to easily diffuse before it encounters other NiTPP molecules or is trapped in the vicinity of an extended defect (e.g. the edges), as indeed observed in the experiments. It is also worthwhile to evaluate separately the energy contribution of molecule–molecule interactions to the formation of a 2D array. With this assumption, we calculate the total energy of a suspended 2D array of NiTPP as a function of the lattice vectors $a_1$ and $a_2$. Here, the Cu(111) surface potential has been turned off. As presented in Fig. 3C, we find an energy minimum for a lateral distance ($a_1$ and $a_2$), depicted in Fig. 4C) of 1.4 nm, in agreement with the experimental results. From these results it is possible to conclude that molecular ordering in the mono-layer range is mainly ruled by the intermolecular interaction without a prominent influence of the substrate.

Our experiments reveal that the NiTPP (2D self-assembled) molecules exhibit a saddle-shape conformation. The hydrogen repulsion between phenyl and pyrroles is responsible for the conformation of the macrocycle of the porphyrin. As shown in Fig. 3D, this can be concluded from the different sizes between perpendicular opposed pyrroles. This difference is explained by the steric repulsion of the pyrroles by the phenyl rings that are rotated with respect to the TPP macrocycle. Opposed pyrroles are bent upwards (py-up in Fig. 4B) whereas perpendicular pyrroles are bent downwards (py-dw in Fig. 4B).

The conformation of the molecule upon its interaction with solid surfaces has been the subject of several studies. Our calculated equilibrium geometries, for the 2D arrays of NiTPP molecules, support the experimentally observed saddle-shape, as depicted in Fig. 4B. Such saddle conformation can be measured by the vertical displacement of the edge carbon atoms of the pyrrole rings ($\Delta Zpy$) (Fig. 4B). In this case, we find a (i) $\Delta Zpy$ of about 0.125 nm for the isolated 2D array, while (ii) at the equilibrium geometry on the Cu(111) surface the $\Delta Zpy$ reduces to 0.095 nm. Shortly, for a 2D array of NiTPP molecules, the saddle configuration is defined by the molecule–molecule (“T-shape”) interaction, whereas upon its interaction with the surface, the saddle

Fig. 4 Structural models of the isolated NiTPP molecule (A) and (B). The relative upward (represented as a dot) and downward (represented as a cross) displacements of C atoms of the phenyl rings. (C) and (D) shows the structural model of the NiTPP/Cu(111) 2D array. (E) Representation of the Nickel atom of the NiTPP for different adsorption positions.
conformation will be reduced. The possibility of the porphyrins being in the saddle or ruffled configuration has been considered in the literature.33,34

In addition to the reduction of the saddle shape conformation, as depicted in Fig. 4D, there is a vertical displacement of the phenyl rings of NiTPP, due to the steric (repulsive) interaction with the Cu(111) surface. By comparing the total energies of the deformed molecule and the free (isolated and fully relaxed) molecule, we can estimate the energy cost to deform the phenyl rings of NiTPP ($\Delta E_{\text{deform}}$), upon its interaction with the surface. We find an energy $\Delta E_{\text{deform}}$ of 0.2 and 0.3 eV for a single molecule and 2D self-assembled array configuration, respectively. The latter result is somewhat expected, since there are additional NiTPP distortions due to the molecule–molecule (lateral) interactions.

Experimentally, we observe chiral domains in the self-assembled NiTPP, denoted S and S’. Fig. 5A displays a large area STM image with the chiral domains shown. S and S’ domains were found to be rotated by $\alpha = (10 \pm 2)^\circ$ from the [110] direction of the Cu(111) crystal. The explanation for an achiral molecule to form a chiral superstructure, as discussed by Donovan et al.,18 lies on the existence of the T-type interaction between phenyls. This interaction produces a tilt of the molecule so that one of the axes of NiTPP, formed by the line connecting opposed nitrogen atoms has a relative angle with respect to one of its unit cell vectors. The tilt angle was calculated to be $\nu = (25 \pm 2)^\circ$, as shown in Fig. 5B.

**XPS analysis of NiTPP/Cu(111)**

We compare the monolayer and multilayer XPS signals to analyse the interaction of Cu(111) with the molecules. Fig. 6 presents a survey XPS scan under both conditions.

The multilayer coverage was calculated as being 8 ML by the attenuation of the Cu 2p$_{3/2}$ signal.35 The energy positions for each peak were determined using a standard fitting procedure considering Shirley type background and Voigt functions (not shown here). The multilayer signal of Ni 2p$_{3/2}$ and C 1s core level positions are in good agreement with data reported in the literature.36 A chemical shift of 2.3 eV for the Ni 2p$_{3/2}$ core line is observed for the monolayer configuration on Cu(111) when compared to NiTPP/Au(111).13 This corroborates the difference in the strength of the interaction with the substrate.

High resolution XPS from core-levels of the NiTPP chemical elements revealed different chemical shifts between the monolayer and multilayer NiTPP/Cu(111) configurations. The difference in the chemical shifts suggests a possible change in the interaction strength between the NiTPP molecules and the Cu(111) surface.
and the multilayer regime. The XPS spectra for the Ni 2p3/2 exhibits peaks centered in energies of 852.9 eV and 855.5 eV, respectively in the monolayer and the multilayer coverage, which represents a shift of 2.6 eV (Fig. 7A). The N 1s peak exhibits a chemical shift of 0.5 eV in the multilayer regime (Fig. 7B), while the C 1s signal shows a chemical shift of less than 0.1 eV between the same layered systems (Fig. 7C), both towards higher binding energies. The shifts observed for the C 1s and N 1s are in accordance with the change in the interface of the molecules, from monolayer to multilayer, with similar shifts being observed in other porphyrinic systems.37

The Ni 2p3/2 signal of the monolayer exhibits a smaller and broader feature at the same position of the multilayer case. Due the low signal to noise ratio, it is difficult to confirm that it is a component similar to the multilayer case; however the energy position is the same. Since the monolayer was calculated due to the attenuation of the substrate and corroborated via STM images, some areas of the sample should have more than one monolayer, therefore could explain the existence of such a peak.

For the monolayer regime, the position of the Ni 2p3/2 peak is 852.5 eV, a value closer to Ni038 and in the multilayer, 855.5 eV, comparable to the Ni2+ state founded in NiOx38. The Ni2+ value is expected in the multilayer of NiTPP due to the coordination state of Ni in the molecule demonstrating a negligible influence of the substrate on the electronic or magnetic properties of the molecule. However, a more interesting possibility could be speculated in the monolayer regime where the null-like oxidation state could open a possibility to change the electronic or magnetic properties of the molecule for example stabilizing a new magnetic behavior, which could be induced by a charge transfer mechanism similarly to the magnetic switching induced by NH3 adsorption on NiTPP10 or in the case of thiol adsorption on Au nanoparticles.39

Similar XPS shifts are reported in the literature for other metallic porphyrins, where the origin is attributed to several effects such as charge transfer, polarization screening and final state effects.39

In order to get a more complete picture of the electronic interaction between the NiTPP molecule and the Cu(111) surface, initially we examine the adsorption of a Ni adatom on the Cu(111) surface, Ni/Cu(111). Different from the NiTPP/Cu(111) system, in Ni/Cu(111) we have the formation of the chemical bonds between the adatoms and the Cu(111) surface. The strength of the Ni–Cu(111) interaction can be quantified by the calculation of the adsorption energy (Ea), as we have done for NiTPP on Cu(111). Here, we have considered (i) the same Ni coverage as we have used in the array geometry of the NiTPP/Cu(111) system, namely around 3.1% of a monolayer, and (ii) the following adsorption sites on the Cu(111) surface, hollow-fcc, hollow-hcp, and bridge. We find the Ea of 3.38, 3.38 and 3.35 eV per atom, respectively.

In Fig. 8A, we present the projected density of states (PDOS) of the surface Cu atoms nearest neighbor to the Ni adatom in the hollow-fcc site (solid lines), and the PDOS of the surface Cu atoms of the clean surface (dashed lines). We observe that the spin-up and spin-down components of the occupied Cu 3d orbitals, within EF –1 eV, present an energy (spin) splitting of around 0.46 eV induced by the Ni adatoms. The Ni adatom at the hollow-fcc site presents a net magnetic moment of 0.73 μB, mostly ruled by the partial occupation of the Ni 3d orbitals, as shown in Fig. 8B. For the Ni adsorption on the hollow-hcp and bridge sites we find 0.70 and 0.66 μB, respectively. These results of the net magnetic moment are in agreement with the previous studies performed by Lazarovits et al.40

The spin-up and spin-down components of the Ni 3d orbitals, at EF –1 eV, present an energy splitting of around 0.5 eV [Fig. 8B], being resonant with the one of the surface Cu 3d orbitals, indicating a strong hybridization between the 3d orbitals of the Ni adatom and the surface Cu atoms. In contrast, the electronic structure of the surface Cu states was weakly

![Fig. 7](image-url) XPS spectra of different chemical elements in NiTPP for monolayer (black curves) and multilayer (red curves) coverage. (A) Spectra of Ni 2p, showing a chemical shift of 2.6 eV. The violet dash-dotted lines represent the peaks of both Ni0 and Ni2+ on Cu(111).38 as a reference. (B) Spectra of N 1s showing a chemical shift of 0.5 eV. For comparison, the bare Cu(111) spectrum is plotted as a green solid line, since the Cu LMM auger lines overlap in this region. (C) Spectra of C 1s showing a chemical shift lower than 0.1 eV. Nickel is the atom that more strongly binds to the Cu(111) surface.
perturbed upon the adsorption of NiTPP molecules. Indeed, there are no changes in the PDOS of the Cu 3d orbitals of NiTPP/Cu(111) and the Cu(111) clean surface, indicated by solid and dashed lines in Fig. 8C, supporting the absence of chemical interaction between the NiTPP molecule and the Cu(111) surface. Fig. 8 depicts the total density of states (DOS) of the NiTPP/Cu(111) surface, and the projected DOS (PDOS) of Ni 3d orbitals, for the array geometry of NiTPP molecules adsorbed on the hollow-fcc sites of Cu(111). In the same diagram (dashed lines), we present the DOS of the clean Cu(111) surface. In general, the electronic states of the surface are weakly perturbed by NiTPP adsorption. We find that the highest occupied Ni 3d states lie within an energy interval of $E_F - 1$ eV, whereas the lowest unoccupied states (for $E_F + 1$ eV) are composed of Ni 3d orbital. The occupied 3d states and 3d orbitals are at $E_F - 2$ eV. Here, different from the Ni/Cu(111) system, the spin-up and spin-down components of the occupied Ni 3d orbitals do not exhibit any energy (spin) splitting. Such a PDOS picture is the same for the other NiTPP/Cu(111) configurations, namely NiTPP adsorbed on the hollow-hcp, top and bridge sites. These findings corroborate the lack of differences between the calculated $E_a$ for different adsorption sites. In contrast, for the CoTPP/Ag(111) system, the authors verified that PDOS shows a clear dependence on the CoTPP adsorption site. In addition, (i) there is a downshift of 0.5 and 0.4 eV of the Ni 3d and 3d orbitals, respectively, with respect to the energy positions of an isolated NiTPP molecule (indicated by dashed lines), and (ii) the Ni 3d orbital exhibits a slight increase in the PDOS energy distribution width, due to its interaction with the Cu(111) surface. Indeed, based on the Bader charge density analysis, we find a small net charge transfer of 0.06e between the molecule and the Cu(111), preserving the low-spin configuration of the NiTPP molecule.

Conclusion

In this coverage study of NiTPP/Cu(111) by STM, molecular step edge decoration was observed with random orientations for sub-monolayer coverage. We envisage applying this behavior to the growth of NiTPP on vicinal surfaces for 1D oriented wires at room temperature. Whenever more molecules nucleate in the system, the molecules at the step edge start to orientate in the same relative position. Such orientation behavior was corroborated by STM measurements not only for the single step edges but also in regions where step edges have a height of 2-atoms. For higher coverage, molecules self-assemble into a 2D square-like array, where the most important contribution is due to phenyl-phenyl interaction, as corroborated by DFT. The self-assembled arrays form chiral structures, due to the same phenyl-phenyl interaction in the so called T-type interaction. NiTPP exhibits a saddle-shape conformation, as observed by STM and demonstrated via DFT. The XPS results of Ni 2p showed a chemical shift between mono- and multilayers of NiTPP. All the theoretical and indirect evidence exploring the molecules in the monolayer regime tend to reject the charge transfer mechanism between the Ni center atom and the Cu substrate.
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